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Abstract: The catalytic conversion of CO$_2$ to CO by the reverse water gas shift (RWGS) reaction followed by well-established synthesis gas conversion technologies could be a practical technique to convert CO$_2$ to valuable chemicals and fuels in industrial settings. For catalyst developers, prevention of side reactions like methanation, low-temperature activity, and selectivity enhancements for the RWGS reaction are crucial concerns. Cerium oxide (ceria, CeO$_2$) has received considerable attention in recent years due to its exceptional physical and chemical properties. This study reviews the use of ceria-supported active metal catalysts in RWGS reaction along with discussing some basic and fundamental features of ceria. The RWGS reaction mechanism, reaction kinetics on supported catalysts, as well as the importance of oxygen vacancies are also explored. Besides, recent advances in CeO$_2$ supported metal catalyst design strategies for increasing CO$_2$ conversion activity and selectivity towards CO are systematically identified, summarized, and assessed to understand the impacts of physicochemical parameters on catalytic performance such as morphologies, nanosize effects, compositions, promotional abilities, metal-support interactions (MSI) and the role of selected synthesis procedures for forming distinct structural morphologies. This brief review may help with future RWGS catalyst design and optimization.
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1. Introduction

Carbon dioxide has been identified as the primary anthropogenic greenhouse gas that has resulted in catastrophic climate change and ocean acidification [1,2]. Various approaches have been employed to reduce the amount of CO$_2$ in the atmosphere. For example, power-to-liquid (PtL) sustainable aviation fuel (SAF) was recently proposed as a long-term and scalable solution to minimize aircraft CO$_2$ emissions. The procedure turns CO$_2$ into a synthetic fuel with less sulfur and fewer aromatics, which enhances local air quality and minimizes the effect of aviation at high altitudes [3]. On the other hand, since enormous amounts of low-cost, relatively pure carbon dioxide are available from carbon sequestration and storage facilities, more efforts have been made to utilize CO$_2$ as an alternative C1 source rather than merely considering it as waste [4]. A unique and appealing alternative to storing CO$_2$ through sequestration would be recycling the gas into energy-rich compounds via carbon capture, storage and utilization (CCSU) [5,6]. E-fuels, also known as electrofuels or powerfuels, are hydrocarbon fuels produced from hydrogen and CO$_2$ in which hydrogen is generated from water and electricity through electrolysis and CO$_2$ is either captured from fossil sources (such as industrial sectors) or the atmosphere [7–9]. E-fuels aim to directly electrify a system without the demand-side adjustments necessary for a direct electrification by substituting fossil fuels with renewable power [7]. However, the CO$_2$ molecule is a relatively inert and unreactive molecule with a high level of thermodynamic and chemical stability due to its linear chemical structure with double bonds connecting the carbon and oxygen atoms, so converting it to the more...
reactive CO is energy-intensive [10]. Among the systems currently available for CO₂ conversion, catalytic conversion to CO, commonly known as the reverse water-gas shift (RWGS) reaction (Equation (1)), is one of the most promising reversible hydrogenation methods that offer a high potential efficiency [11].

$$\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H^0 = +41.3 \text{ kJ/mol}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

RWGS reaction is recognized as an important intermediate stage in a number of key CO₂ hydrogenation reactions such as the Sabatier process [12] and methanol synthesis [13], and is hence referred to as the “building block stage” [14]. Synthesis gas (CO + H₂), a crucial precursor in the field of C1 chemistry, can be produced using the RWGS reaction in the presence of an appropriate catalyst. The syngas can further be used as a feedstock for the Fischer–Tropsch synthesis reaction (FTS) to produce organic compounds, such as methanol (a crucial component of synthetic fuels and polymers), hydrocarbons, or oxygenated hydrocarbons [15,16]. ExxonMobil recently revealed that its “methanol-to-jet” technology can provide SAF from methanol derived through waste, biomass, captured carbon dioxide, and low-carbon hydrogen [17]. However, further side reactions, such as CO methanation (Equation (2)) [18], could emerge under the same reaction conditions, consuming a large amount of hydrogen. The CO₂ methanation reaction is an exothermic catalytic process that normally takes place at temperatures from 150 °C to 550 °C in the presence of a catalyst [19]. The CO₂ conversion and CH₄ selectivity can almost approach 100%; however, as the temperature rises, the reaction rate increases [20], with preference for RWGS at higher temperature. Therefore, at low reaction temperatures, the highly exothermic methanation reaction is thermodynamically more preferred to the slightly endothermic RWGS reaction [21,22]; hence, reducing methanation throughout RWGS has been a challenging issue. A remaining concern seems to be either the RWGS reaction should be operated at high temperature (over 900 °C), which is thermodynamically favorable, but carbon and undesirable byproducts may also be present; or it should be performed at low temperatures (below 500 °C), in which case it is not kinetically favored but may be made up for by extensive catalyst use [23]. Over the temperature range of 100 to 1000 °C, Kaiser et al. investigated the equilibrium composition of the gaseous products in RWGS reaction for a three-to-one molar H₂/CO₂ input ratio [24]. Based on the results, methanation was thermodynamically preferred at low temperatures (below 600 °C), while, the only product that could form at temperatures beyond 700 °C was CO and very little to no methane. However, to cut down on the energy losses and investment expenses, the temperature must be kept as low as feasible [24]. They proposed that using RWGS at greater pressures in conjunction with high temperature and high-pressure steam electrolysis might be an alternative [24]. Additionally, the FTS normally operates at 2.5 MPa, and the produced syngas or the RWGS supply gas must be compressed [25]. Kaiser et al. came to the conclusion that at this pressure (2.5 MPa), the methane curve was pushed to higher temperatures; for instance, at 900 °C, the equilibrium methane level was 4 mol% as opposed to 660 °C at 1 atm pressure [24]. When Unde et al. tested the Al₂O₃ catalyst through RWGS reaction, they discovered that the CO₂ to CO conversion equilibrium was reached at a high temperature of 900 °C. Reaction was controlled kinetically between 300 and 700 °C, and thermodynamically above this temperature range [26]. As a result, production of active RWGS catalysts operated at low-temperature with higher CO selectivity and limited CH₄ production was required. Insight into the mechanisms of CO production is also vital for rational catalyst design in such processes. Various reaction routes could lead to various kinetic parameters and selectivity variations for CO₂ hydrogenation [27].

$$\text{CO}_2 + 3\text{H}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{CH}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H^0 = -206.5 \text{ kJ/mol}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

According to the concept of microscopic reversibility and the fact that the RWGS reaction is typically carried out at equilibrium, the active catalysts in the water gas shift (WGS) process are also effective in the RWGS reaction, but may be under different reaction
conditions, suggesting that similar catalysts should enhance both reactions [28]. Some typical features of WGS catalysts include the presence of oxygen vacancies, the strength at which CO can be adsorbed, and activity for dissociation of water [29]. In our earlier study, a thorough overview of the most recent advancements of catalysts utilized in low-temperature WGS reactions is presented [30]. In various CO\textsubscript{2} conversion processes, many types of catalysts have been used, including oxide-supported metal catalysts and oxide catalysts in which cerium oxide (CeO\textsubscript{2}) has had a key role [30,31]. CeO\textsubscript{2} is a typical rare earth metal oxide with a face-centered cubic (FCC) fluorite structural pattern, and has oxygen storage capacity (OSC) and a number of intriguing features that can be exploited to improve catalytic efficiency [28,32]. In comparison to other reducible oxides, oxygen vacancies on the surface of CeO\textsubscript{2} are more easily formed during the reduction process owing to its unique electron arrangement [33]. Besides, the reversible redox pair Ce\textsuperscript{3+}/Ce\textsuperscript{4+} and the acid basic surface properties of CeO\textsubscript{2} are effectively leading to its broader catalytic application [34]. It was found that the reducibility of ceria had an inverse connection with the bimetallic cluster promoted local electronic band, which caused the stability of germinal OH groups and was assumed to be the reason for higher WGS activity [35]. Besides, the RWGS reaction has been reported to work well with noble metal–loaded CeO\textsubscript{2} catalysts [36]. In a comparative study, Castao et al. looked at the efficiency of platinum and gold catalysts on ceria supports [37]. Transition metals supported on CeO\textsubscript{2} also have greater RWGS activity than metals supported on non-reducible supports. Moreira et al. investigated the sorption-accelerated WGS process at low temperature (125–295 °C) over Cu-CeO\textsubscript{2}/HTlc catalysts; Cu supported on polyhedral nanoparticle-sized ceria displayed a high conversion of 87.6% [38]. Comparing the performances of 1.7% Pt-CeO\textsubscript{2} and Pt-Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} at 573 K in WGS reaction, Porosof and Chen examined the amount of CO uptake as an indicator of the dispersion of Pt metal. They found out that the amount of CO uptake using Pt-CeO\textsubscript{2} is ~5.7 times higher than that on Pt-Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} [39].

As it is shown in Figure 1, the CeO\textsubscript{2} nanocrystal surface consists of three low-index lattice planes: (100), (110), and (111) [40,41]. The three planes have distinct activity and follow the sequence (100) > (110) > (111), while showing an opposite trend for stability [42–45]. The basis of the face impact of ceria on catalytic function is the variation in electronic properties and surface atomic configuration of ceria, both of which affect the ability of oxygen vacancy formation and the structure of surface intermediates [46–50]. Based on catalytic activity evaluation, CeO\textsubscript{2} alone as a catalyst does not perform well and can only generate a limited number of oxygen vacancies; therefore, adding metal components to CeO\textsubscript{2} improves its reducibility and capacity to create oxygen vacancies [51,52]. The role of ceria in distributing the active phase appears to be particularly important in defining CO selectivity. According to recent findings, large metal particles are generally selective for methane, but well-dispersed smaller nanoparticles are more in favor of the RWGS reaction than methanation [53–55]. The potential of ceria surface to initiate a variety of CO\textsubscript{2} reaction pathways leading to various products is also important in this regard [56,57]. Different synthesis methods [58] and treatment procedures can be used to design and control the shape and size of ceria nanocrystals in order to increase redox characteristics and catalytic activity [59,60].

The use of different catalysts in the RWGS reaction has been considered in some review articles related to the catalytic conversion of CO\textsubscript{2} to chemicals and fuels [61,62]. Porosof et al., for example, looked at several catalysts for converting CO\textsubscript{2} to CO, methanol, and liquid hydrocarbons [63]. Kattel et al. investigated the conversion of CO\textsubscript{2} to the CO products containing C\textsubscript{1} only, such as CO, methanol, and methane, with an emphasis on the effect of metal/oxide catalyst interfaces in these processes [64]. Moreover, attempts have been made to review the RWGS reaction mechanism on supported metal and oxide catalysts. For example, Su et al. looked into the RWGS reaction pathways over different types of catalysts (supported metal catalysts (including ceria), mixed oxide catalysts, and transition metal carbide catalysts) [65]. After going through many papers utilizing various catalysts for each type, they found that large volumes of water are generated in all CO\textsubscript{2}
hydrogenation processes, and the creation of hydroxyls can poison the catalyst. Therefore, due to the fact that RWGS reaction is typically carried out at a moderate to high temperature, catalysts with great water tolerance are desirable for practical applications [65]. As they suggested, this shortcoming might be resolved by converting CO\textsubscript{2} to CO using the RWGS method and then treating the CO\textsubscript{2} with a moisture separator unit before it enters the subsequent reactor systems [65]. In any case, stable, modern water-tolerant catalysts with affordable facilities are still appealing for direct CO\textsubscript{2} conversion. On the other side, there are several studies describing the principles of CeO\textsubscript{2}, and a number of recent reviews detail their catalytic uses in different CO\textsubscript{2} hydrogenation reactions [66–69].

