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#### Abstract

Background: Hypertension has affected over 1.13 billion people worldwide in 2015 and it's one of the most preventable risk-factors for morbidity and mortality. Antihypertensives significantly reduce cardiovascular risks. Several studies on antihypertensives' prescribing patterns were conducted worldwide, and guidelines were developed on hypertension management. However, no systematic reviews were conducted globally to synthesize the evidence from these studies. This review aims to evaluate antihypertensives' prescription patterns, and adherence to international guidelines for hypertension management worldwide. Methods: Full-text antihypertensives' prescribing patterns evaluation studies were included. Reviews, commentaries, guidelines, and editorials were excluded. Various databases were searched including PubMed, Embase, and others. Studies were limited to English only and to articles published from (01/01/2010) to (20/03/2020). Crowe Critical Appraisal Tool (CCAT) was used for quality assessment. Results: The most commonly prescribed antihypertensives as monotherapy in adult patients with no comorbidities were ACEIs/ARBs (Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors/Angiotensin receptor blockers), followed by CCBs (Calcium channel blockers), and BBs (Beta Blockers). Most commonly prescribed dual combinations were thiazide diuretics+ACEIs/ARBs, BBs + CCBs and CCBs+ACEIs/ARBs. Among diabetic patients, the most common agents were ACEIs/ARBs. Among patients with heart diseases, CCBs were prescribed frequently. While patients with kidney diseases, CCBs and ARBs were most prescribed. Of the 40 studies included in the review, only four studies directly assessed the prescribing patterns of antihypertensives in adherence to clinical practice guidelines. And only two studies confirmed adherence to guidelines. Furthermore, the quality of the majority of studies was moderate ( $50 \%$ ), while $25 \%$ of articles were reported as either high or low quality. Conclusion: This review revealed that there are areas for improvement for prescribing practices of antihypertensives in concordance with the latest evidence and with clinical practice guidelines.


## 1. Introduction

In 2015, the estimated number of people with hypertension globally was over 1.13 billion. ${ }^{1}$ According to the World Health Organization (WHO) global report on non-communicable diseases (NCDs) in 2015, one in four men and one in five women had hypertension, defined as elevated systolic and/or diastolic blood pressure (SBP and/or DBP) of $140 / 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ or greater. ${ }^{2}$ Globally, high blood pressure (BP) has
doubled over the past 40 years, according to a study published in 2016 by the Noncommunicable Disease Risk Factor Collaboration network (NCD-RisC). ${ }^{3}$ This increase has primarily been seen in low- and middleincome countries. ${ }^{3}$ The highest prevalence of hypertension was in the African region were $27 \%$ of the adult population was hypertensive. ${ }^{1}$ On the other hand, the lowest prevalence was found in the Americas, where $18 \%$ of the population was hypertensive. ${ }^{1}$

There has been significant advancement in hypertension

[^0]management, but it remains a major preventable death and morbidity risk factor. And If not diagnosed early and managed properly, hypertension can be associated with several complications such as renal failure, heart failure (HF), sexual dysfunction, peripheral artery disease (PAD), loss of vision, angina, myocardial infarction (MI), and stroke. ${ }^{4}$ Reducing blood pressure using lifestyle modifications and/or antihypertensive therapy can significantly decrease the risk of cardiovascular morbidity and premature mortality. ${ }^{5}$ For example, decreasing SBP by $10-\mathrm{mmHg}$ can significantly lower the risk of major cardiovascular disease (CVD) events by 20\%, stroke by 27\%, coronary heart disease (CHD) by $17 \%$, HF by $28 \%$, and all-cause mortality by $13 \%{ }^{6}$ Therefore, it is important to achieve BP control targets to lessen the risk of developing CVDs or other NCDs.

