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ABSTRACT
Introduction: There are limited studies on consumer behaviour toward counterfeit products and the determining factors that motivate willingness to purchase counterfeit items.

Aim: This study aimed to fill this literature gap through studying differences in individual ethical evaluations of counterfeit drug purchase and whether that ethical evaluation affected by difference in income. It is hypothesized that individuals with lower/higher income make a more/less permissive evaluation of ethical responsibility regarding counterfeit drug purchase.

Materials and Methods: To empirically test the research assumption, a comparison was made between people who live in the low-income country Sudan and people who live in the high-income country Qatar. The study employed a face-to-face structured interview survey methodology to collect data from 1,170 subjects and the Sudanese and Qatari samples were compared using independent t-test at alpha level of 0.05 employing SPSS version 22.0.

Results: Sudanese and Qatari individuals were significantly different on all items. Sudanese individuals scored below 3 for all Awareness of Societal Consequences (ASC) items indicating that they make more permissive evaluation of ethical responsibility regarding counterfeit drug purchase. Both groups shared a basic positive moral agreement regarding subjective norm indicating that influence of income is not evident.

Conclusion: Findings indicate that low-income individuals make more permissive evaluation of ethical responsibility regarding counterfeit drugs purchase when highlighting awareness of societal consequences used as a deterrent tool, while both low and high-income individuals share a basic positive moral agreement when subjective norm dimension is exploited to discourage unethical buying behaviour.

INTRODUCTION
Development of effective organizational and technical counter measures for counterfeit drugs requires a thorough understanding for factors affecting both, supply and demand of counterfeit drugs. It is clear that searching for and punishing counterfeiters may not be the most effective course of action as long as there are people who demand counterfeit drugs. Industry, drug regulatory authorities and policy-makers need to understand why some consumers buy counterfeits. In fact, despite counterfeiting has existed long time ago, knowledge about consumer behaviour toward counterfeit products and the influencing factors that motivate willingness to purchase counterfeits is still very limited [1]. This is despite the fact that consumers remain both the root problem and the ultimate destination of counterfeit products. This had drawn the attention of researchers to the importance of addressing the demand side of the counterfeit product market.

Inappropriate consumer behaviour is one of the most important factors explaining purchase decision of counterfeits and therefore, has been widely explored by marketing scholars. An example of an issue in this area excessively studied is the problem of counterfeiting from the consumer perspective (e.g., Cordell et al., 1996; Bloch et al., 1993; Wee et al., 1995) [2-4], specifically, the ethical attitudes of consumers which have been widely explored in the literature as a key factor influencing the purchase of counterfeit products [2,5]. However, much of the available research remains limited to luxurious products with very few (e.g., Leisn and Nill, 2001; Alfadl et al., 2013) [6,7], investigated perceptions of consumers toward counterfeit drugs purchase although consumer demand for counterfeit drugs is an important aspect of consumer behaviour. In addition, although the effect of demographic factors on purchase intention of counterfeits has reported in several studies [8-10] to date the effect of income on ethical/unethical decision of purchase intention of counterfeits has not been examined. This study is addressing this literature gap and attempting to answer the research question of whether distinction in income level between two culturally similar groups make significant difference in their willingness to adopt more/less permissive ethical evaluation with regard to counterfeit drugs purchase.

Many studies documented that people of different demographic characteristics tend to vary in their willingness to purchase counterfeit products [4,11-13]. In consequence, the relationship between income and intention to purchase counterfeit products has been extensively explored. A study conducted in Singapore reported that people from lower income groups held more favourable attitudes towards purchase of pirated CDs [12]. Also, income was reported in another study conducted in China as a moderator of purchase intention of pirated software [13]. However, some studies reported that income had no significant effect on consumers’ intention to purchase counterfeit goods [14-16]. Other scholars went further to state that any demographic difference will not create a variety of purchase behaviour [3].

Hence, it is clear that no general agreement on whether demographic differences, in specific economic status differences, generate variations between groups in adopting more/less ethical behaviour towards counterfeits purchase. To study this, and consequently answer the research question, authors developed
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ethical scenarios meant to “trigger” respondents’ ethical decision-making process. Awareness of Societal Consequences (ASC) and Subjective Norm (SN) were exploited to develop the scenarios. These two dimensions of attitude were selected because they are often cited as factors that discourage the purchase of counterfeit drugs in developed countries (e.g., Lein and Nill, 2001) [6,17,18]. However, the ethical evaluation related to ASC and SN is considerably broad and is dependent on a number of other aspects. One of these aspects, which has common acceptance as dominant motivation for consumers to consciously purchase counterfeit is, price or income level. People living in low-income households or located in poor countries are more susceptible to purchase counterfeit drugs especially when the products becoming cheaper [9,19]. The World Health Organization supported this fact and reported that counterfeit drugs are close to 10% of the pharmaceutical market worldwide, of which 25% is located in the poor countries [20].

