



# Dietary patterns associated with hypertension risk among adults in Thailand: 8-year findings from the Thai Cohort Study

Zumin Shi<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>, Keren Papier<sup>4,5</sup>, Vasoontara Yiengprugsawan<sup>6</sup>, Matthew Kelly<sup>4</sup>, Sam-ang Seubsman<sup>7</sup> and Adrian C Sleight<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Human Nutrition, Qatar University, PO Box 2713, Doha, Qatar: <sup>2</sup>Adelaide Medical School, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia: <sup>3</sup>South Australia Health and Medical Research Institute, Adelaide, Australia: <sup>4</sup>National Centre for Epidemiology and Population Health and Department of Global Health, Research School of Population Health, ANU College of Health & Medicine, The Australian National University, Canberra, Australia: <sup>5</sup>Population Health Department, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia: <sup>6</sup>Centre for Research on Ageing, Health, and Wellbeing, The Australian National University, Canberra, Australia: <sup>7</sup>Thai Health-Risk Transition Study, School of Human Ecology, Sukhothai Thammathirat Open University, Nonthaburi, Thailand

Submitted 6 May 2018: Final revision received 23 June 2018: Accepted 23 June 2018

## Abstract

**Objective:** Dietary intake is a leading risk factor for hypertension. We aimed to assess longitudinal associations between overall dietary patterns and incident hypertension among adults in Thailand.

**Design:** Prospective large Thai Cohort Study (TCS) conducted nationwide from 2005 to 2013. Dietary patterns were identified using factor analysis based on usual intake of fourteen food groups. Multivariable logistic regression assessed associations between dietary patterns and hypertension prevalence and incidence.

**Setting:** Emerging hypertension and changing diets in Thailand.

**Subjects:** TCS participants who were normotensive at baseline in 2005.

**Results:** Among 36 293 participants without hypertension at baseline, 1831 reported incident hypertension (5.1% incidence) at follow-up. Two dietary patterns were identified: 'Modern' and 'Prudent'. The Modern dietary pattern (high intakes of roasted/smoked foods, instant foods, canned foods, fermented fruits/vegetables, fermented foods, soft drinks, deep-fried foods) was associated with increased incident hypertension (comparing extreme quartiles, OR for incident hypertension = 1.51; 95% CI 1.31, 1.75 in 2013). The Prudent dietary pattern (high intakes of soyabean products, milk, fruits, vegetables) was not associated with incident hypertension in a fully adjusted model. The association between the Modern dietary pattern and hypertension was attenuated by BMI.

**Conclusions:** Modern dietary pattern was positively associated with hypertension among Thai adults. BMI had a great impact on the relationship between the Modern dietary pattern and incidence of hypertension. Reduction of Modern diets would be expected to prevent and control hypertension. Such a strategy would be worth testing.

## Keywords

Dietary patterns  
Factor analysis  
Hypertension  
Blood pressure  
Cohort study, Thailand, South-East Asia

Hypertension is one of the most important contributors to premature death worldwide<sup>(1)</sup>. Globally, dietary intake has been implicated as a leading risk factor for hypertension. For example, numerous studies have confirmed that high intake of Na and low intake of K increase the risk of hypertension<sup>(2)</sup>. As well, low intakes of vegetable protein<sup>(3)</sup>, Mg<sup>(4)</sup>, P<sup>(5)</sup> and PUFA<sup>(6)</sup>, and higher intakes of soft drinks<sup>(7)</sup>, cholesterol<sup>(8)</sup>, animal protein<sup>(3)</sup> and red meat<sup>(9)</sup>, have also been shown to be related to hypertension. Accumulating evidence from randomized clinical trials has shown that vegetarian diets, and those low in saturated animal fat and high in dietary fibre

such as the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diet, can effectively lower blood pressure<sup>(2,10)</sup>.

Analysing the association between overall dietary pattern and health outcomes is becoming more common as it may account for cumulative and interactive effects and control for dietary confounding<sup>(11)</sup>. However, the association between overall dietary patterns and hypertension has not been thoroughly studied and the findings are inconclusive<sup>(2,10,12–14)</sup>. Diet is largely determined by regional food availability, culture and distribution. To design effective dietary approaches to prevent hypertension, it is important

\*Corresponding author. Email [zumin.shi@gmail.com](mailto:zumin.shi@gmail.com); [zumin@qu.edu.qa](mailto:zumin@qu.edu.qa)

© The Authors 2018. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

to understand the specific association between dietary patterns and hypertension in specific settings.