Several clinical practice guidelines for hypertension management have been developed and regularly updated to provide direction and guidance for standardized practice by clinicians. ${ }^{6-8}$ One example is the eight Joint National Committee (JNC8) guideline and the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) 2017. ${ }^{6-9}$

Although hypertension treatment is available, it remains suboptimal within various populations in terms of BP control and prognosis. ${ }^{9}$ The trend of BP control was examined in a systematic review that included studies from US, United Kingdom (UK), Europe, Australia, Canada, Brazil, Mexico, India, Japan, South Africa, Jamaica, Saudi Arabia, Nigeria and other countries. ${ }^{10}$ Only one in eight people with diabetes and hypertension have controlled blood pressure, according to the review. ${ }^{10}$ These results suggest that despite the availability of antihypertensive agents and evidence-based recommendations endorsed by several guidelines, BP control is not adequate in the countries originally included in the study. ${ }^{10}$

While many studies have been conducted to assess the prescribing patterns or trends of antihypertensive medications and adherence to hypertension management guidelines worldwide, there is need to synthesize this available evidence to obtain an aggregate and holistic picture of antihypertensives prescribing globally. This review aimed to systematically evaluate antihypertensive prescribing patterns, and adherence to guidelines for hypertension management.

## 2. Methods

### 2.1. Review protocol and registration

We conducted and reported this systematic review in accordance with the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses) statement and its most recent extension PRISMA-S. ${ }^{11,12}$ The protocol was registered and is available on PROSPERO at the Centre for Reviews and Dissemination, University of York, United Kingdom (CRD42020175853).

### 2.2. Data sources and search strategy

Systematic and comprehensive search was conducted using the following databases and search engines: PubMed $\mathbb{R}^{\text {, }}$ EMBASE®, Web of Science ${ }^{\circledR}$, Scopus ${ }^{\circledR}$, Trip ${ }^{\circledR}$, Wiley Online Library ${ }^{\circledR}$, ProQuest ${ }^{\circledR}$, Elsevier ScienceDirect ${ }^{\circledR}$, OpenGrey ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Google Scholar®. Moreover, the bibliographies of retrieved articles were manually searched to locate other relevant articles that were not identified in the electronic search. Different search terms driven from the review question were combined using Boolean operators. Keywords were modified based on individual databases (for example: using MeSH terms in PubMed® and Emtree in EMBASE® databases) (Table 1: Search Terms).

### 2.3. Eligibility criteria

### 2.3.1. Inclusion criteria

We included studies examining the prescribing patterns of antihypertensive agents regardless of the study design. Articles were included

Table 1
Search Terms.

in this systematic review if they fulfill the following inclusion criteria:

- Assessing and reporting the prescribing patterns of antihypertensives in adult hypertensive patients older than 18


## AND/OR

- Evaluating the adherence of antihypertensives prescribing to guidelines

We only included publications published in the last 10 years between January 1, 2010, to March 12, 2021 to cover the most updated studies in hypertension management and to assess if prescribing was in concordance with the most recent hypertension management guidelines.

### 2.3.2. Exclusion criteria

Reviews, letters, editorials, commentaries, and non-English studies were excluded from the review.

### 2.4. Studies selection

The electronic databases were searched to identify studies that were potentially eligible for inclusion in the review. Titles and abstracts of studies were screened independently by two study investigators (MH and NA) against the criteria listed above. Articles meeting the review criteria were selected as potentially eligible. Moreover, two reviewers (MH and NA) independently read the full text of each study identified from the title/abstract screening for potential inclusion in the review. Any discrepancies or disagreements, at all the study stages including retrieval and screening of studies, were resolved through discussions with a third peer reviewer (AA or HE).

### 2.5. Data extraction and quality assessment

Two reviewers independently reviewed the included articles and extracted the data using a pre-formulated data extraction sheet. In addition, the selected studies were assessed for quality using the Crowe Critical Appraisal Tool (CCAT). ${ }^{13}$ The CCAT is a quality assessment tool that helps researchers to conduct critical appraisal of quantitative and qualitative studies. It has eight domains including preliminaries, introduction, design, sampling, ethical matters, data collection, results, and discussion with a total maximum score of 40 . The data extraction form includes the following elements: author(s), the study setting, country and year of publication, tool used for data collection, study design,
population characteristics, outcome measures, source of funding, and limitations.

