The surge in the prevalence of multidrug-resistant (MDR) Gram-negative bacterial infections led to the use of colistin as a treatment for MDR pathogens. This study aimed to determine economical, simple, and reliable colistin susceptibility testing methods as an alternative to the time- and effort-consuming microdilution technique. Several susceptibility-testing methods were examined on 63 isolates, and the data were analyzed using different statistical methods. Results showed that disk diffusion is still an ineffective method for measuring colistin susceptibility to Gram-negative Bacilli. E-test, ComASPSTM SensiTest, and Phoenix ID/AST automated colistin methods are similar to the current recommended broth microdilution procedure in terms of reproducibility, sturdiness, and simplicity. Our study revealed that E-test and ComASPSTM SensiTest and Phoenix techniques are recommended for routine laboratories with a large workload.

Colistin is the last resort for multidrug resistant Gram-negative Bacilli (MDR-GNB), mainly against Enterobacteriaceae (1,2). Several MDR-GNB bacteria developed their defence mechanisms against colistin. Broth microdilution is recommended method by both the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) and the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) for colistin susceptibility testing (3). However, this method is impractical for clinical laboratories due to the large sample load in routine microbiology laboratories (4). Thus, an alternative, more practical method is needed. The study outcomes will provide a framework for clinical laboratories to select the appropriate method to test for colistin resistance by comparing the different colistin susceptibility testing methods on some gram-negative bacilli to find the feasible colistin test.

**OBJECTIVES**

- To compare seven methods of colistin susceptibility testing to the gold standard broth microdilution. These methods include Disk diffusion, E-test, CHROMagar™ COL-APSE, ComASPSTM SensiTest Colistin, broth disk elution, Colistin agar test, and BD Phoenix ID/AST.
- To identify the colistin resistance’s genetic determinants to find if it affects the phenotypic testing method.

**RESULTS**

The disk diffusion method showed low values for all the statistical analyses. In contrast, the highest values of sensitivity, specificity, categorical agreement, kappa value, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value were reported on Phoenix, ComASPSTM sensitest, and E-test methods compared with the microbroth dilution reference method. Our study did not ensure any relation between the type of colistin resistance genetic determinant (chromosomal/plasmid-mediated) and the performance of the specific colistin susceptibility test.

**CONCLUSIONS**

- The results of this study suggest that Phoenix, E-test and ComASPSTM SensiTest colistin methods have remained superior in terms of reproducibility, sturdiness, and simplicity of use with a performance similar to the current recommended BMD procedure.
- These methods can be used as an alternative to the current laborious, microdilution broth microdilution technique.
- This study did not ensure any relation between the type of colistin resistance genetic determinant (chromosomal/plasmid-mediated) and the performance of the specific colistin susceptibility test.
- Disk diffusion is still an ineffective method for measuring colistin susceptibility to Gram-negative Bacilli.
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