Information on the association between dietary patterns and hypertension is limited in South-East Asia. This includes Thailand, which urgently needs preventive information to respond to its emerging hypertension epidemic. The Fourth Thai National Health Examination Survey (2008–2009) found associations between dietary patterns and risk of hypertension, but these data were cross-sectional<sup>(15)</sup>. In the Thai Cohort Study (TCS), another nationwide study with substantial data on nutrition and hypertension, longitudinal food-based analyses to date have shown that a high intake of fast foods was associated with incident hypertension between 2005 and 2009<sup>(16,17)</sup>. To expand our TCS analysis on this topic and to contribute to the need for longitudinal research, we have now identified dietary patterns and their association with hypertension using the 8-year prospective TCS data. The analysis addresses the knowledge gap on dietary patterns and hypertension in Thailand.

## Methods

### *Study participants*

The TCS is an ongoing population-based study. Three survey waves have been conducted in 2005, 2009 and 2013. The study recruited distance-learning adult students enrolled at Sukhothai Thammathirat Open University. At baseline (2005), 87 151 cohort members were enrolled and were found to reside nationwide and to be broadly representative of the Thai population both socio-economically and geographically. The details of TCS recruitment and methodology have been published elsewhere<sup>(17)</sup>. Self-administered questionnaires were used to collect detailed information on sociodemographic characteristics, childhood environment, transport and injury, illness and health-service use, lifestyle and health information at all waves. Informed written consent was obtained from all participants. The first follow-up in 2009 reached 60 569 (70%) of all baseline participants and the second follow-up in 2013 reached 42 785 (71% of 2009 participants).

### *Eligibility*

Participants were those who did not have missing dietary intake data in 2005, did not have hypertension in 2005 and who reported a hypertension status in 2013.

### *Outcome variable: hypertension*

At each wave, participants were asked whether they were told by a doctor that they had hypertension. Incident hypertension was defined as normotensive in 2005 baseline but hypertensive in 2013. Previously, a validation study established that the accuracy of self-reported hypertension was high, with a sensitivity and specificity of 82.4 and 70.7%, respectively<sup>(18)</sup>.

### *Exposure variables: dietary intake*

At baseline survey (2005), intake of fruits (and vegetables) was determined by the question, 'How many servings of fruits (vegetables) do you usually eat each day?'. In addition, habitual intake of twelve food groups (foods/desserts with coconut milk; deep-fried foods; fermented foods; roasted/smoked foods; uncooked meat or shrimp; fermented fruits/vegetables; instant foods; canned foods; soft drinks; milk; soyabean products; food supplements, vitamins and minerals) was ascertained by the questions, 'How often, on average, do you eat each of the following foodstuffs? (i) Never or less than once a month, (ii) 1–3 times/month, (iii) 1–2 times/week, (iv) 3–6 times/week, (v) once a day or more'. The intake frequencies of the above twelve food groups were recoded as d/week: 0, 0.5, 1.5, 4.5 and 7, respectively.

### *Covariates*

Information on sociodemographic and lifestyle factors in each wave was collected using a structured questionnaire. In the analyses, the following constructed variables were used as indicators of socio-economic status: education (junior high school; high school; diploma/certificate; university degree) and personal monthly income (recoded into tertiles as low (up to 7000 Baht), medium (7000–20 000 Baht) and high (>20 000 Baht)). If a variable had missing values, a specific category was assigned instead of excluding the record.

Frequency of taking leisure-time physical activity (strenuous exercise, moderate exercise or mild exercise for more than 20 min, walking for at least 10 min) was recoded as times/week (for each type of activity).

Smoking status was categorized as never smoked, current smoker, ex-smoker, ever smoked but status unclear, and unknown.

Height and weight were reported at each wave. BMI was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in metres.