### 2.6. Data synthesis and analysis

Data was synthesized using a descriptive and narrative approached in this review. Based on the extracted data, we summarized the findings relating to the studies' main objectives and characteristics in Tables 2 and 3. The CCAT scores for each study were compared between two study investigators (MH and NA) and an agreement was made on a final score for each study. Conflicts were resolved through discussions with other investigators. The CCAT tool does not have a cutoff score; thus, we used the 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles to categorize the studies as low, moderate and high quality. Studies with a score below the 25th percentile were considered of low quality, studies with scores between 25th and 75th percentile were identified of moderate quality, while studies with scores above 75th percentile were considered of high quality. Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS®) version 26 was used to calculate the percentiles of the scores. This approach was previously used by Donnelly et al. ${ }^{13}$

## 3. Results

The search of electronic databases identified 25,612 records, in addition to 17,200 records identified from Google Scholar®. Forty articles were found eligible for inclusion after removal of duplicates, screening of abstracts and assessment of full-text articles as per the study's eligibility criteria. Fig. 1 illustrates the flow diagram of articles' inclusion as recommended by Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) checklist. ${ }^{11}$

Table 2 summarizes the studies' characteristics and Table 3 presents the main outcomes and results of the included studies.

### 3.1. Study characteristics

### 3.1.1. Study date and country of publication

Most of the studies $(n=25 \text { out of } 40)^{14-38}$ were published between 2014 and 2020. The majority of studies were conducted in India ( $n=$ 13), ${ }^{20,23,28-33,36,39-42}$ followed by United States (USA) $(n=6),{ }^{21,37,3}$ ${ }^{8,43-46}$ and Malaysia $(n=3) .{ }^{47-49}$ Other studies were conducted in Japan $(\mathrm{n}=2),{ }^{25,26}$ Nigeria $(\mathrm{n}=2),{ }^{14,15}$ Saudi Arabia $(\mathrm{n}=2),{ }^{19,50}$ Egypt ( $\mathrm{n}=$ 1), ${ }^{22}$ Jordan $(\mathrm{n}=1),{ }^{51}$ Pakistan $(\mathrm{n}=1),{ }^{24}$ Bahrain $(\mathrm{n}=1),{ }^{16}$ Kenya $(\mathrm{n}=$ 1), ${ }^{27}$ Australia $(\mathrm{n}=1),{ }^{34}$ Cyprus $(\mathrm{n}=1),{ }^{35}$ Ireland $(\mathrm{n}=1),{ }^{52}$ United Arab Emirates $(\mathrm{n}=1),{ }^{18}$ Taiwan $(\mathrm{n}=1),{ }^{53}$ and Mexico $(\mathrm{n}=1)$. ${ }^{17}$

### 3.1.2. Study setting

Fifteen studies were executed in hospitals, ${ }^{15,18-20,23,24,30-33,36,39,42}$ ,50,53 while 10 studies were conducted in outpatient clinics. ${ }^{14,22,27-29,40,41,47,48,51}$ Six studies were based on national prescribing databases, ${ }^{26,34,38,44,45,52}$ and four studies were conducted in primary care centers. ${ }^{16,17,43,49}$ Two studies were conducted in pharmacies ${ }^{25,35}$ and one study was in five clinical sites. ${ }^{21}$ One study involved African American men and women residents of metropolitan Jackson, Mississippi ${ }^{46}$ and one study was done in African American churches located in South Los Angeles. ${ }^{37}$

### 3.1.3. Study design

The predominant study design utilized by most studies was crosssectional design $(n=16)^{14,17,22,26,27,31,33,36,37,39,42,44,47,49,51,53}$ followed by 11 retrospective studies. ${ }^{15,16,19,24,25,30,34,35,38,45,52}$ Ten studies adopted a prospective study design. ${ }^{18,20,23,28,29,32,40,41,46,48}$ Two studies were based on randomized controlled trials (RCTs) ${ }^{21,43}$ and one study was qualitative using semi-structured interviews. ${ }^{50}$

### 3.1.4. Patients' characteristics

The majority of studies included adult hypertensive patients aged 18
years and above $(n=25),{ }^{14,15,17-28,30,31,35,38,40,43,44,49,51-53}$ while three studies were conducted among elderly patients only. ${ }^{16,34,41}$ Two studies included only African American hypertensive patients ${ }^{37,46}$ while the rest included patients regardless of their ethnicity.