![CeO\textsubscript{2} FCC Unit cell, (b–d) the (100) [or (200)], (110), and (111) planes of the CeO\textsubscript{2} structure, reprinted with permission from [41]. Copyright Royal Society of Chemistry, 2014.]

Unlike prior studies that provided an overview of several types of catalysts for the RWGS reaction, this review focuses on the function of ceria-supported catalysts in the RWGS reaction for CO\textsubscript{2} valorization, to highlight the benefits and drawbacks of using selected catalytic systems. Besides, the physicochemical properties of supported catalysts, which affect the catalytic activity/stability and CO selectivity in the RWGS reaction, such as the morphologies, metal loading, and metal size, are discussed to explain the structure–activity correlations. The unique significance of ceria in RWGS reaction mechanisms is also discussed with the prospect of developing cost-effective formulations based on ceria oxides to help relevant CO\textsubscript{2} conversion technologies gain market acceptance. In order to comprehend how CeO\textsubscript{2} performs in the RWGS reaction system, a detailed review of reaction mechanism is performed in addition to considering the thermodynamic factors. With all these aspects, the objective of this review is to provide a comprehensive framework for understanding the development of heterogeneous catalysts based on CeO\textsubscript{2} support that successfully catalyze the RWGS reaction. The review also examines the associated challenges and presents the future prospects of this field.

2. Mechanism

According to the literature, the nature of the support has a substantial influence on the reaction mechanism [70,71]. Two RWGS mechanisms have been suggested based on reaction kinetics, spectroscopy, and density functional theory (DFT) simulations; Surface Redox Mechanism and Associative Mechanism [72,73]. Nevertheless, redox and associative mechanisms have been highly controversial since the mechanism is so susceptible to the
catalyst types and reaction conditions. Hence, no clear consensus on the RWGS reaction mechanism has been established yet [74]. The primary step that is different between the two pathways is whether the dissociated H atoms are implicated in the production of carbon-containing intermediates, e.g., formates and carboxylics [75]; the potential chemical pathways are depicted schematically in Figure 2 [76].

Figure 2. Simple schematic of RWGS mechanism for direct and H-assisted CO\textsubscript{2} dissociation processes, reprinted with permission from [76]. Copyright Royal Society of Chemistry, 2021.

In the redox mechanism, CO\textsubscript{2} is reduced to CO while the catalyst is directly oxidized, and subsequently H\textsubscript{2} reduces the catalyst to fulfill the catalytic turnover (Equations (3) and (4)) [77]. This mechanism is only viable when two essential criteria are met: (i) H\textsubscript{2} can reduce the catalyst surface and (ii) the partially reduced catalyst surface can be reoxidized effectively by CO\textsubscript{2} under RWGS experimental parameters [78]. Reducible oxide catalysts, which have the advantage of being easily reduced and oxidized during the reaction settings due to the fact that oxygen is provided by the support itself, have been found to largely follow this mechanism [79]. In the case of CeO\textsubscript{2}, CO adsorbs on a metal surface and gets oxidized by oxygen atoms from the ceria, before being re-oxidized by water [80]. This mechanism is most commonly reported on Cu-based catalysts. For example, Lin et al. investigated Cu-CeO\textsubscript{2} nancatalysts in the RWGS reaction and discovered that it follows the redox mechanism to effectively improve CO\textsubscript{2} dissociative activation (Figure 3a) [81].

In another study by Lee et al. a series of catalysts with different CeO\textsubscript{2} and TiO\textsubscript{2} support proportions were synthesized via impregnation [82]. They discovered that in the RWGS reaction over Pt/CeO\textsubscript{2}-TiO\textsubscript{2} catalyst, the redox and dissociation mechanisms coexisted, and more importantly, adding a proper amount of CeO\textsubscript{2} improved the redox properties and catalytic activity (Figure 3b) [82]. According to Kim et al., the sequencing of the injections affects how much CO is produced [79]. Therefore, Lee et al. measured the CO produced by feeding CO\textsubscript{2} after H\textsubscript{2} for the first run of their step reaction tests and the CO produced by feeding H\textsubscript{2} after CO\textsubscript{2} for the second run in order to investigate the impact of the injection sequence [82]. The results showed that CO production increases when H\textsubscript{2} is added first [82]. The catalytic mechanisms of RWGS on ceria nanocrystal were explored by Liu et al., with a function of oxygen vacancies in ceria being particularly highlighted [83]. CO can be produced by two alternative CO\textsubscript{2} dissociation routes, one of which takes place over ceria oxygen vacancy. As it is concluded by them, in case of ceria, the oxygen vacancy could travel through bulk active oxygen. The oxygen-ion conductivity and density of surface oxygen vacancies were boosted by the apt nanostructure of ceria, which included more exposed active planes and bulk mobile oxygens [83]. Furthermore, adsorbed or dissociated hydrogen can interact with the surface active oxygen of ceria to form water and generate a new oxygen vacancy at the initial location (Figure 3c) [83].
They investigated the mechanism using Ni as an active site supported on CeO$_2$ and found that CO is directly formed by the dissociation adsorption of CO$_2$ on the nickel surface (Figure 3d) [83]. Absorbed hydrogen, whether dissociated or not, combines with oxygen species provided by CO$_2$ dissociation to form water, and then with CO to make CH$_x$ species, e.g., CH$_4$ [83].

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CO}_2 + * & \rightleftharpoons \text{CO} + \text{O} - * \quad (3) \\
\text{H}_2 + \text{O} - * & \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2\text{O} + * \quad (4)
\end{align*}
\]

where * stands for a surface site, such as a metal surface site.

![Figure 3](image_url)

**Figure 3.** The Redox reaction mechanism of the RWGS reaction over (a) Cu-CeO$_2$, reprinted with permission from [81]. Copyright ACS, 2018, (b) Pt-CeO$_2$-TiO$_2$, (c) ceria nanocube, reprinted with permission from [83]. Elsevier, 2016, and (d) Ni-CeO$_2$ nanocube, reprinted with permission from [83]. Elsevier, 2016.

The adsorption and dissociation of CO$_2$ on defects of ceria surface followed by the generation of surface carbonate and formate species are also often postulated as reaction mechanism for the RWGS reaction over ceria-supported catalysts [84]. Cu/CeO$_2$ catalysts have been found to have significant catalytic activity, probably due to the emergence of intermediates like formates and bidentate carbonates [81]. In this mechanism, known as the “associative mechanism”, CO$_2$ adsorbs onto the catalyst surface and interacts with dissociated H in order to create transitional molecules such as formate (*HCOO), carboxyl (*COOH), carbonate (CO$_3^{2-}$), and bicarbonate (HCO$_3^-$), that will then be degraded to the final RWGS reaction products, CO and H$_2$O [65]. For example, in a study led by Zhu et al. the reaction over the Au/CeO$_2$ catalyst was found to follow an associative pathway through a surface formate intermediate, which was proven by DRIFTS and mass spectrometry analysis [85]. In another study conducted by Lu et al., the RWGS reaction mechanism over liquid nitrogen (LN)-CeO$_2$ catalyst was evaluated resulting in formation of intermediate formates as shown in Figure 4a [86]. They examined the reaction intermediates in the RWGS reaction using in situ FTIR to identify the types of reactants adsorbed and carbonate species generated on LN-CeO$_2$ catalysts and understand the pathway of the CO$_2$ hydrogenation process (Figure 5a) [86]. The spectra peaks that they described are presented as follows [86]. The notable peaks between 2200 and 2500 cm$^{-1}$ could be explained by different types of CO$_2$ or gas molecules that have been adsorbed on the LN-CeO$_2$ surface. Two sharp peaks were visible at 3730 and 3628 cm$^{-1}$, and many small peaks between 3800
and 3000 cm\(^{-1}\) can be attributed to the stretching vibration of the OH group on the LN-CeO\(_2\)-100 catalysts. The large peaks that appear at frequencies from 2500 and 2800 cm\(^{-1}\) centered near 2560 cm\(^{-1}\) were caused by the stretching vibration of the COO group of the carboxylate on the LN-CeO\(_2\)-100 catalysts. The broad peak, which occurred at 2078 cm\(^{-1}\), was related to CO-Ce\(^{3+}\) and was caused by CO adsorption on LN-CeO\(_2\) catalysts. The sharp peak at 1036 cm\(^{-1}\) and many smaller peaks around 1020–1100 cm\(^{-1}\) may be linked to the vibration modes of carbonate species produced on the LN–CeO\(_2\) catalyst surface. It is possible to attribute the sharp peak at 1278 cm\(^{-1}\) to the bidentate carbonates O-C-O stretching vibration. The peaks, which were the typical peak of formate species, were found approximately at 1600 cm\(^{-1}\), and can be linked to the asymmetric stretching vibration of the O-C-O group. These results indicate that the formate (HCOO\(^-\)) species was formed by LN-CeO\(_2\) catalysts. In this scenario, the intermediate formate (HCOO\(^-\)) species in the CO\(_2\) hydrogenation process could be configured on the LN-CeO\(_2\)-100 catalysts, and the formate (HCOO\(^-\)) species passed through deconstruction to release CO at high temperature [87].

The decomposition of formate has long been regarded as a significant pathway for the RWGS reaction. Nonetheless, one of the most essential paths, particularly for reducible oxide-supported metal catalysts, is the carbonate route [88]. Surface carbonates are designated as reaction intermediates, while formates are characterized as “minor intermediates” owing to a stronger bonding and lower exchange rate found in transient isotopic studies [89]. Using in situ DRIFTS experiments, Zhang et al. revealed that CO\(_2\) may be activated efficiently over the Cu-CeO\(_2\) catalyst via the formate and carbonate pathways in the presence of oxygen vacancies [88]. To discover reaction intermediates and speculate the probable reaction routes, Dai et al. performed in situ DRIFTS analysis on a series of Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\)CeO\(_2\) composite oxide catalysts with varied Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) and CeO\(_2\) ratios [90]. They concluded that, in case of pure Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) with no CeO\(_2\) the system creates bicarbonate intermediates, which are more likely to hydrogenate to CH\(_4\) at high H\(_2\) levels. The reaction mechanism for composite oxides and CeO\(_2\) may involve the formation of formate, which reacts with H\(^+\) to generate HCOOH\(^*\), dehydrates to produce CO\(^*\), and then eventually transforms to CO (Figure 4b) [90]. Li. et al. used Cu and Cu-In active metals to get insight into the RWGS reaction mechanisms over the bimetallic catalysts on CeO\(_2\) support [91]. In case of Cu-CeO\(_2\), the rich contact between a highly dispersed Cu, for H\(_2\) dissociation (Equation (5)), and defective CeO\(_2\), for CO\(_2\) activation (Equation (6)), results in a high RWGS activity on CeO\(_2\) (Figure 4c). On the other hand, Cu5In5-CeO\(_2\) has lower RWGS activity than Cu10-CeO\(_2\) due to the presence of In, which enhances the level of Cu aggregation and fills oxygen vacancies on CeO\(_2\) (Figure 4d). The catalysts were followed the routes shown in Equations (5)–(8) [91].