### *Statistical analysis*

Dietary patterns at baseline based on the above fourteen food groups (twelve food categories and fruits and vegetables) were identified using principal component analysis. Factors were rotated with an orthogonal (varimax) rotation to improve interpretability and minimize the correlation between the factors. We used eigenvalues (>1.0), a scree plot and factor interpretability to determine the number of factors to retain. Labelling of the factors was primarily descriptive based on our interpretation of the pattern structures and on the food items with the highest factor loadings. Factor loadings were graphically presented. Factor loadings are equivalent to correlations between the food items and the factor. Higher loadings (absolute value) indicate that the food shares more variance with that factor. Factor scores were calculated as the

sum of the product of the standardized intake of each individual food item and its factor loading.

Dietary pattern scores were recoded into quartiles. The  $\chi^2$  test was used to compare differences between groups for categorical variables and ANOVA for continuous variables. We used logistic regression to assess the associations between dietary patterns and hypertension and incidence in 2013. We estimated OR and 95% CI. Three models were used: model 1 adjusted for age and sex; model 2 further adjusted for smoking, alcohol drinking, income, education and physical activity; and model 3 further adjusted for BMI.

As there was no significant interaction between dietary patterns and sex, we did not present sex-specific analyses. We recoded missing values as a specific group in the analyses. The association ( $\beta$  and 95% CI) between dietary patterns and BMI was visually presented after running a linear regression adjusted for age and sex. A linear trend was tested by using the original dietary pattern scores as continuous variables in the regression model. All analyses were performed using the statistical software package Stata version 15.1. Statistical significance was considered when  $P < 0.05$  (two sided).

## Results


Of the 87 151 initial TCS participants, 81 723 participants (36 882 men and 44 838 women, three had missing values) had valid dietary intake data and hypertension data in 2005. Among these participants, 3698 had prevalent hypertension (4.5%) and were excluded from further

analyses. Of the remaining 78 025, a total of 36 293 eligible participants (without missing hypertension responses) were followed-up in 2013. The final study sample included 36 293 participants of whom 1831 reported incident hypertension (5.1% incidence). The mean age was 30.5 (SD 8.2) years at baseline.

### Dietary patterns

Factor analysis revealed two dietary patterns. The 'Modern' dietary pattern had high loadings ( $>0.30$  or  $<-0.30$ ) for roasted/smoked foods, instant foods, canned foods, fermented fruits/vegetables, fermented foods, soft drinks, deep-fried foods, foods/desserts with coconut milk and uncooked meat or shrimp (Fig. 1). The 'Prudent' dietary pattern had high loadings for soyabean products, milk, fruits, vegetables and food supplements, with inverse loadings for fermented foods and uncooked meat or shrimp. The two dietary patterns explained 21.2 and 12.5% of the variance in food intake, respectively.

The Modern dietary pattern was inversely associated, and the Prudent dietary pattern was positively associated, with high education. Men were more like to have high intake of the Modern dietary pattern while women were more likely to have high intake of the Prudent dietary pattern (Table 1). After adjusting for age and sex, the Modern dietary pattern was positively associated with BMI and the Prudent dietary pattern was inversely associated ( $P$  trend  $< 0.001$ ; see online supplementary material, Supplemental Fig. 1). Comparing extreme quartiles of dietary pattern scores, the regression coefficients for BMI in 2005 were 0.39 (95% CI 0.33, 0.46) for the Modern dietary



**Fig. 1** (colour online) Factor loadings of baseline dietary patterns identified in Thai Cohort Study participants ( $n$  81 725): (a) Modern dietary pattern; (b) Prudent dietary pattern

**Table 1** Baseline characteristics by quartiles of dietary patterns for eligible participants in the Thai Cohort Study (*n* 81 725)