The number of study participants in included studies ranged from 100 patients ${ }^{41}$ to 140,126 patients. ${ }^{45}$ Four studies specifically targeted patients with type 2 diabetes $^{29,33,39,48}$ and one study included patients with diabetes and those with IHD. ${ }^{47}$ One study targeted patients with resistant hypertension. ${ }^{45}$

### 3.2. Prescribing patterns of antihypertensive agents

The prescribing patterns of antihypertensive medications across the different studies included in the review are presented in Table 3. The prescribing patterns of antihypertensive agents varied across the 40 included studies. In the subsections below, we synthesized and stratified the data in relation to the prescribing patterns of antihypertensives among adult patients in studies that did not provide specific information for patients with different comorbidities, adult patients in studies that provided specific information for patients with different comorbidities, diabetic patients with hypertension, elderly patients with hypertension, African American patients, and pregnant patients with hypertension.

### 3.2.1. Prescribing patterns of antihypertensives among adult patients in

 studies that did not provide specific information for patients with different comorbiditiesTwenty-two studies assessed the prescribing of antihypertensives among adult patients without providing prescribing data for different patient sub-populations, particularly those with comorbidities. ${ }^{14-22,24,27}$ $-29,31,35,38,40,43-45,49,51$ Of these, 15 studies provided prescribing data for antihypertensives as monotherapy, dual therapy or combination therapy. ${ }^{14-16,18,20,21,27,28,31,35,40,43,44,49,51}$

The percentage of patients on monotherapy ranged in these studies from $15.8 \%$ among females in a Nigerian study ${ }^{14}$ to $75.9 \%$ in a study conducted in India. ${ }^{20}$ The most commonly used antihypertensive agents as monotherapy were ACEIs or ARBs in eight studies, ${ }^{14,16,18,20,21,27,44,51}$ CCBs in six studies, ${ }^{14,18,28,31,40,49}$ BBs in 5 studies, ${ }^{16,31,40,49,51}$ and diuretics in three studies. ${ }^{14,28,40}$

On the other hand, 11 studies reported the percentage of patients on dual therapy. ${ }^{14,15,18,20,21,28,31,35,43,49,51}$ This percentage ranged from $23.0 \%$ in a study conducted in Cyprus ${ }^{35}$ to $64.7 \%$ in a study done in North India. ${ }^{39}$ The most common dual therapy was (thiazide diuretics+ACEIs/ARBs) $(n=9),{ }^{14-16,20,21,27,31,35,40}$ (BBs + CCBs) $(n=$ 4), ${ }^{20,28,31,40}$ (CCBs+ACEIs/ARBs) $(n=3),{ }^{18,21,27}$ and (thiazide diuretics+CCBs $)(n=2) .{ }^{14,28}$ Furthermore, the use of triple therapy was reported in seven studies ${ }^{18,28,35,40,43,49,51}$ with the percentage of prescribing varying from $4.0 \%{ }^{35}$ to $50.0 \% .^{43}$

Seven studies reported the overall percentage of patients using a specific class of antihypertensive agents. ${ }^{15,17,27,28,35,40,44}$ Diuretics were the most commonly used antihypertensives in four studies (57.1\%), ${ }^{15,28}$ ,40,44 while ACEIs/ARBs were the most commonly used agents in two studies (28.6\%). ${ }^{27,35}$

### 3.2.2. Prescribing patterns of antihypertensives among adult patients in

 studies that provided information for patients with specific comorbiditiesEight studies assessed the prescribing patterns of antihypertensives among adult healthy patients, while providing prescribing data for patients with specific comorbidities. ${ }^{23,25,26,30,42,47,50,53}$ Five studies reported the percentage of patients on monotherapy ${ }^{23,30,42,47,53}$ with the percentage ranged from $35.3 \%$ in a study in Malaysia ${ }^{47}$ to $71.8 \%$ in a study in India. ${ }^{30}$ Three studies reported the most common agents used as monotherapy ${ }^{23,26,47}$ with two studies stating that CCBs were the most commonly used agents as monotherapy ${ }^{23,26}$ and BBs were the most frequently agents in one study. ${ }^{47}$