\[
H_2 \rightleftharpoons 2H^*
\]  
\[
CO_2 + O^* \rightleftharpoons CO_3^2-
\]  
\[
2H^* + CO_3^2- \rightleftharpoons HCOO^*
\]  
\[
H^* + HCOO^* \rightleftharpoons CO + H_2O
\]

Although noble metal–loaded CeO\(_2\) catalysts have been proven to be active for the RWGS reaction, the reaction mechanism remains a point of contention. Earlier research on Pt-CeO\(_2\) catalysts, for instance, has revealed that intermediates like carbonates and formates have an essential part in the reaction, implying an associative mechanism [89,92]. At 300 °C, however, WGS process is predominantly controlled by a redox mechanism over Pt-CeO\(_2\) catalyst [93]. Chen et al. in their research work on Pt-CeO\(_2\) catalyst identified that despite the fact the redox and dissociation mechanisms coexisted in the RWGS reaction over Pt/CeO\(_2\) catalyst, the dissociation mechanism, which involves the creation of intermediates, has been identified as the main pathway for CO generation due to difficulty of the CO\(_2\) molecules adsorbing on Ce\(^{3+}\) active sites to directly generating CO (Figure 6a) [94]. In situ reflectance FTIR studies were carried out to gain more understanding of the reaction process and to analyze the generated intermediates during the RWGS reaction (Figure 5b) [94]. The
peaks at 2045 and 1772 cm\(^{-1}\) were thought to be responsible for the linear- and bridge-bonded CO on the reduced Pt particles’ surface. The peak at 1940 cm\(^{-1}\) was attributed to the linearly adsorbed CO at the Pt and CeO\(_2\) contact areas. The spectrum at 2130 cm\(^{-1}\) was compatible with the Ce\(^{3+}\)–CO assignment, where the CO is adsorbed on Ce\(^{3+}\) sites. The peaks of 2830 and 1600 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively, emerged by formation of the formate and carbonate species. Purged by He stream, the evidence of the formates and CO adsorbed on Ce\(^{3+}\) sites diminished immediately, whereas the strength of the peaks due to the linearly adsorbed CO at the contact sites and the Pt-CO species faded gradually. This indicates that even while inert gas was flowing, the produced CO was highly adsorbed on certain metals or interfacial sites. In the meantime, there is a weak connection between the produced formate species and the CO adsorbed on Ce\(^{3+}\) sites. The findings reveal that it is challenging for the CO\(_2\) molecules adsorbed on Ce\(^{3+}\) active sites to produce CO directly (surface redox mechanism). The alternate dissociative pathway is more likely, where the synthesis of formate species as major intermediates is possible via the interaction of adsorbed CO\(_2\) with H\(_2\) that ultimately decomposes into CO [94].

Figure 4. The associative mechanism of the RWGS reaction over (a) LN-CeO\(_2\), reprinted with permission from [86]. Copyright Elsevier, 2022, (b) Ga\(_3\)O\(_3\)–CeO\(_2\), reprinted with permission from [90]. Copyright Wiley, 2022, (c) Cu-CeO\(_2\), reprinted with permission from [91]. Copyright ACS, 2022, (d) Cu\(_5\)In\(_5\)-CeO\(_2\), reprinted with permission from [91]. Copyright ACS, 2022.

Goguet et al. investigated the reaction mechanism and intermediates in RWGS using a Pt/CeO\(_2\) catalyst and reported that it followed the redox mechanism [84]. They stated that the RWGS reaction took place through surface carbonate intermediates, with carbonate species forming at the reduced CeO\(_2\) surface (Figure 6b) [84]. On Au-CeO\(_2\) catalysts, heterolytic dissociation is feasible at 150 °C, leading to a hydroxide and gold hydride groups [95]. In order to have a better understanding of the mechanism, Wang et al. conducted research on Au-CeO\(_2\) (Figure 6c,d) [80,96]. In their study in 2013, they found that CO\(_2\) reacts with a pre-reduced Au/CeO\(_2\) catalyst to produce active oxygen, indicating a redox mechanism for the RWGS reaction at temperatures over 200 °C (Figure 6c) [80]. Later, in their published work in 2015, they concluded that even though it was thought that the RWGS reaction was dominated by an associative reaction pathway, some evidence of redox mechanism was seen in the RWGS reaction at temperatures above 120 °C (Figure 6d) [96].
There are some reports indicating the reaction to follow neither of the two dominant pathways. Shen et al., for example, investigated the mechanism on the Ni-CeO$_2$ catalyst in the RWGS reaction and proposed a novel perspective into the reaction mechanism (Figure 7), arguing that CO$_2$ was initially adsorbed on the hydroxyl groups of Ce$^{3+}$–OH rather than oxygen vacancies (1, 2), resulting in the formation of the bicarbonate intermediate (*HCO$_3$) (3). The bicarbonate intermediate was then converted to formate (*HCOO) (4), with CO being generated by the highly active H$^-$ in Ce–H (5) [97]. According to DFT and DRIFTS investigations, the high selectivity is attributed to the low CO affinity, but the higher catalytic activity is due to the abundance of C-H species [97].
Figure 7. The proposed reaction mechanism of the RWGS reaction over Ni-CeO$_2$ catalyst.

Deng et al. synthesized 10Ga5Cu-CeO$_2$ catalyst using direct pyrolysis for photothermal catalytic RWGS reaction and identified the mechanism by in situ DRIFTS (Figure 8a) [98]. It revealed that the addition of Ga increased the synthesis of formate species, which are essential intermediates in CO$_2$ hydrogenation, and light irradiation promoted the breakdown of formate species to carbonyl, resulting in increased CO generation [98]. In contrast, by using Ga$_2$O$_3$ as a catalyst for the RWGS reaction after adding an amount of CeO$_2$ as a promoter, Zhao et al. established that adding CeO$_2$ to the reaction increased the development of bicarbonate species intermediates that could form H$_2$O and CO by interacting with H dissociated on the Ga$_2$O$_3$ surface [99]. Yang et al. provided a unique approach for boosting RWGS rate under H$_2$ lean conditions at low temperatures, in which they evaluated a 4% Cu-CeO$_2$ catalyst under visible light illumination, which increased catalytic activity by 30% at 250 °C (Figure 8b) [100]. Using various techniques and analysis, they discovered that, under visible light irradiation, the localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) created by Cu nanoparticles induces heated electron transfer to ceria, triggering desorption of bidentate formate and linear-CO transition specie [100].

Figure 8. The associative mechanism of the RWGS reaction over (a) 10Ga5Cu−CeO$_2$, reprinted with permission from [98]. Copyright Elsevier, 2021, (b) Cu−CeO$_2$, reprinted with permission from [100]. Copyright Elsevier, 2022.
These possible alternatives indicate that there is no universal mechanism in RWGS reaction, and the reaction pathway is highly sensitive to the active metals/oxides, supports, and reaction conditions. Therefore, condensing such data into a table that succinctly explains the impact of the selectivity or functionality of active metal oxide on RWGS reaction mechanism is beneficial (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Active Metal</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>Redox or Associative</td>
<td>[81,91,100]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu5In5</td>
<td>Associative</td>
<td>[91]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>Redox</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>Associative</td>
<td>[90]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>Redox and/or Associative</td>
<td>[84,94]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>Redox and/or Associative</td>
<td>[80,96]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10Ga5Cu</td>
<td>Associative</td>
<td>[98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>Redox</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. Kinetics of RWGS Reaction

An understanding of reaction kinetics is necessary for designing a practical reactor. The majority of stated kinetic rate formulations for CO2 hydrogenation reactions are based on Langmuir-type expressions since various reactions occur and adsorption factors influence the kinetics [101]. Interestingly, CO2 RWGS is reported to be a faster process with a lower activation energy than the WGS reaction on many of the metal/oxides [102,103]. Recently, much research on the activity and stability of different active metals using CeO2 support has been performed on the RWGS reaction; however, just a few kinetic and mechanistic studies have been reported on the RWGS reaction [104–106]; in most cases, the Langmuir kinetic model or power law equations have been used to explain the reaction kinetics.