Sociodemographic characteristic	Dietary pattern by quartile of intake									
	Modern					Prudent				
	Q1 ( <i>n</i> 20 432)	Q2 ( <i>n</i> 20 431)	Q3 ( <i>n</i> 20 431)	Q4 ( <i>n</i> 20 431)	<i>P</i> value*	Q1 ( <i>n</i> 20 432)	Q2 ( <i>n</i> 20 431)	Q3 ( <i>n</i> 20 431)	Q4 ( <i>n</i> 20 431)	<i>P</i> value*
Age (years)										
Mean	33.5	30.7	29.3	28.1	< 0.001	31.3	30.2	30.0	30.1	< 0.001
SD	9.1	8.0	7.5	7.0		8.1	8.0	8.2	8.4	
Sex (%)					< 0.001					< 0.001
Male	38.3	44.4	47.6	50.1		59.4	47.0	39.7	34.4	
Female	61.7	55.6	52.4	49.9		40.6	53.0	60.3	65.6	
Education (%)					< 0.001					< 0.001
Junior high school	3.9	3.2	3.1	3.2		3.7	3.1	3.2	3.3	
High school	40.5	42.3	45.8	50.0		45.6	44.1	43.9	45.0	
Diploma/certificate	25.8	27.8	27.5	27.3		27.3	27.7	27.2	26.3	
University degree	29.4	26.5	23.3	19.2		23.1	24.8	25.5	25.2	
Unknown	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.3		0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	
Income (%)					< 0.001					< 0.001
Low	33.0	38.5	42.7	48.4		38.5	41.0	41.3	41.8	
Medium	48.3	48.2	46.8	43.3		48.5	47.0	46.4	44.9	
High	16.1	11.0	8.4	6.0		10.7	9.9	10.0	10.8	
Unknown	2.5	2.3	2.1	2.3		2.3	2.1	2.3	2.5	
Smoking (%)					< 0.001					< 0.001
Never smoked	75.2	72.2	69.3	65.7		59.2	70.0	75.3	77.9	
Current smoker	6.4	9.0	11.0	12.8		16.0	9.9	7.4	5.9	
Ex-smoker	14.4	14.8	15.3	16.9		20.3	16.2	13.2	11.8	
Ever smoked but status unclear	1.4	1.7	2.0	2.2		2.3	1.7	1.8	1.4	
Unknown	2.6	2.3	2.3	2.4		2.2	2.1	2.3	3.0	
Alcohol consumption (%)					< 0.001					< 0.001
Occasional social drinker	53.6	58.9	62.1	62.4		61.4	60.7	59.2	55.7	
Never	32.3	26.8	23.7	21.3		19.6	25.2	27.8	31.5	
Regular drinker	3.0	4.3	5.3	6.9		9.2	4.8	3.1	2.3	
Stopped	10.0	8.8	8.1	8.3		8.8	8.3	8.8	9.2	
Unknown	1.2	1.2	0.9	12.0		1.0	1.0	1.1	1.4	
Strenuous activity (%)					< 0.001					< 0.001
None	45.2	46.2	45.7	43.5		50.5	46.8	44.7	38.4	
1–3 times/week	34.4	34.8	34.3	34.8		31.9	34.4	35.4	36.7	
≥ 4 times/week	17.8	16.3	17.6	19.1		15.2	16.3	17.4	22.0	
Unknown	2.7	2.6	2.4	2.6		2.4	2.5	2.5	2.9	
Moderate activity (%)					< 0.001					< 0.001
None	47.0	46.8	45.6	42.1		51.5	46.9	44.4	38.7	
1–3 times/week	33.0	34.1	34.4	35.2		31.6	34.2	35.1	35.7	
≥ 4 times/week	15.9	15.5	16.6	19.1		13.5	15.3	16.8	21.5	
Unknown	4.1	3.7	3.4	3.6		3.4	3.6	3.7	4.1	
Mild activity (%)					< 0.001					< 0.001
None	59.7	62.0	61.7	59.1		68.1	62.7	59.4	52.3	
1–3 times/week	23.1	22.4	23.1	23.3		19.2	22.8	24.1	25.9	
≥ 4 times/week	12.5	11.3	11.3	13.3		8.7	10.3	12.3	17.1	
Unknown	4.7	4.3	3.9	4.2		4.0	4.2	4.2	4.6	
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )										
Mean	21.9	21.8	21.8	21.8	< 0.001	22.4	21.8	21.5	21.4	< 0.001
SD	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.5		3.5	3.4	3.4	3.3	
High blood pressure (%)	5.1	4.4	4.3	4.3	< 0.001	5.3	4.5	4.2	4.0	< 0.001

\**P* values were based on ANOVA or  $\chi^2$  test.

pattern and  $-0.48$  (95% CI  $-0.54$ ,  $-0.41$ ) for the Prudent dietary pattern.