For empirical examination of the possibility that difference in income level may affect ethical evaluations and consequently, propensity to purchase counterfeit drugs, authors interviewed two groups of people assumed to be similar in various dimensions except income level which is very dissimilar. Those two groups are individuals in Sudan, a poor African country with a developing economy, and individuals in Qatar, a member state of the rich Arab Gulf Cooperation Council (AGCC) with a developed economy [21].

According to the most recent estimate, Sudan had a population of 38,108,853 inhabitants. The majority of the population (92.7%) was younger than 55 years, with generally slightly more males than females. The Sudanese population has a rich diversity of ethnic groups, but dominated by Sudanese Arab (approximately 70%) [21]. On the other hand, Qatar is estimated to have 2,194,817 inhabitants, of which the majority (95.7%) was younger than 55 years. Qatar is inhabited by more women than men [15]. Regarding economic status, Sudan had a GDP Per Capita (PPP in USD) of 4,300 USD ranking it as the 175th in the world rankings according to GDP Per Capita (PPP), while Qatar had a GDP Per Capita (PPP) of 143,400 USD ranking this country on the 1st place in their world rankings [22].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical Consideration

Before starting data collection in Sudan, formal letters and ethics approval were obtained from the Research Directorate of the Federal Ministry of Health. With regard to Qatari sample, ethics application was obtained from Qatar University’s Institutional Review Board. Then, several measures were taken to ensure that no respondent in both countries would be negatively affected due to his participation in the study. Privacy was considered during the consumer survey interviews. Interviewers escorted the respondent, who had verbally consented to be interviewed, to a calm place for the interview. Anonymity was preserved. Participants were assured that data analysis would not be used against them. Interviewers also assured confidentiality. Finally, the participants were informed that their participation was voluntary and that they could drop out of the survey at any time.

Study Design

The goal of this study was to understand whether income difference influence consumers’ perception of ethics in the context of counterfeit drugs purchase. Cross-sectional study was conducted where authors collected data using basic survey techniques. They asked the same questions to two groups of individuals in Sudan and Qatar.

Study Population and Sampling

Convenience sampling was used to select the respondents in Sudan and Qatar, although it was tried to weigh the sample to match the general demographics of Sudanese and Qatari populations as closely as possible. A sample of 1003 Sudanese and 167 Qatari individuals was collected. Sample size for respondents in Qatar and Sudan was calculated based on Raosoft® software (http://www.raosoft.com/samplesize.html). The sample size n and margin of error E are given by

\[
x = \frac{Z(\alpha/2)^2 \cdot \sigma^2}{(100-x)}
\]

\[
n = \frac{E^2}{(0.05 \times \hat{p} \times (1-\hat{p}))}
\]

It is based on a margin of error of 5-7%, confidence level of 95%, alpha=0.05, population size of approximately of 2 million for Qatar, while 39 million for Sudan [21,22], and an estimated response distribution of 90%. For the Sudanese sample, the minimum sample size should be 385. The eligibility criteria included being Sudanese/Qatari, agreeing to participate, and being 18 years or older. According to the Centre for Economics and Business Research, for this type of research, a sample size of 1,000 is considered robust [23]. Data collection took about two months.

Study Tool

Survey items presenting two scenarios along with moral intention questions were given to participants. To ensure understanding, at the beginning of the interview the scenarios were presented to participants showing that purchasing counterfeit drugs harms the economy, negatively affects the health system, discourages research companies from developing new medicines, and is socially stigmatised.

The questionnaire used in this study was pre-tested and checked for reliability (i.e., 0.862) and content and face validity [24]. Participants were asked to respond to each question on a five-point Likert scale that ranged from 5 = “Strongly Agree" to 1 = “Strongly Disagree", with 3 = “I don’t know" as the neutral response. The questionnaire used in this study was in Arabic.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data collected were analysed descriptively using frequency (percentages), and mean (SD). Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was conducted to confirm data normality. Due to the normality of the data, the independent t-test was carried out to compare between the two samples at alpha level of 0.05 using SPSS version 22.0.