Poto et al. adopted single-, dual-, and three-adsorption-site to model the kinetics of CO2 hydrogenation to methanol over a Cu-CeO2/ZrO2 catalyst [107]. The findings reveal that H2 is adsorbed and dissociated on Cu0 sites, whereas CO2 is drawn to the oxygen vacancies formed by the CeO2-ZrO2 solid solution. Following that, the adsorbed H interacts selectively with the carbon atom, preferring the “formate” pathway. The CO produced by the RWGS process could desorb to the gas phase or undergo hydrogenation to yield methanol. Table 2 shows the suggested rate equations for the RWGS, where k1 is the kinetic constant and b1 adsorption constants of i compound. The reaction rate law, first proposed by Henkel [108], was later modified by Graaf et al. [109]. The results showed that the reaction rates rise noticeably with the H2/CO2 concentration ratio and exponentially with temperature. They found that higher reaction rates and less H2 consumption in the feed could be obtained from a more hydrophobic surface due to a lower H2O adsorption and higher desorption rate from Cu0 sites on such surfaces [107]. In order to increase the resilience of the model results, they developed a process that evaluated the sensitivity of models to the preliminary data. This method included repeatedly conducting the optimization algorithm (as a loop), with recently obtained results serving as the initial guesses. Based on the examination, it was determined that the kinetic model satisfied the physicochemical restrictions [107]. To speed up reactions and decrease the demand for H2 in the feed, the authors suggested a more hydrophobic surface which may exhibit faster desorption from Cu0 sites and weaker H2O adsorption [107]. Unfortunately, very few investigations have looked into the reaction rate of M-CeO2 catalysts in RWGS reaction; hence, the following studies will compare the reaction rates of several catalytic systems in order to gain insight into the kinetics of the RWGS reaction and the factors that affect the rate of CO2 conversion into CO.
Lalinde et al., studied the RWGS reaction kinetics using an ordered-mesoporous Ni-Al2O3 catalyst [110]. Within the studied temperature range, they found that the rate equation for the RWGS reaction (Table 2) also comprises the WGS reaction, owing to the low equilibrium constant (K_{eq,RWGS} = 0.03 and 0.1 at 320 °C and 420 °C, respectively) and formation of CO being the rate-determining step (RDS) [110]. In their proposed reaction rate the parameters are: k_1 = k_{CO} · k_{CO2} as rate constant, k_β as the the theoretical gas phase equilibrium compared to the equilibrium on the catalyst surface, and p_i as partial pressure of species i in gas mixture (bar). The experimental results and the local concentrations predicted by models were in good agreement [110]. Moreover, the results were consistent with another similar work by Kopyscinski et al. [111]. The reaction orders and the RDS can change depending on reaction parameters [112]. For example, reaction orders involving P_{H2} and P_{CO2} alter as the partial pressures of the gases change [104,105,113]. Ginés et al. investigated the reaction rate on the CuO-ZnO/Al2O3 catalyst as well as establishing a relationship between the reaction orders for H2 and CO2 in the RWGS reaction [105]. They confirmed that over a P_{CO2}/P_{H2} ratio of less than 1:3, the reaction rate was strongly sensitive to P_{CO2} (order of 1.1) and irrespective to H2 (0 order), probably owing to surface deconstruction that favors CO2 dissociation. Whereas, at a moderate ratio of partial pressures (>1:3), they suggested that the reaction rate is reliant on both gases with order of 0.3 for P_{CO2} and 0.8 for P_{H2}. The terms in their proposed reaction rate (provided in Table 2) are as follows: X denotes CO2 conversion and L_i indicates the amounts of active sites in a fresh sample [105]. Kim et al. found that the RWGS reaction kinetics with Pt-reducible supports, e.g., Pt-TiO2 and Pt-Al2O3 synthesized by impregnation method, were compatible with the redox mechanism [79,114]. The suggested reaction rate, as presented in Table 2, was developed using C_i as the concentration of active sites in unused catalysts [79]. Using a commercial Pt-Al2O3 catalyst this time, Jadhav et al. studied the RWGS reaction kinetics and impact of reaction parameters on catalyst efficiency, and discovered that increasing pressure increased CO2 conversion value [115]. The reactor was tested in varied reaction settings to see how the temperature and H2/CO2 ratio in the feed affected reaction rate. It was realized that as the H2 concentration in the feed increased (the partial pressure of hydrogen increased) a higher CO2 conversion and reaction rate were achieved. Moreover, at the same P_{H2}/P_{CO2}, as the temperature increased from 573 to 673 K, the reaction rate rose from 0.009 to 0.044 mol/h/g [115]. In addition, the conversion values calculated in this study were lower than those obtained by Kim et al. using impregnated Pt-Al2O3 [79,115]. Ghodoosi et al. used a redox kinetic model over the Fe-Mo/Al2O3 catalyst for the RWGS reaction in a fixed-bed reactor to develop their rate model to describe the reaction kinetics [116]. They looked at the influence of feed gas temperature and discovered that, at high feed temperatures, the temperature drop in the reactor rises. The reason is, with increasing gas mixture temperature in feed, the rate of the reaction increases and because of the endothermic nature of RWGS reaction, it consumes more heat, causing the temperature to decrease [116]. In order to assess the proposed reactor model, three sets of experimental data were used. The outcomes demonstrated a good consistency between the model predictions and experimental findings under non-equilibrium conditions, with an average deviation of 2.7%, and an average absolute inaccuracy of 5.6%, proving that the suggested model can effectively predict the operation of the fixed-bed reactor for the catalytic RWGS reaction [116]. In another study, Chen et al. employed atomic layer epitaxy (ALE) as an alternate approach to synthesize homogenous Cu nanoparticles supported on SiO2 [117]. The RWGS pathway using ALE-Cu/SiO2 catalysts proceeded via a formate transition species, and the CO2 and CO adsorption sites for the forward and reverse mechanisms were independent [117]. Najari et al. synthesized Fe-K/γ-Al2O3 catalysts via the impregnation method to perform the RWGS reaction in a lab-scale fixed-bed reactor [118]. Based on their observations, they suggested the use of the Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) method to predict kinetic values and utilize Differential Evolution (DE) optimization methods based on the Langmuir–Hinshelwood–Hougen–Watson (LHHW) mechanism to meet the kinetic requirements [118]. The ABC method outperformed the DE algorithm in forecasting total.
hydrocarbon selectivity, with an error rate of 6.3% compared to 32.9%. As a result, the ABC model was used to analyze the distribution of the products. Results showed that at 573 K and 1 MPa, a maximum selectivity of 73.21% for hydrocarbons can be reached with a 0.85% inaccuracy compared to the experimental result of 72.59% [118]. The proposed reaction rate (presented in Table 2), is similar to their other works [61,119]. As per their evaluations, the kinetic parameters obtained by using the ABC algorithm were roughly in line with those published in earlier investigations, taking into account the different catalytic characteristics and reaction conditions [118]. More research is required to fully comprehend how critical factors affect reaction rate and distribution of products. Using the same catalyst, but the two-step incipient wetness impregnation technique, Brübach et al. developed a new LHHW type kinetic rate statement for RWGS reaction [120]. The best performing model was derived under the assumption of H-assisted CO2 and H-assisted CO dissociation mechanisms, supported by recent mechanistic studies. The statistical analysis demonstrated a significant association between the inhibition coefficients and reaction rate constants, as well as some lack of certainty in the estimated parameters. Since LHHW expressions have a mathematical structure, it is unfortunate that this seems to be an inevitable. At a similar actual flow rate, pressure changes from 10 to 20 bar resulted in a considerable increase in CO2 conversion. Nevertheless, they believed this was primarily due to an increase in residency time. Increasing the temperatures accelerated the reaction rate and pushed the CO selectivity to higher values for the same CO2 conversion rate. A similar impact was seen when the H2/CO2 ratio was increased [120].

**Table 2. Proposed reaction rate for some catalysts in RWGS reaction.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>RWGS Reaction Rate</th>
<th>Assumption</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu-CeO2/ZrO2</td>
<td>( r_2 = \frac{k_{b_{2_b}}P_{CO}P_{CO}P_{H_2} (1 - \frac{P_{CO}P_{H_2}}{P_{CO}P_{H_2}^2})}{(1 + b_{2_b}P_{CO} + b_{2_b}P_{CO})P_{H_2} + b_{2_b}P_{CO}P_{H_2}} )</td>
<td>- Dual-site LHHW mechanism</td>
<td>[107]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-Al2O3</td>
<td>( r_{WGS} = \frac{k_{2_b}P_{CO}P_{H_2}^2}{(1 + k_{2_b}P_{CO}P_{H_2})} )</td>
<td>- CO2 dissociation as RDS</td>
<td>[110]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuO-ZnO/Al2O3</td>
<td>( r = \frac{k_{1_b}P_{CO}P_{H_2}^2P_{H_2}^2}{P_{H_2}^2P_{CO}P_{H_2}^2 + \sqrt{P_{CO}P_{H_2}}} )</td>
<td>- CO2 dissociation as RDS</td>
<td>[105]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt-TiO2 Pt-Al2O3</td>
<td>( r = \frac{k_{b_{2_b}}P_{CO}P_{H_2}^2}{(k_{2_b}P_{CO} + k_{2_b}P_{CO} + k_{2_b}P_{H_2})} )</td>
<td>- CO2 dissociation as RDS</td>
<td>[79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt-Al2O3</td>
<td>( r = \frac{C_i(k_{2_b}P_{CO}P_{H_2}^2 + k_{2_b}P_{CO}P_{H_2}^2)}{k_{2_b}P_{CO}P_{H_2}^2 + k_{2_b}P_{CO}P_{H_2}^2} )</td>
<td>- In low CO2 conversions</td>
<td>[115]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeMo-Al2O3</td>
<td>( \frac{k_{b_{2_b}}P_{CO}P_{H_2}^2}{1 + k_{b_{2_b}}P_{CO}P_{H_2}^2} )</td>
<td>- Redox kinetic model</td>
<td>[116]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALE-Cu/SiO2</td>
<td>( r = 2^{1/2}k_{1_b}k_{1_b}^2P_{H_2}^2P_{CO} )</td>
<td>- HCOO-2S → CO-S + OH-S is RDS</td>
<td>[117]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>RWGS Reaction Rate</th>
<th>Assumption</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe-K/γ-Al2O3</td>
<td>( r_{\text{RWGS}} = \frac{k_{\text{RWGS}}}{p_{\text{CO}}^2}) (p_{\text{H}<em>2}) - (p</em>{\text{H}<em>2O})/(k</em>{\text{eq}}) (1+\frac{p_{\text{H}<em>2O}}{p</em>{\text{H}_2}})</td>
<td>- LHHW mechanism</td>
<td>[118]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe/K@γ-Al2O3</td>
<td>( r_{\text{RWGS}} = \frac{k_{\text{RWGS}}}{(1+\frac{p_{\text{H}<em>2O}}{p</em>{\text{H}_2}})})</td>
<td>- Elementary reaction steps</td>
<td>[120]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Effect of Different Parameters on Catalyst Performance in RWGS Reaction

4.1. Preparation Methods

The method of catalyst preparation determines the metal-support interaction and morphological properties of the final catalysts that considerably impact the performance of the catalysts. The impact of different preparation procedures of 1 wt.% Ni-CeO₂, e.g., co-precipitation (CP), deposition–precipitation (DP), and impregnation (IM) approaches, on the physicochemical and catalytic characteristics in the RWGS reaction was explored by Luhui et al. [121]. The Ni-CeO₂-CP catalyst achieved the highest conversion rate in the RWGS reaction when compared to the Ni-CeO₂-DP and Ni-CeO₂-IM catalysts (Figure 9a); however, the CO selectivity followed the order: Ni-CeO₂-IM > Ni-CeO₂-CP > Ni-CeO₂-DP (Figure 9b). As confirmed by TPR analysis, an integration of numerous oxygen vacancies and broadly dispersed small NiO particles was considered to be the reason for the outstanding performance of the Ni-CeO₂-CP catalyst in terms of high activity and good selectivity. This suggests that more nickel ions were integrated into the CeO₂ lattice to develop a solid solution. The Ni-CeO₂-DP catalyst has only a limited number of oxygen vacancies in comparison to the Ni-CeO₂-CP catalyst, which results in low RWGS selectivity. It was proposed that the RWGS selectivity was strongly influenced by the oxygen vacancies. It is believed that the solid solution of Ce₅Ni₆O is produced when the Ni²⁺ ions are inserted into the ceria lattice to substitute certain Ce⁴⁺ cations [121]. Oxygen vacancies are produced by the lattice distortion and charge imbalance that occur within the CeO₂ structure [122,123]. Several reports have indicated that precipitated ceria-based catalysts have distinct properties depending on the precipitants used, which significantly influence structural properties and catalytic performance [124–129]. In other work by the same group, Luhui et al. used the CP method to make a range of Ni-CeO₂ catalysts using Na₂CO₃, NaOH, as well as a combination of precipitants (Na₂CO₃:NaOH; 1:1 ratio) in order to investigate their catalytic efficacy in the RWGS reaction [130]. According to the structural characterization findings, the catalyst developed by the mixed precipitating agents (Na₂CO₃:NaOH; 1:1 ratio) exhibited the highest oxygen vacancies along with high Ni particle dispersion, resulting in the highest catalytic activity for the corresponding catalyst (Figure 9c), whereas the precipitants’ catalytic selectivity for CO were ranked as: NaOH > Na₂CO₃ > Na₂CO₃:NaOH = 1:1 (Figure 9d) [130]. The technique used to synthesize the CeO₂ catalyst has a substantial impact on its structure, and the structure of the synthesized catalysts can greatly influence the catalytic performance in the CO₂ RWGS reaction [131]. Hard-template (HT), complex (CA), and precipitation strategies (PC) were used to synthesize CeO₂ catalysts with various structures, and their efficiency in the CO₂ RWGS reaction was examined by Dai et al. [131]. The Ce-HT catalyst had the greatest CO₂ RWGS reaction activity due to its porous structure (TEM), high specific surface area of 144.9 m² g⁻¹ (BET), and abundance of oxygen vacancies; Ce-HT > Ce-CA > Ce-PC is the temperature sequence in which the catalysts reduce in the presence of H₂ at low temperatures (H₂-TPR) [131]. Xiaodong et al. carried out the RWGS reaction over Pt-CeO₂ catalysts at temperatures between 200 and 500 °C under atmospheric pressure and various pretreatment conditions using the co-precipitated technique [94].
samples were represented as PC-M-N, where PC stands for the co-precipitated 1%Pt-CeO$_2$ catalyst and M and N stand for the calcination and reduction temperatures of the samples, respectively, (Figure 9e) [94]. The catalyst prepared at a lower calcination temperature (PC-500-400) demonstrated a more favorable catalytic performance than the others due to its high Pt dispersion [94]. In another study, Ronda–Lloret et al. investigated the use of metal organic frameworks (MOFs) as precursors instead of merely using the traditional wet impregnation (WI) method in the production of CuO$_x$-CeO$_2$ catalysts [132]. After impregnating Cu-MOF using a ceria precursor, they flash-pyrolyzed (PF) the impregnated MOF applying distinctive conditions and procedures and compared the performances in the RWGS reaction with the WI synthesis technique finding that the MOF-derived catalyst outperformed the other catalyst (Figure 9f) [132]. Throughout the thermal decomposition procedure, the metal ions in MOFs are transformed into metallic or metal oxide nanoparticles, while the organic linkers produce carbonaceous formations which can function as supports and promote active phase distribution [133]. As Ronda–Lloret et al. concluded, by changing the pyrolysis environment, an oxidizing environment may be produced that prevents sintering and keeps copper oxidized during decomposition. Using air in the decomposition process causes the creation of copper oxide compounds that sinter with more difficulty than the metallic copper. This promotes the interaction with the ceria support, which improves its catalytic behavior. Thus, by utilizing air, highly dispersed CuO on CeO$_2$ can be created that is readily reducible and exhibits strong interactions with the ceria [132].