### Dietary patterns and hypertension

The associations between the Modern and Prudent dietary pattern scores and hypertension incidence are shown in Table 2. Increasing scores on the Modern dietary pattern were associated with increased odds of hypertension in

2013 in the multivariable model (OR = 1.51; 95% CI 1.31, 1.75 for Q4 *v.* Q1, *P* for trend < 0.001, respectively). Addition of BMI to the model substantially attenuated the OR estimates for the associations between the Modern dietary pattern score and hypertension (25%).

Having a higher Prudent dietary pattern score was associated with lower odds of hypertension incidence in 2013 in the age- and sex-adjusted model (OR = 0.84; 95% CI 0.74, 0.96 for Q4 *v.* Q1; *P* for trend = 0.034). However,

**Table 2** Association between dietary patterns and 8-year incidence of hypertension among adults, Thai Cohort Study (*n* 36 293)

	Q1	Q2		Q3		Q4		<i>P</i> for trend
	OR	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI	
<b>Modern dietary pattern</b>								
Model 1	1.00	1.20	1.05, 1.37	1.39	1.21, 1.60	1.58	1.37, 1.82	<0.001
Model 2	1.00	1.18	1.03, 1.34	1.34	1.17, 1.54	1.51	1.31, 1.75	<0.001
Model 3	1.00	1.14	1.00, 1.31	1.26	1.09, 1.45	1.39	1.20, 1.61	<0.001
<b>Prudent dietary pattern</b>								
Model 1	1.00	0.80	0.70, 0.91	0.70	0.61, 0.80	0.84	0.73, 0.96	0.035
Model 2	1.00	0.85	0.75, 0.97	0.76	0.66, 0.88	0.93	0.81, 1.07	0.670
Model 3	1.00	0.88	0.77, 1.01	0.80	0.69, 0.92	0.99	0.86, 1.15	0.613

Model 1 adjusted for age and sex.

Model 2 further adjusted for education, income, smoking, alcohol drinking and physical activity.

Model 3 further adjusted for BMI in 2009 (continuous).

after further adjustment for other covariates the inverse association was not statistically significant for the high intake group.

In the full model, after excluding all those with missing values, there were 32 777 participants (90.3% of the full sample). The association between dietary patterns and hypertension did not change. OR (95% CI) for incident hypertension were 1.00, 1.09 (0.95, 1.26), 1.22 (1.05, 1.42) and 1.35 (1.16, 1.58) across quartiles of the Modern pattern; and 1.00, 0.91 (0.79, 1.05), 0.83 (0.71, 0.96) and 1.04 (0.90, 1.21) across quartiles of the Prudent pattern.

## Discussion

In this large prospective cohort study of adults in Thailand, data collected on twelve transitional food groups plus fruits and vegetables revealed two dietary patterns: Modern and Prudent. Increasing intake of the Modern dietary pattern was positively and progressively associated with the risk of hypertension. No significant association between the Prudent dietary pattern and hypertension was found. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first longitudinal evidence on the association between dietary patterns and hypertension among adults in Thailand.

### Modern dietary pattern and hypertension

A positive association between the Modern dietary pattern and hypertension in our study is consistent with current knowledge gained in Western countries. The Modern pattern had high loadings of processed foods including roasted/smoked foods, instant foods, fermented fruits/vegetables, fermented foods and soft drinks. In Western countries there is a positive association between 'Western' dietary patterns and hypertension<sup>(19–23)</sup>, although a null association has also been reported<sup>(24–28)</sup>. However, in Asia no significant association between a Western dietary pattern and hypertension was found in most existing studies<sup>(29–36)</sup>, with a small number of studies showing a positive association between this pattern and

hypertension<sup>(37–40)</sup>. The Western dietary pattern contains processed foods, is low in fibre and has high amounts of refined carbohydrates, fat (especially saturated fat) and salt.

The attenuation of the association between the Modern dietary pattern and hypertension that was observed after the addition of BMI to the model suggests that body size has a major impact on the association between intake of the Modern dietary pattern and hypertension. Moreover, we found after adjusting for age and sex that a high intake of the Modern dietary pattern was incrementally associated with increased BMI in a dose–response manner. It is well known that a high consumption of high-energy-density foods leads to increased BMI by increasing energy intake. In the TCS data, it has been noted that obesity is a strong risk factor for hypertension<sup>(16)</sup>.