RESULTS

The gross domestic product per capita of Sudan was USD 1,753 versus USD 93,714 for Qatar, which is 54 times much higher than Sudan. A 98% response rate was obtained due to the face-to-face survey design. All questionnaires were usable, with no missing data. Participants are consumers in Sudan (n=1003) and Qatar (n=167). Both Sudanese and Qatari respondents were equally distributed between the genders at 47% (472) male and 53% (531) female for Sudanese, while Qatari respondents were 43% (71) male and 57% (95) female (gender was not determined/check, there is one missing value for the same). The sample tends to be young in age with 55% and 39% of Sudanese and Qatari participants respectively are less than 30 years. Also the sample tends to be educated with most of the Sudanese respondents were university or college graduates (39%), and only a small number had an education level lower than elementary school (7%), while the Qatari respondents were predominantly highly educated at 87% university graduates and only 2% elementary school level.

Means and standard deviations were computed for each item within each group. Following this, differences between the mean of the
two groups for each item were tested with independent samples t-test. Items are scored on a 1 to 5 scale, with 1 as most unethical and 5 as most ethical; the midpoint “I do not know” response was scored as 3. Thus, a number above 3 indicates an “ethical” evaluation and a number below 3 an “unethical” evaluation. The results of the analysis are presented in Table/Fig-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Sudanese Consumer</th>
<th>Qatari Consumer</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Purchasing non-authentic drugs harm economy of my country</td>
<td>1.93 ±1.026</td>
<td>3.61 ±1.023</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Purchasing non-authentic drugs undermine national health system</td>
<td>1.77 ±0.924</td>
<td>3.78 ±1.013</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Purchasing non-authentic drugs discourage manufacturers of legitimate drugs</td>
<td>2.42 ±1.163</td>
<td>3.43 ±1.100</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Relatives and friends approve decision to buy non-authentic drugs</td>
<td>3.58 ±1.192</td>
<td>3.29 ±1.066</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Relatives and friends tell me I should buy non-authentic drugs</td>
<td>3.50 ±1.145</td>
<td>3.81 ±0.881</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In general, it seems that ASC works well in discouraging the unethical purchase decision of counterfeit drugs in high-income countries where counterfeiters targeting the so called life-style drugs (e.g., Viagra) [30], but in low-income countries, for impoverished consumer infected with malaria, for whom purchasing the counterfeit may be the only viable alternative, societal consequences and other similar messages might be the last thing one could discourage him from buying the counterfeits.

On the other hand, in the context of this study, income does not seem to have a strong effect on the role of subjective norm as an important factor persuading consumers not to take unethical decision regarding counterfeit drugs purchase (both Sudanese and Qatari scored above 3). Thus, this study gives an indication that negative impact of low income on the role of subjective norm in combating unethical decision regarding purchase of counterfeit drugs is not evident. This finding highlights the importance of subjective norm or peer pressure as a persuasive measure that could discourage unethical purchase decision in low-income communities. Also, this finding is consistent with previous findings on the influence of subjective norm and peer pressure on a consumer’s behaviour [9,27,31]. It is well documented in the literature that peer rejection of the behaviour serves as a deterrent to the extent that social controls may be an even better deterrent to crime than physical controls because individuals will attempt to avoid exposure if they engage in a behaviour that is not supported by their peers [32,33].

Current finding that societal pressures from family or friends could shift low-income groups toward more ethical purchase decision could be exploited in designing combat strategies. To move those economically constrained consumer not to buy counterfeit drugs, it may be a promising solution to involve their family and friends. The purchasing of counterfeit drugs in low-income countries may be discouraged if potential buyers can be convinced that their families and friends will not support this unethical behaviour. Not only the potential buyers, but also those who are already against the purchase of counterfeit drugs, could be targeted to share the fight against counterfeits. The power they have in influencing their families and friends’ purchase intent could be acknowledged.

LIMITATION

Even though the study is unique and important especially in this part of the world, it has two limitations. First, the respondents surveyed in both countries were selected conveniently and...
secondly, was the sample size. Both aspects might cause non-representativeness of the population. Thus, the results are not necessarily could be generalized to the population.

CONCLUSION

It could be concluded that low-income individuals make more permissive evaluation of ethical responsibility regarding counterfeit drugs purchase when messages highlighting awareness of societal consequences used as a deterrent tool, while both low and high-income individuals share a basic positive moral agreement when subjective norm dimension is exploited to discourage unethical buying behaviour. These findings highlight the importance of subjective norm as a tool to move those economically constrained consumer not to buy counterfeit drugs.
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