Figure 9. Effect of preparation methods on metal-CeO$_2$ RWGS reaction: (a) Ni-CeO$_2$ -activity, reprinted with permission from [121]. Copyright Elsevier, 2013, (b) Ni-CeO$_2$-selectivity, reprinted with permission from [121]. Copyright Elsevier, 2013, (c) Ni-CeO$_2$ -activity, reprinted with permission from [130]. Copyright Elsevier, 2013, (d) Ni-CeO$_2$-selectivity, reprinted with permission from [130]. Copyright Elsevier, 2013, (e) Pt-CeO$_2$, reprinted with permission from [94]. Copyright Elsevier, 2016, (f) CuO$_x$-CeO$_2$-activity, reprinted with permission from [132]. Copyright Elsevier, 2018.

4.2. Shape and Crystal Face Effect

The form and exposed crystal face of catalysts have a major impact on RWGS reaction activity since they may control the adsorption and desorption energies of precursors in the reaction process [134–136]. Thus, the efficiency of CeO$_2$ supported catalysts can be modified by conducting experiments with various morphologies. The RWGS reaction was studied by Kovacevic et al. over cerium oxide catalysts of various morphologies: cubes, rods, and particles [137]. Using TPR they found that surface oxygen is less removable in the case of nanoshapes with a high concentration of oxygen vacancies and, compared
to rods and particles, cerium oxide cubes had twice more activity per surface area. The stronger intrinsic reactivity of (100) crystal planes encapsulating cubes, as opposed to less intrinsically reactive (111) facets exhibited in rods and particles, results in enhanced catalytic activity of ceria cubes in RWGS [137]. In another study, Lin et al. found that under similar conditions and the same active metal, the CeO$_2$(110) surface has substantially more activity than the CeO$_2$(111) surface, indicating that the ceria support performance is facet-dependent (Figure 10a,b) [81]. According to their study, once Cu particles are loaded onto the CeO$_2$-Nanorod (NR) and CeO$_2$-Nanosphere (NS) surfaces, the NR sample exhibits greater RWGS reaction activity. This is mostly due to the increased feasibility of CO$_2$ dissociative activation and the generation of active bidentate carbonate and formate intermediates over CeO$_2$(110) [81]. Liu et al. used RWGS to compare crystal plane reactive activity in three nano-CeO$_2$s with varied exposed planes [83]. The overall order of RWGS reactive efficiency of the three studied CeO$_2$ shapes was ceria nanocube (NC) > ceria-NR > ceria-nanooctahedra (NO), as shown in Figure 10c [83]. It is well established that oxygen vacancies formation on ceria (100) or (110) consume less energy than creating them on ceria (111) [138,139]. As a result, the ceria (100) and (110) planes seem to be more attractive choices for catalyzing processes that involve an oxygen cycle with adsorbates [83]. This could be the main reason why the ceria-NC exposed (100) plane had the best CO$_2$ conversion and selectivity.

Zhang et al. developed self-assembled CeO$_2$ with 3D hollow nanosphere (hs) (111), nanoparticle (np) (111), and nanocube (nc) (200) morphologies that were employed to support Cu particles [88]. Owing to the large levels of active oxygen vacancy sites, the Cu-CeO$_2$-hs(111) exhibited the greatest RWGS catalytic activity among the studied catalysts (Figure 10d) [88]. Konsolakis et al. looked into the influence of the active phase type and ceria nanoparticle support morphology (NR or NC) on the physicochemical characteristics and CO$_2$ hydrogenation capability of M-CeO$_2$ (M = Co or Cu) composites at 1 atm [140]. Regardless of support structure, CO$_2$ conversion was reported to follow the following order: Co-CeO$_2$ > Cu-CeO$_2$ > CeO$_2$, with the Cu-CeO$_2$ sample being far more selective toward CO.

Figure 10. Effect of shape and crystal face on metal-CeO$_2$ RWGS reaction: (a,b) Cu–CeO$_2$, reprinted with permission from [81]. Copyright ACS, 2018, (c) CeO$_2$, reprinted with permission from [83]. Copyright Elsevier, 2016, (d) Cu–CeO$_2$, reprinted with permission from [88]. Copyright Elsevier, 2020, (e,f) M–CeO$_2$ (M = Co or Cu), reprinted with permission from [140]. Copyright MDPI, 2019.
than Co-CeO$_2$ (Figure 10e,f). The Co catalysts supported on NC ceria demonstrated slightly higher catalytic activity than Co supported on rod-like forms, highlighting the importance of support morphology in addition to the choice of metal element; for Cu-based samples and bare CeO$_2$, the pattern was the opposite [140].

Figure 11 displays the lattice characteristics of cerium oxide under various conditions based on the work of Lin et al. [81]. To assess the reduction level of support, nonthermal lattice expansion of cerium oxide was used (which represents the creation of Ce$^{3+}$ in the bulk phase). Ceria lattice constant would rapidly drop when the reduced catalysts were exposed to reactant gas at room temperature, suggesting that the oxygen vacancies were regenerated by CO$_2$ breakdown on the surface of the catalyst. As marked with red squares in Figure 11, in reaction settings and in temperatures ranging from 45 to 110 °C, the CeO$_2$ lattice of Cu/CeO$_2$-NR shrank significantly with temperature. Cu/CeO$_2$-NS, however, had a small rise in the lattice parameter. This behavior suggested that, at low temperatures, Cu/CeO$_2$-NR was more favorable for CO$_2$ activation than Cu/CeO$_2$-NS [81].

**Figure 11.** CeO$_2$ lattice constant for Cu/CeO$_2$-NR and Cu/CeO$_2$-NS catalysts, reprinted with permission from [81]. Copyright ACS, 2018.

### 4.3. Metal–Support Interactions

Activation of catalysts by pretreatment at high-temperature in the presence of hydrogen is often adopted to reduce the oxide nanoparticles and generate oxygen vacancies on the reducible support surface; however, such activation procedures can develop greater interactions between metal nanoparticles and the support, which has been reported to impact catalytic activity in varying ways: positively [141], negatively [142], or in some cases insignificantly [143]. The strong metal–support interaction (SMSI) phenomenon, which typically develops in metals and reducible oxides subjected to high reduction temperature, is one such case [144]. The type of the support [145], metal composition [146], and catalyst synthesis procedure can all influence metal–support interaction (MSI) [147]. According to Goguet et al., the major active site in the RWGS reaction over Pt-CeO$_2$ catalyst is the interface among Pt and CeO$_2$ and the reducible site of CeO$_2$, which is created by the SMSI effect of Pt and CeO$_2$ [84].

SMSI between Cu species and CeO$_2$ helps in boosting the reducibility and stability of associated catalysts, which is favorable for catalytic reduction processes [148]. The results of a study by Zhou et al. showed that the H$_2$ reduction at 400 °C can create oxygen vacancies and active Cu$^0$ species as active sites in Cu-CeO$_2$ catalysts [149]. The SMSI phenomenon allows electrons to move from Cu to Ce on its surface, forming the Ce$^{3+}$-O$_x$-Cu$^0$ and Cu$^0$-CeO$_2$-δ interface structures that increase the adsorption and activation of the reactant in RWGS reaction. The results suggested that the Cu-CeO$_2$ catalyst with 8 wt.% Cu had the best CO$_2$ conversion yield (Figure 12a). The full synergistic interaction between the active
species via Ce$^{3+}$-oxygen vacancy-Cu$^0$ was attributed to its high catalytic activity in the RWGS process [149].

Aitbekova et al. designed the 2.6 nm Ru equally distributed on Al$_2$O$_3$, TiO$_2$, and CeO$_2$ supports and tested in a CO$_2$ reduction process [55]. Ru catalysts supported on TiO$_2$ and CeO$_2$ were significantly more active than those supported on Al$_2$O$_3$, but CH$_4$ was the predominant product in all cases. Nonetheless, they reported that moderate oxidization of the catalyst at a temperature of 230 °C followed by low temperature reduction (230 °C), named as OX-LTR, leads to the Ru particles’ re-dispersion on CeO$_2$, giving a nearly complete switching of product selectivity from methane to CO (Figure 12b), indicating that a weaker adsorption of CO on the single RuO$_x$ site is likely to result in increased selectivity. As they stated in their research, such re-dispersion appears only slightly in Al$_2$O$_3$- and TiO$_2$- supported Ru, probably due to the lower Al$_2$O$_3$ and TiO$_2$ and RuO$_x$ interaction as compared to the CeO$_2$ support with RuO$_x$. Moreover, a light oxidation of the catalysts at 230 °C coupled with a high reduction temperature of 500 °C, named as OX-HTR, favored the formation of SMSI in the case of Ru-TiO$_2$; however, the Ru-CeO$_2$ catalysts (both OX-LTR and OX-HTR) exhibited fairly similar rates, implying the effect of SMSI is negligible for CeO$_2$-supported Ru materials under the CO$_2$ hydrogenation conditions investigated [55].

Similar conclusions were derived by Tauster et al. in a separate study [150]. A capping layer encircling the supported nanoparticles is commonly observed as evidence of the impact [151]. The existence of such an action, on the other hand, could be linked to charge transfer across metallic nanoparticles and the oxide support [152]. For example, Figueiredo et al. synthesized Cu$_x$Ni$_{1-x}$-CeO$_2$ (x = 0.25, 0.35 and 60) nanoparticles for use in the RWGS reaction and investigated the SMSI influence on CO$_2$ dissociation reaction by exploring the nanoparticles’ electrical and structural features and discovered the reactivity of nanoparticles was proportional to the Cu content on the surface with Cu-richer ones having a negative impact on the CO$_2$ dissociation reactivity [153]. According to their experimental results, through the reduction treatment, the SMSI effect does not actually impact nanoparticles synthesized with low Cu amounts (Figure 12c). The SMSI situation caused the support’s capping layer that surrounds the nanoparticle surface to cover the catalytic active spots on the surface of the nanoparticle, leading to a decrease in the reactivity of CO$_2$ dissociation [153].