### Prudent pattern and hypertension

A null association between the Prudent dietary pattern and incident hypertension in the age- and sex-adjusted model in our study differs from studies in Western countries. In Western populations, dietary patterns rich in vegetables are associated with reduced risk of hypertension or lower levels of blood pressure<sup>(19–22,26–28)</sup>. However, in Asian populations, the association between vegetable-rich dietary patterns and hypertension or blood pressure remains uncertain. While some studies in Asia found no association between a vegetable-rich dietary pattern and blood pressure<sup>(32)</sup>, other studies found inverse<sup>(33,39)</sup> or positive<sup>(30)</sup> associations. A 'Traditional' pattern with high loading of cereals, green-yellow vegetables, salted vegetables and light-coloured vegetables was not related to the risk of hypertension among Korean women aged 30–79 years<sup>(32)</sup>. It has been hypothesized that the cooking method for vegetables may partly explain the null association. In many Asian countries including Thailand, vegetables are often stir-fried or cooked with salt. Among Chinese adults, high intake of a vegetable-rich food pattern was positively associated with increased body size<sup>(41,42)</sup>. No association between a vegetable-rich food pattern and mortality was found in the Shanghai Women's Health Study<sup>(43)</sup>.

### Limitations and strengths

The main limitation of the present study is the use of a short version of an FFQ without portion size. We were not able to adjust for overall energy and salt intakes. The food items selected in the study represent the ones most commonly consumed in the study population, covering both healthy and unhealthy food components. The dietary data were self-reported, which is a common method to obtain dietary intake information in large epidemiological studies. The two derived dietary patterns show the combination of food items. The second limitation is the use of self-reported doctor-diagnosed hypertension. Under-reporting is of concern as the prevalence of hypertension was lower than in studies using measured blood pressure. However, a validation study conducted with a sample of TCS participants indicated high accuracy of hypertension self-report<sup>(18)</sup>. We did not conduct residence-specific analyses as the multi-variable models have adjusted for education and income levels. The strength of the study is the large sample size and the overall characteristics of the study population living all over Thailand with modest income and typical behaviour. As well, the prospective longitudinal study design and gathering of data on a large array of covariates allowed us to minimize the reverse causation between dietary patterns and incident hypertension across an 8-year period, a substantial portion of adult life. The study has public health significance. It gives a clear message to policy makers and health workers in Thailand that prevention of hypertension should focus on reducing consumption of the modern diet together with other measures. This strategy is now worth testing in the Thai population.

### Conclusions

The Modern dietary pattern was positively associated with the risk of hypertension among Thai adults. No significant association between the Prudent dietary pattern and hypertension was found. Promoting healthy eating by reducing the consumption of modern fast foods is important to prevent hypertension in Thailand.

### Acknowledgements

**Acknowledgements:** The authors thank the Thai Cohort Study team at Sukhothai Thammathirat Open University (STOU) and the STOU students participating in the study. They also thank Dr Bandit Thinkamrop and his data team from Khon Kaen University for their guidance through the data processing. **Financial support:** This work was supported by the International Collaborative Research Grants Scheme with joint grants from the Wellcome Trust UK (grant number GR071587MA) and the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) (grant number 268055). It was also supported by a global

health grant from the NHMRC (grant number 585426). Additional support was provided by the Principal Investigators (A.C.S. and S.S.). The funding agencies had no role in the design, analysis or writing of this article. **Conflict of interest:** The authors have no relevant financial interest in this article. **Authorship:** Z.S. drafted the manuscript. A.C.S. and S.S. conceived, planned and executed the cohort study, obtained and managed supportive grants, devised the dietary questions in consultation with other team members, and reviewed and edited the paper. K.P., V.Y. and M.K. reviewed and edited the manuscript. All the authors approved the final manuscript. **Ethics of human subject participation:** Approval for the study was obtained from Sukhothai Thammathirat Open University Research and Development Institute (protocol number 0522/10) and the Australian National University Human Research Ethics Committee (protocol numbers 2004/344 and 2009/570).