![Figure 12](image)

**Figure 12.** Effect of metal–support interactions on metal-CeO$_2$ in RWGS reaction: (a) Cu-CeO$_2$, reprinted with permission from [149]. Copyright Elsevier, 2020, (b) Ru-CeO$_2$, reprinted with permission from [55]. Copyright ACS, 2018, (c) Cu$_x$Ni$_{1-x}$-CeO$_2$, reprinted with permission from [153]. Copyright ACS, 2020.

Looking more into the work of Zhou et al., the CO$_2$-TPD profiles of the xCu/CeO$_2$-$\delta$ catalysts are presented in Figure 13, and show two unique CO$_2$ desorption peaks for each sample [149]. The area of the CO$_2$ desorption peak and the number of CO$_2$ adsorption active sites present on the surface of the relevant catalyst are invariably connected. By increasing Cu loading, the peak regions for the $\epsilon$ peak exhibited a volcano pattern, reaching their highest on the 8Cu/CeO$_2$-$\delta$ catalyst. This was explained in their work by the fact that
increasing Cu loading (8%) led to a greater number of Cu-CeO$_2$ junctions and encouraged strong MSI. Nonetheless, at larger Cu loadings (>8%), a portion of the surface active sites may be coated by an extreme amount of Cu species [149]. When it comes to the peak $\phi$, the peak regions initially start to increase, up to Cu loading of 10%, and then continue to decrease. This was explained by the fact that at lower Cu loadings up to 10%, the catalysts’ high specific surface areas enable CO$_2$ molecules to adsorb on their surfaces, whereas at larger Cu loadings (above 10%), the catalysts’ active sites are reduced as a result of the evident decrease in specific surface areas [149].

![Figure 13. CO$_2$-TPD analysis of the Cu/CeO$_2$ catalysts, reprinted with permission from [149]. Copyright Elsevier, 2020.](image)

4.4. Active Metal Loading

The influence of the catalysts’ composition has been investigated in many studies. Lloret et al. designed catalysts with two different Cu concentrations and two different quantities of ceria precursor, aiming to have two distinct molar ratios of 20Cu:80Ce and 40Cu:60Ce with two different decomposition methods: pyrolysis (P), and PF (Figure 14a) [132]. It was revealed that samples with a higher Cu level have weak catalytic activity, whereas catalysts with a lower Cu content have better catalytic behavior in the RWGS process [132]. It is interesting to note that the ceria crystal size is smaller in the catalyst with higher ceria content (lower Cu), and copper dispersion is reduced when there is a large copper loading. According to the TPR results, hydrogen consumption was higher and peaked at lower temperatures in the sample with less Cu. A high Cu content needed the degradation of a greater quantity of HKUST-1, creating a more reducing environment. Therefore, if higher Cu content is there, additional MOFs are needed to break down to adjust to the reducing environment [132]. The impact of metal nanoparticle concentration on CeO$_2$-supported Pt and Ru catalysts with metal contents of 1, 5, and 10% on CeO$_2$ has been investigated by Einakchi et al. [154]. Comparing Figure 14b,c, raising the metal loading from 1 wt.% to 10 wt.% clearly degrades the activity of Pt catalysts, while it seems to favor the RWGS activity of Ru catalysts. Ru and Pt catalysts are likely to have lower metal dispersion at 10 wt.% when compared to metal loadings of 1 wt.%. The high catalytic performance of Pt-CeO$_2$ was found to be linked with metal loading and particularly sensitive to metal dispersion, with 1 wt.% Pt displaying the optimum catalytic performance. Unlike Pt-CeO$_2$, no correlation was identified between Ru catalyst RWGS activity and metal loading (Ru dispersion); nonetheless, 5 wt.% Ru metal was proven to be the best loading for Ru-CeO$_2$ catalysts [154]. In another study, Wang et al. prepared Co-CeO$_2$ catalysts with different cobalt concentrations (0, 1, 2, 5, 10%) using the CP method employed in the RWGS reaction [54]. The findings
revealed that the sample with 2% Co on CeO$_2$ support showed highly dispersed Co$_3$O$_4$ on CeO$_2$ surface displaying a strong MSI that resulted in an outstanding RWGS catalytic efficiency in terms of activity, CO selectivity, and minimal carbon deposition. Nevertheless, bulk Co$_3$O$_4$ with bigger particle size generated in catalysts having high Co content (5% and 10%) lead to considerably higher carbon deposition and enhanced by-product CH$_4$ generation throughout the process. Their results suggested that for the RWGS reaction, widely dispersed Co which is reduced from highly distributed Co ought to be a major active material, whereas solid Co that has a large particle size could be the main active component for methanation as well as carbon deposition (Figure 14d,e) [54].

The same group in another study investigated the effect of the content of cobalt supported on CeO$_2$ prepared by a colloidal solution combustion technique to form mesoporous catalysts (Co-CeO$_2$-M) and examined their activity and selectivity toward RWGS reaction and then compared the optimum Co amount sample with the same catalyst prepared by IM and CP [155]. The catalytic analyses revealed that the mesoporous 5% and 10%Co-CeO$_2$ catalyst had high activity in the RWGS reaction (Figure 14f); however, 10%Co-CeO$_2$ was less selective to CO formation than the 5%Co-CeO$_2$ one. Nonetheless, both had good stability over a 10-h period at 600 °C. Moreover, the activity and selectivity of 5% Co-CeO$_2$-M was higher than the 5% Co-CeO$_2$-IM and 5%Co-CeO$_2$-CP catalysts. They concluded that the superior catalytic performance of the 5%Co-CeO$_2$-M catalyst was owing to its unique mesoporous configuration, in which the Co particle is dispersed throughout the pore wall and is in close contact to small CeO$_2$ particles [155]. When defining the optimal catalyst in terms of activity and selectivity, metal dispersion is not the only factor to consider; the nature of the support also plays an important role. According to research by Jurkovic et al. on various supports for Cu-based catalysts, the supports with the greatest Cu dispersion were Al$_2$O$_3$ (77.7%) followed by ZrO$_2$ (73.6%), CeO$_2$ (67.6%), TiO$_2$ (66.3%), and SiO$_2$ (36.2%) [156]. Nevertheless, the alumina support was found to have the highest reported catalytic activity, followed by ceria, titania, silica, and zirconia. Ceria was ranked by TPR as the second-best support among the studied group, while having the third-best Cu dispersion, most likely because of its reducibility and capacity to hold oxygen [156]. Moreover, according to the literature, there is a direct correlation between the catalysts’ activity and acid-base properties [157]. According to Pino et al., the synergistic interaction of Ni and La$_2$O$_3$ on a La$_2$O$_3$ doped Ni-CeO$_2$ catalyst boosted catalytic activity because of the creation of a basic site and Ni dispersion improvement [158]. Therefore, it can also be concluded that improving basicity of a catalyst might facilitate CO$_2$ adsorption [74]. According to the research of Jurkovic et al., Al$_2$O$_3$ is a frequently used irreducible support with good performance, where its modest acidity could be a likely contributing factor [74,156].

4.5. Metal Size Effect

The RWGS reaction is sensitive to the structure of the supported catalysts, and the size of the attached metal active sites that influence the adsorption, intermediate formation and desorption of the products [159]. Reducing the metal particle size may improve MSI by generating a larger metal–support interface, leading to positively increasing the RWGS reaction activity. Indeed, due to the SMSI affect, more oxygen atoms should be attached to the metal surface when the particle size decreases [160]. For example, Li et al. developed a 5% Ir-CeO$_2$ catalyst including an Ir particle size of around 1 nm, and a 0.7% Ir-CeO$_2$ catalyst with atomic dispersion of Ir [161]. Even though the dominant product of CH$_4$ was produced by an Ir-CeO$_2$ catalyst with large Ir particles (>2.5 nm), CO was produced mainly by the 5% and 0.7% Ir-CeO$_2$ catalysts when Ir particle size was less than 1 nm, and the catalytic activity per mole of Ir increased (Figure 15a). They also discovered that due of the intense interaction with CeO$_2$, 1 nm Ir particles and atomically dispersed Ir get partially oxidized, but large Ir particles, more than 2.5 nm, are mostly reduced. As a result, they concluded that the primary active site for a RWGS reaction is partly oxidized Ir that engages extensively with CeO$_2$ support regardless of Ir particles or atomically dispersed Ir atoms [161]. Metal active sites distributed on an atomic level add more to the
CO product than metal clusters at a 3D level [162]. In a study, Zhao et al. produced Pt-CeO$_2$ catalysts with various Pt sizes to test the influence of size on CO selectivity in the RWGS reaction [163]. Three Pt-CeO$_2$ catalysts were produced using CeO$_2$ nanorods, including atomically dispersed Pt species as well as Pt clusters or particles of two different sizes (2.1 and 5.2). According to Figure 15 b, within the temperature range of 200 to 450°C, the atomically distributed Pt-CeO$_2$ catalyst (shown as Pt-CeO$_2$-AA-350) exhibited almost 100% CO selectivity, whereas CO selectivity using Pt nanoparticles (5Pt-CeO$_2$-IMP-350 catalyst with 5.2 nm particle size) reduced noticeably at elevated reaction temperature. Large-sized Pt species demonstrated high CO adsorption, resulting in the production of CH$_4$ by further hydrogenation [163].

Figure 14. Effect of metal loading on metal-CeO$_2$ RWGS reaction: (a) CuO$_x$-CeO$_2$, adapted with permission from [132]. Copyright Elsevier, 2018, (b,c) CO yield at 450°C, (b) Pt-CeO$_2$, (c) Ru-CeO$_2$, (d,e) activity and selectivity of Co-CeO$_2$, reprinted with permission from [54]. Copyright Elsevier, 2017, (f) Co-CeO$_2$, reprinted with permission from [155]. Copyright Elsevier, 2018.

Lu et al. developed mesoporous CeO$_2$ (surface area = 100 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) as well as NiO-CeO$_2$ with large surface areas, narrow pore size dispersion, and homogeneous mesopores (intercrystallite voids) [53]. According to the results, with increasing temperature and NiO quantity, the CO$_2$ conversion rate in RWGS reaction increased. As for CO selectivity, when less than 3 wt.% NiO was used, NiO particles monodispersed in mesoporous CeO$_2$ resulting in a complete CO$_2$ conversion to CO which was irrespective of temperature. For more than 3.5 wt.% NiO particle aggregation, 100% CO selectivity was improbable below 700°C (Figure 15c) [53]. Wang et al. studied the effect of CeO$_2$ on RWGS in comparison to In$_2$O$_3$ [164]. The surface areas of the In$_2$O$_3$–CeO$_2$ catalysts enhanced compared to pure In$_2$O$_3$; as the CeO$_2$ content increased, the size of the In$_2$O$_3$ particles in the In$_2$O$_3$–CeO$_2$ samples reduced and the dispersion of In$_2$O$_3$ particles in the In$_2$O$_3$–CeO$_2$ increased. Besides, additional oxygen vacancies were formed (Figure 15d), which in turn enhanced the dissociative hydrogen adsorption and increased the quantity of bicarbonate species produced by activated CO$_2$ adsorption [164].
4.6. Effect of Adding CeO$_2$ as a Reducible Transition Metal Oxide Promoter

The inclusion of a cerium oxide as a promoter can affect CO$_2$ adsorption and activation, as well as the activity and selectivity of the RWGS process [165, 166]. Yang et al. showed in their work how adding ceria to alumina support (CeO$_2$-Al$_2$O$_3$) helped in lowering acidity of Ni-based catalysts, which also minimized carbon deposition [167]. Figure 10a shows the catalytic performance of all samples versus temperature in terms of CO$_2$ conversion. Moreover, introduction CeO$_2$ to the unpromoted Ni-Al catalyst also improved its reducibility. As it is shown in Figure 16a, ceria improved unpromoted Ni-Al catalyst reducibility in that the Ni-CeAl catalyst had a reduction percentage (RP) of ~93%, compared to 86.5% for the reference Ni-Al catalyst. The authors concluded that their findings were mostly due to two aspects occurring together: (1) CeO$_2$, as a promoter here, reduced the Ni-Al$_2$O$_3$ connection, leading to an increase in Ni particle reducibility, owing to the generated Ni-promoter interaction, and (2) because of its intrinsic redox capabilities, CeO$_2$ offered additional oxygen mobility to the catalysts [96]. In another study by Lee et al., a set of Pt-CeO$_2$-TiO$_2$ catalysts were impregnated with different support combinations ranging from 0 to 20% to evaluate the influence of varied CeO$_2$/TiO$_2$ ratio on catalytic activity during RWGS reaction [82]. Accordingly (Figure 16b), increasing CeO$_2$ loading improved the catalytic activity of Pt-impregnated catalysts, the Pt-20%CeO$_2$-TiO$_2$ sample showing the highest CO$_2$ conversion. Based on their analysis, by substituting TiO$_2$ with CeO$_2$, the lattice and pore configuration changed in favor of more CO$_2$ conversion in RWGS reaction (the mechanism is explained in Figure 3b) [82]. With the aim of improving adsorption and activation of CO$_2$ on Ga$_2$O$_3$, Zhao et al. used CeO$_2$ as a promoter with an optimum Ga:Ce ratio of 99:1 and employed RWGS reaction as a test reaction and indicator for the catalytic performance of the resultant samples [99]. The results showed the positive performance of CeO$_2$-Ga$_2$O$_3$ (Figure 16c) due to the fact that the inclusion of CeO$_2$ increases the production of bicarbonate species in CO$_2$ adsorption, which is thermodynamically
more advantageous [99]. The same catalytic system was studied by Dai et al., where the gel sol-gel process was used to create a variety of Ga$_2$O$_3$-CeO$_2$ composite oxide catalysts with various Ga$_2$O$_3$ and CeO$_2$ ratios [90]. When compared to pure Ga$_2$O$_3$ and pure CeO$_2$, the composite oxide catalysts had smaller particles and showed high CO selectivity in the RWGS process. It was discovered that Ga$_2$O$_3$ has distinct reaction intermediates from CeO$_2$ and Ga$_2$O$_3$-CeO$_2$, making it easier to create methane in high H$_2$ conditions, whereas CeO$_2$ promotes CO selectivity. In a Ga$_2$O$_3$ to CeO$_2$ ratio of 3:1, composite oxide showed the greatest activity (Figure 16d). This is mostly due to the creation of the Ga$_x$Ce$_{1-x}$O$_z$ solid solution phase and the development of additional active sites that result in an increased number of oxygen vacancies, which facilitate CO$_2$ adsorption and activation. Moreover, it was found that GaCe composite oxides have a more homogeneous mesoporous structure and a greater pore volume, making mass transport in reactions easier [90]. In contrast, there are a few cases in which once ceria was introduced to a catalytic system, CO selectivity improved but CO$_2$ conversion was slightly decreased [168]. Galvita et al., for example, developed a Fe$_2$O$_3$–CeO$_2$ composite and discovered that incorporating ceria to iron oxide increased solid solution stability but reduced CO production capabilities [169].

![Figure 16. Effect of adding promoters on RWGS reaction: (a) Ni-CeAl, reprinted with permission from [167]. Copyright Elsevier, 2018, (b) Pt-CeTi at 400 °C, (c) CeO$_2$–Ga$_2$O$_3$, reprinted with permission from [99]. Copyright Elsevier, 2012, (d) Ga$_2$O$_3$–CeO$_2$, reprinted with permission from [90]. Copyright Wiley, 2022.](image)

On the other hand, when a non-reducible transition metal oxide is used as a promoter for M-CeO$_2$ catalysts, more oxygen vacancies can emerge during the reduction process [170]. For example, more thermally stable support can be developed by a mixed framework of Al$_2$O$_3$–CeO$_2$ which offers a broad surface for optimum active phase dispersion and enables the development of oxygen vacancies on the surface throughout the catalytic reaction to improve catalytic performance [171]. Zonetti et al. and Wenzel et al. showed that adding Zr to the CeO$_2$ lattice improved its ability to create oxygen vacancies as well as its thermal stability, which is a desirable feature of catalytic systems [172,173].

4.7. Bimetallic Effect

Reports indicate that bimetals, where a second metal is introduced along with the primary active metal, can be used to help boost the catalytically active phase [174]. Evi-
dently in many systems, bimetallic compounds have outperformed their individual components [175]. Furthermore, the generation of metal carbide (coke precursor) could be prevented due to the electrical effect caused by metal–metal interactions, resulting in less deactivation [176]. Yang et al. showed how the inclusion of a second element (Cr or Fe) can positively affect the reducibility of monometallic Ni-based catalysts (Ni-CeAl) [167]. As it is displayed in Figure 17a, the addition of Fe to the Ni-CeAl catalyst system can increase the reducibility of Ni- to 95% compared to 93% for the Ni-CeAl catalyst [167]. Chen et al. synthesized a Cu-Fe bimetallic phase loaded on CeO₂ and evaluated its performance for RWGS reaction at temperatures ranging from 450 to 750 °C at 1 atm (Figure 17b). The efficiency of the iron-containing copper-based catalyst was greatly increased over that of the catalyst without iron, and CO₂ conversion nearly approached theoretical levels. The bimetallic CeO₂-supported catalyst was shown to have high selectivity, stability, with no secondary reactions, and no carbon deposition on the catalyst surface after the process [177]. In contrast, Li et al. used Culn bimetallic catalysts for the RWGS reaction, demonstrating that the promotional impact of In on Cu is support dependent [91]. The CO₂ conversion of the Culn-ZrO₂ catalyst was higher by far than the Cu-ZrO₂ catalyst; however, the CO₂ conversion of Culn-CeO₂ was considerably lower than Cu-CeO₂ (Figure 17c). The cause of the support-sensitivity of RWGS activity was further discovered through systematic analysis. Cu and In combined to form Culn alloys on the ZrO₂ support, which allowed CO₂ to be activated by oxygen vacancies from partially reduced In₂O₃, whereas, Cu and In were found as metallic Cu and In₂O₃ on the CeO₂ support, respectively. The addition of In prevented Cu dispersion and the development of oxygen vacancies on CeO₂, resulting in lower RWGS activity [91]. The catalytic activity of 5 wt.% mono- and bimetallic Ru- and Pt-based catalysts supported on CeO₂ was compared as a function of temperature as shown in Figure d [154]. The bimetallic activity is higher than that of the individual Ru activities due to the synergy between Ru, Pt, and CeO₂. Moreover, the Fe-promotional impact in the Ru90Fe10-CeO₂ catalyst is most noticeable at lower temperatures, whereas there is no difference in catalytic activity above 450 °C when compared to Ru-CeO₂ [154].

![Figure 17](image-url)

**Figure 17.** Effect of bimetallic on metal-CeO₂ in RWGS reaction: (a) NiFe-CeO₂, reprinted with permission from [167]. Copyright Elsevier, 2018, (b) CuFe-CeO₂, reprinted with permission from [177]. Copyright Elsevier, 2021, (c) Culn-CeO₂, reprinted with permission from [91]. Copyright ACS, 2022, (d) CO yield for RuPt/CeO₂ and RuFe/CeO₂ at 600 °C.
The following table (Table 3) provides a summary of M-CeO$_2$ catalysts used in RWGS reaction. The synthesis methods and relevant results are provided for comparing their performance.

**Table 3. Conditions and catalytic performance in RWGS reaction on different kinds of metal-CeO$_2$.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Synthesizing Method</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Conversion (%)</th>
<th>Selectivity (%)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Co-Precipitation</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>[94]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2%Pt-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>–100</td>
<td>[178]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 wt.%Pt-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Polyol</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>–24</td>
<td>–100</td>
<td>[154]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2%PtCo-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Incipient Wetness Impregnation</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>[179]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 wt.%Ru-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Polyol</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>–25</td>
<td>–100</td>
<td>[154]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%Ru-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Polyol</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>–16</td>
<td>–100</td>
<td>[180]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru50Pt50-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Polyol</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>–28</td>
<td>–100</td>
<td>[180]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuNi-CeZ</td>
<td>Wet Impregnation</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>[181]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%Ru/Sn-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Polyol</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>–16</td>
<td>–69</td>
<td>[180]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeNi-CeZr</td>
<td>Wet Impregnation</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>[181]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtCo-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Incipient Wetness Impregnition</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>[179]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5Pd10Cu-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Precipitation–Impregnation</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>[182]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1Pd10Cu-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Precipitation–Impregnation</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>[182]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2Pd10Cu-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Precipitation–Impregnation</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>[182]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PdNi-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Space-Confinned</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>[181]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO$_2$-NC</td>
<td>Hydrothermal–Incipient Wetness Impregnation</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>–100</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO$_2$-NR</td>
<td>Hydrothermal–Incipient Wetness Impregnation</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>–100</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO$_2$-NO</td>
<td>Hydrothermal–Incipient Wetness Impregnation</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>–100</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CeO$_2$-HT</td>
<td>Hard-Template</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>–100</td>
<td>[131]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu/CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Wet Impregnation</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>[140]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuCeO$_x$</td>
<td>Hard Template</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>–100</td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuO$_x$-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Wet Impregnation</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>–100</td>
<td>[132]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 wt.%Cu-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Combustion</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>–70</td>
<td>–100</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 wt.%Cu-CeO$_2$-hs</td>
<td>Hydrothermal–Impregnation</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>–50</td>
<td>–100</td>
<td>[88]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 wt.%Cu-CeO$_2$-nr</td>
<td>Hydrothermal–incipient wetness impregnation</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>–50</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>[81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 wt.%Cu-CeO$_2$-ns</td>
<td>Microemulsion–incipient wetness impregnation</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>–40</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>[81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25 mole%Cu-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Hard Template–Impregnation</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>31.34</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>[185]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5Cu/48CeO$_2$/ZSM</td>
<td>Physical Mixing</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>–68</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>[186]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Hard Template–Impregnation</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>–100</td>
<td>[185]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Hard Template–Impregnation</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>–100</td>
<td>[128]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Hard Template–Impregnation</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>–100</td>
<td>[128]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%Co-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Colloidal Solution Combustion</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10Cu5Fe-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Impregnation</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>[177]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5Cu5In-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Impregnation</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>[91]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10Cu-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Impregnation</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>[91]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 wt.%Co-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Co-precipitation–Impregnation</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>–91</td>
<td>[187]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1%K10%Co-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Co-precipitation–Impregnation</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>[187]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1%NiCeO$_2$</td>
<td>Co-precipitation</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>–35</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>[186]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1%NiCeO$_2$</td>
<td>Co-precipitation</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>–4.5</td>
<td>–90</td>
<td>[130]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCeO$_2$</td>
<td>Co-precipitation</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>–100</td>
<td>[121]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCeO$_2$</td>
<td>Deposition–Precipitation</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>–100</td>
<td>[121]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCeO$_2$</td>
<td>Impregnation</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>–100</td>
<td>[121]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCeO$_2$</td>
<td>Impregnation</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>[189]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCeO$_2$-Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>Wet Impregnation</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>[167]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCeO$_2$</td>
<td>Impregnation</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>[172]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCeO$_2$</td>
<td>Precipitation–Co-precipitation</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>[53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1%NiO-CeO$_2$/SBA-15</td>
<td>Combustion</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>–63</td>
<td>–90</td>
<td>[190]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6%Ni/Al$_2$O$_3$/CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Combustion</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>–63</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>[180]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuFe-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Polyol</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>–100</td>
<td>[180]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7%Ir-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Adsorption–Precipitation</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>[161]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%Ir-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Adsorption–Precipitation</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>[161]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%Ir-CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Adsorption–Precipitation</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>[161]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In$_2$O$_3$/CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Co-precipitation</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>–100</td>
<td>[164]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga$_2$O$_3$/CeO$_2$</td>
<td>Physical Mixing</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>–100</td>
<td>[99]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