### Supplementary material

To view supplementary material for this article, please visit <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980018002203>

### References

1. Lopez AD, Mathers CD, Ezzati M *et al.* (2006) Global and regional burden of disease and risk factors, 2001: systematic analysis of population health data. *Lancet* **367**, 1747–1757.
2. Appel LJ, Giles TD, Black HR *et al.* (2010) ASH position paper: dietary approaches to lower blood pressure. *J Am Soc Hypertens* **4**, 79–89.
3. Altorf-van der Kuil W, Engberink MF, Brink EJ *et al.* (2010) Dietary protein and blood pressure: a systematic review. *PLoS One* **5**, e12102.
4. Champagne CM (2008) Magnesium in hypertension, cardiovascular disease, metabolic syndrome, and other conditions: a review. *Nutr Clin Pract* **23**, 142–151.
5. Elliott P, Kesteloot H, Appel LJ *et al.* (2008) Dietary phosphorus and blood pressure: international study of macro- and micro-nutrients and blood pressure. *Hypertension* **51**, 669–675.
6. Ueshima H, Stamler J, Elliott P *et al.* (2007) Food omega-3 fatty acid intake of individuals (total, linolenic acid, long-chain) and their blood pressure: INTERMAP study. *Hypertension* **50**, 313–319.
7. Brown IJ, Stamler J, Van Horn L *et al.* (2011) Sugar-sweetened beverage, sugar intake of individuals, and their blood pressure: international study of macro/micronutrients and blood pressure. *Hypertension* **57**, 695–701.
8. Sakurai M, Stamler J, Miura K *et al.* (2011) Relationship of dietary cholesterol to blood pressure: the INTERMAP study. *J Hypertens* **29**, 222–228.
9. Tzoulaki I, Brown IJ, Chan Q *et al.* (2008) Relation of iron and red meat intake to blood pressure: cross sectional epidemiological study. *BMJ* **337**, a258.
10. Ndanuko RN, Tapsell LC, Charlton KE *et al.* (2016) Dietary patterns and blood pressure in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Adv Nutr* **7**, 76–89.
11. Imamura F & Jacques PF (2011) Invited commentary: dietary pattern analysis. *Am J Epidemiol* **173**, 1105–1108.