5. Summary and Outlook

The RWGS is a potential process with a promising prospect for large-scale CO\textsubscript{2} to CO conversion in the near future, when integrated with large-scale technologies for sustainable H\textsubscript{2} production. If the RWGS reaction is to play a significant role in lowering CO\textsubscript{2} levels in the atmosphere, a suitable catalyst made of earth-abundant elements is desirable. CeO\textsubscript{2} support has been shown to aid in increasing CO\textsubscript{2} catalytic activity, and the following key features make CeO\textsubscript{2} an attractive choice:

- CeO\textsubscript{2} supports are renowned for their acid-base properties, and high oxygen mobility and stability, which improve RWGS reaction activity when added to a suitable active metal catalytic system.
- Surface defects (Ce\textsuperscript{3+} and oxygen vacancies) enable metal particles to attach well to the ceria support, resulting in increased metal dispersion.
- A Ce\textsuperscript{4+}/Ce\textsuperscript{3+} redox pair with strong activity can significantly improve CO\textsubscript{2} dissociative activation.
- Sintering processes and coke formation may be hampered by a strong metal–support interaction (SMSI) leading to an enhancement in catalyst stability.
- CeO\textsubscript{2} can be produced by a variety of methods to provide suitable design and technical features generating appropriate metal–support interactions that can further be tuned by synthesis methods and catalyst pretreatment techniques.
- CeO\textsubscript{2} support could influence the reaction mechanism by changing the adsorption energy of key intermediates with MSI.

The mechanism of the RWGS reaction involving CeO\textsubscript{2} as a support, as well as an active site, have been thoroughly debated, since understanding these concepts is critical for the rational design of highly efficient and selective RWGS catalysts. Although the RWGS reaction mechanism is still a controversial topic, the reaction routes on different catalysts working under different reaction parameters may be clarified in the near future due to the advancements in in situ and operando spectroscopic technologies, including in situ (or near ambient pressure (NAP)) XPS and in situ XRD, in combination with theoretical approaches involving DFT. Identifying the deactivation pathway may also help in the development of stable catalysts for the RWGS reaction.

In this context, developing a more structurally and thermally stable CeO\textsubscript{2} that can achieve high CO selectivity at high CO\textsubscript{2} conversion rates with less carbon deposition to prevent catalyst deactivation is critical. A careful approach is needed to develop and activate CeO\textsubscript{2} based catalysts and fine-tune their properties by regulating MSI to generate the desired effects. The literature shows the implementation of a range of parameters that influence CeO\textsubscript{2} catalyst performance in RWGS reaction, such as the addition of a suitable second metal in bimetallic systems, adaptation of apt catalyst preparation methods to optimize active metal size, addition of CeO\textsubscript{2} as a promoter for metal-supported catalysts, tuning CeO\textsubscript{2} crystal planes for oxide catalysts etc. Recently, transition metal carbides have been seen as an appealing and suitable option for commercial applications in the RWGS reaction due to their interesting features comparable to those of noble metals such as their dual capabilities for H\textsubscript{2} dissociation and C-O bond regulation, and possibility to act similarly to reducible oxides. Even though numerous materials have been investigated, no commercial-scale catalyst is available for RWGS reaction and further progress and scientific understanding is required before an industrial level of implementation can be thought of. So far, only a few studies are available on the kinetic model and reaction rate determination on M-CeO\textsubscript{2} catalysts in RWGS reaction, and more dedicated future studies are required to fulfill this critical gap; it is necessary to expand this study further, to reactor development and large-scale expansion. The engineering of an industrial RWGS unit requires a comprehensive knowledge of reaction kinetics, involving internal/external mass transport impacts, along with the RWGS thermodynamic equilibrium.
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Abbreviations

ABC Artificial Bee Colony
ALE Atomic Layer Epitaxy
BET Brunauer–Emmett–Teller
CA Complex
CP Co-Precipitation
DE Differential Evolution
DFT Density Functional Theory
DP Deposition-Precipitation
DRIFTS Diffuse Reflectance Infrared Fourier-Transform Spectroscopy
EDX Energy Dispersive X-ray
FCC Face-Centered Cubic
FTS Fischer–Tropsch Synthesis
HT Hard-template
HTR High Temperature Reduction
IM Impregnation
LHHW Langmuir–Hinshelwood–Hougen–Watson
LN Liquid Nitrogen
LSPR Localized Surface Plasmon Resonance
LTR Low Temperature Reduction
M Mesoporous
MOF Metal Organic Framework
MSI Metal–Support Interaction
NAP Near Ambient Pressure
NC Nanocube
NO Nanoctahedra
NR Nanorod
NS Nanospheres
OSC Oxygen Storage Capacity
OX Oxidation
P Pyrolysis
PC Precipitation
PF Flash Pyrolyzed
RDS Rate-Determining Step
RM Reverse Microemulsion
RP Reduction Percentage
RWGS Reverse Water Gas Shift
SEM Scanning Electron Microscopy
SMSI Strong Metal–Support Interaction
TEM Transmission Electron Microscopy
TPR Temperature Programmed Reduction
WSG  Water Gas Shift
WI  Wet Impregnation
XPS  X-ray Photoeletron Spectroscopy
XRD  X-ray Diffraction

References
3. Sasol ECOFT and Deutsche Aircraft Join forces to accelerate power-to-liquid (PTL) aiming for carbon-neutral flight. Focus Catal. 2022, 2022, 4. [CrossRef]
12. Sheehan, S.W. Electrochemical methane production from CO2 for orbital and interplanetary refueling. Iscience 2021, 24, 102230. [CrossRef]
17. ExxonMobil unveils tech for methanol-to-SAF, Focus Catal. 2022, 2022, 6. [CrossRef]
32. Ebrahimi, P.; Kumar, A.; Khraisheh, M. Analysis of combustion synthesis method for Cu/CeO₂ synthesis by integrating thermodynamics and design of experiments approach. Results Eng. 2022, 15, 100574. [CrossRef]
45. Si, R.; Flytzani-Stephanopoulos, M. Shape and crystal-plane effects of nanoscale ceria on the activity of Au-CeO₂ catalysts for the water-gas shift reaction. Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 2926–2929. [CrossRef]
46. Stubenrauch, J.; Brosha, E.; Vohs, J. Reaction of carboxylic acids on CeO₂ (111) and CeO₂ (100). Catal. Today 1996, 28, 431–441. [CrossRef]
47. Mei, D. First-principles characterization of formate and carboxyl adsorption on stoichiometric CeO₂ (111) and CeO₂ (110) surfaces. J. Energy Chem. 2013, 22, 524–532. [CrossRef]
71. Frontera, P.; Macario, A.; Ferraro, M.; Antonucci, P. Supported catalysts for CO$_2$ methanation: A review. Catalysts 2017, 7, 59. [CrossRef]
74. Zhu, M.; Ge, Q.; Zhu, X. Catalytic reduction of CO$_2$ to CO via reverse water gas shift reaction: Recent advances in the designing of active and selective supported metal catalysts. Trans. Tianjin Univ. 2020, 26, 172–187. [CrossRef]


94. Chen, X.; Su, X.; Liang, B.; Yang, X.; Ren, X.; Duan, H.; Huang, Y.; Zhang, T. Identification of relevant active sites and a mechanism study for reverse water gas shift reaction over Pt/CeO$_2$ catalysts. *J. Energy Chem.* 2016, 25, 1051–1057. [CrossRef]


100. Yang, Z.; Zeng, M.; Wang, K.; Yue, X.; Chen, X.; Dai, W.; Fu, X. Visible light-assisted thermal catalytic reverse water gas reaction over Cu-CeO$_2$: The synergistic effect of hot electrons and oxygen vacancies induced by LSPR effect. *Fuel* 2022, 315, 123186. [CrossRef]


112. Daza, Y.A.; Kuhn, J.N. CO₂ conversion by reverse water gas shift catalysis: Comparison of catalysts, mechanisms and their consequences for CO₂ conversion to liquid fuels. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 49675–49691. [CrossRef]


140. Konsolakis, M.; Lykaki, M.; Stefa, S.; Carabineiro, S.A.; Varvoutis, G.; Papista, E.; Marnellos, G. CO$_2$ hydrogenation over nanoceria-supported transition metal catalysts: Role of ceria morphology (nanorods versus nanocubes) and active phase nature (Co versus Cu). *Nanomaterials* **2019**, *9*, 1739. [CrossRef] [PubMed]


142. Liotta, L.; Longo, A.; Macaluso, A.; Martorana, A.; Pantaleo, G.; Venezia, A.; Deganello, G. Influence of the SMSI effect on the catalytic activity of a Pt (1%)/Ce$_{0.6}$Zr$_{0.4}$O$_2$ catalyst: SAXS, XRD, XPS and TPR investigations. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2004**, *48*, 133–149. [CrossRef]


169. Galvita, V.V.; Poelman, H.; Bliznuk, V.; Detavernier, C.; Marin, G.B. CeO2-modified Fe2O3 for CO2 utilization via chemical looping. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2015, 52, 8416–8426. [CrossRef]


176. Theofanidis, S.A.; Galvita, V.V.; Poelman, H.; Marin, G.B. Enhanced carbon-resistant dry reforming Fe-Ni catalyst: Role of Fe. ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 3028–3039. [CrossRef]


188. Luhui, W.; Zhang, S.; Yuan, L. Reverse water gas shift reaction over Co-precipitated Ni-Co2O3 catalysts. J. Rare Earths 2008, 26, 66–70.


190. Belekar, R. Suppression of coke formation during reverse water-gas shift reaction for CO2 conversion using highly active Ni/Al2O3–CeO2 catalyst material. Phys. Lett. A 2021, 395, 127206. [CrossRef]