12. Schulze MB & Hu FB (2002) Dietary patterns and risk of hypertension, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and coronary heart disease. *Curr Atheroscler Rep* **4**, 462–467.
13. Newby PK & Tucker KL (2004) Empirically derived eating patterns using factor or cluster analysis: a review. *Nutr Rev* **62**, 177–203.
14. Baxter AJ, Coyne T & McClintock C (2006) Dietary patterns and metabolic syndrome – a review of epidemiologic evidence. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* **15**, 134–142.
15. Aekplakorn W, Satheannoppakao W, Putwatana P *et al.* (2015) Dietary pattern and metabolic syndrome in Thai adults. *J Nutr Metab* **2015**, 468759.
16. Thawornchaisit P, de Looze F, Reid CM *et al.* (2013) Health risk factors and the incidence of hypertension: 4-year prospective findings from a national cohort of 60 569 Thai Open University students. *BMJ Open* **3**, e002826.
17. Sleight AC, Seubsman SA, Bain C *et al.* (2008) Cohort profile: the Thai Cohort of 87,134 Open University students. *Int J Epidemiol* **37**, 266–272.
18. Thawornchaisit P, de Looze F, Reid CM *et al.* (2013) Validity of self-reported hypertension: findings from the Thai Cohort Study compared to physician telephone interview. *Glob J Health Sci* **6**, 1–11.
19. Oliveira A, Rodriguez-Artalejo F, Gaio R *et al.* (2011) Major habitual dietary patterns are associated with acute myocardial infarction and cardiovascular risk markers in a southern European population. *J Am Diet Assoc* **111**, 241–250.
20. Heidemann C, Scheidt-Nave C, Richter A *et al.* (2011) Dietary patterns are associated with cardiometabolic risk factors in a representative study population of German adults. *Br J Nutr* **106**, 1253–1262.
21. Eilat-Adar S, Mete M, Nobmann ED *et al.* (2009) Dietary patterns are linked to cardiovascular risk factors but not to inflammatory markers in Alaska Eskimos. *J Nutr* **139**, 2322–2328.
22. Berg CM, Lappas G, Strandhagen E *et al.* (2008) Food patterns and cardiovascular disease risk factors: the Swedish INTERGENE research program. *Am J Clin Nutr* **88**, 289–297.
23. Denova-Gutierrez E, Castanon S, Talavera JO *et al.* (2010) Dietary patterns are associated with metabolic syndrome in an urban Mexican population. *J Nutr* **140**, 1855–1863.
24. Ambrosini GL, Huang RC, Mori TA *et al.* (2010) Dietary patterns and markers for the metabolic syndrome in Australian adolescents. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* **20**, 274–283.
25. Liu E, McKeown NM, Newby PK *et al.* (2009) Cross-sectional association of dietary patterns with insulin-resistant phenotypes among adults without diabetes in the Framingham Offspring Study. *Br J Nutr* **102**, 576–583.
26. Centritto F, Iacoviello L, di Giuseppe R *et al.* (2009) Dietary patterns, cardiovascular risk factors and C-reactive protein in a healthy Italian population. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* **19**, 697–706.
27. McNaughton SA, Ball K, Mishra GD *et al.* (2008) Dietary patterns of adolescents and risk of obesity and hypertension. *J Nutr* **138**, 364–370.
28. Olinto MT, Gigante DP, Horta B *et al.* (2011) Major dietary patterns and cardiovascular risk factors among young Brazilian adults. *Eur J Nutr* **51**, 281–291.
29. Song Y & Joung H (2012) A traditional Korean dietary pattern and metabolic syndrome abnormalities. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* **22**, 456–462.
30. Lee JE, Kim JH, Son SJ *et al.* (2011) Dietary pattern classifications with nutrient intake and health-risk factors in Korean men. *Nutrition* **27**, 26–33.
31. Kim YO (2009) Dietary patterns associated with hypertension among Korean males. *Nutr Res Pract* **3**, 162–166.
32. Cho YA, Kim J, Cho ER *et al.* (2011) Dietary patterns and the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Korean women. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* **21**, 893–900.
33. Wang D, He Y, Li Y *et al.* (2011) Dietary patterns and hypertension among Chinese adults: a nationally representative cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health* **11**, 925.
34. Daniel CR, Prabhakaran D, Kapur K *et al.* (2011) A cross-sectional investigation of regional patterns of diet and cardio-metabolic risk in India. *Nutr J* **10**, 12.
35. Ganguli D, Das N, Saha I *et al.* (2011) Major dietary patterns and their associations with cardiovascular risk factors among women in West Bengal, India. *Br J Nutr* **105**, 1520–1529.
36. Rezazadeh A, Rashidkhani B & Omidvar N (2010) Association of major dietary patterns with socioeconomic and lifestyle factors of adult women living in Tehran, Iran. *Nutrition* **26**, 337–341.
37. Kim J & Jo I (2011) Grains, vegetables, and fish dietary pattern is inversely associated with the risk of metabolic syndrome in South Korean adults. *J Am Diet Assoc* **111**, 1141–1149.
38. Lee SA, Cai H, Yang G *et al.* (2010) Dietary patterns and blood pressure among middle-aged and elderly Chinese men in Shanghai. *Br J Nutr* **104**, 265–275.
39. Sadakane A, Tsutsumi A, Gotoh T *et al.* (2008) Dietary patterns and levels of blood pressure and serum lipids in a Japanese population. *J Epidemiol* **18**, 58–67.
40. Amini M, Esmaillzadeh A, Shafaeizadeh S *et al.* (2010) Relationship between major dietary patterns and metabolic syndrome among individuals with impaired glucose tolerance. *Nutrition* **26**, 986–992.
41. Shi Z, Hu X, Yuan B *et al.* (2008) Vegetable-rich food pattern is related to obesity in China. *Int J Obes (Lond)* **32**, 975–984.
42. Shi Z, Yuan B, Hu G *et al.* (2011) Dietary pattern and weight change in a 5-year follow-up among Chinese adults: results from the Jiangsu Nutrition Study. *Br J Nutr* **105**, 1047–1054.
43. Cai H, Shu XO, Gao YT *et al.* (2007) A prospective study of dietary patterns and mortality in Chinese women. *Epidemiology* **18**, 393–401.