Four studies reported the percentage of patients on dual therapy ${ }^{23,30,47,53}$ ranging from $24.8 \%$ in a study in India ${ }^{30}$ to $47.1 \%$ in a

Table 2
Baseline Characteristics of included studies.





| Author/ Year/ Code | Country/Setting | Population studied | Inclusion criteria | Exclusion criteria | Study design | Time when data is collected | Tool used for data collection if any | Population Characteristics /number of participant (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Hanselin/ } \\ \text { 2011/H1 } \end{gathered}$ ${ }_{45}^{2011 / H 1}$ | United States/ Claims data from the Medstat MarketScan Commercial Claims and Encounter database | Resistant HTN patients | 18-89 years <br> HTN diagnosis <br> -Taking $\geq 4$ drugs concurrently based on NDC claims <br> -2 drugs must be a 1 st line therapy recommended by JNC-7 guideline (ACEIs, ARBs, BBs, CCBs and/or diuretic) <br> -Enrolled in healthcare plan for minimum 12 months | HF patients | Retrospective analysis | 2009 | Prescription claims | -DM:93.4\% <br> CKD:88.3\% <br> -CVD:94\% <br> -Non-hispanic black:75.3\% <br> -Non-hispanic white:78.5\% <br> $\mathrm{N}=140,126$ <br> Men:54.5\% <br> Women:45.5\% <br> DM:30.5\% <br> CKD: 5.7\% <br> IHD:3.3\% <br> Old MI:1.5\% <br> Acute MI: 1.6\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Harman/ 2013/ } \\ & \text { H2 } \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | United States/ African-American men and women residents of metropolitan Jackson, Mississippi | African American hypertensive adults | Population from the Jackson Heart Study currently taking antihypertensive therapy at the time of Exam I and Exam II | Incomplete records or information on medications | Cohort | Exam I: <br> 2000-2004 Exam II: <br> 2005-2008 | Not mentioned clearly | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exam I } \boldsymbol{N}=\mathbf{2 4 1 5} \\ & \text { Age: } 60 \\ & \text { Women: } 69 \% \\ & \text { BMI: } 33+-7 \\ & \text { DM: } 29 \% \\ & \text { MI: } 9 \% \\ & \text { CKD: } 14 \% \\ & \text { Exam II } \mathbf{N}= \\ & 2577 \\ & \text { Age: } 63 \\ & \text { Women:70\% } \\ & \text { BMI: } 33+-7 \\ & \text { DM: } 40 \% \text { out of } \mathbf{2 0 7 8} \\ & \text { MI: } 9 \% \\ & \text { CKD: } 11 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hussain/ 2015/ } \\ & \text { H3 } \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | Karachi, Pakistan/ Liaquat National Hospital Karachi | Adults hypertensive patients and physicians | Not mentioned | Specialists and consultants | Quantitative | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & \text { 2012-August } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | Two surveys were conducted in health providers and health receivers. Data of prescriptions, prescription trends, and drug prices were obtained from authentic sources. | $\begin{aligned} & N=400 \\ & \text { Males: } 50 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{Ibaraki} / 2017 / I 1_{25}$ | Japan/ <br> 80 dispensing <br> pharmacies | Adults hypertensive patients | Inclusion $\rightarrow$ <br> Antihypertensives <br> prescriptions and prescriptions for diabetes, dyslipidemia, <br> hyperuricemia, and antithrombotic |  | Not mentioned (prescriptions review) | 2014 | Prescriptions | $\begin{aligned} & N=10,585 \\ & \text { Age: } \\ & \text {-70-79: } 33 \% \\ & -60-69: 24.6 \% \\ & \text {-80-89:23.5\% } \\ & \text {-Female: } 55.3 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| $\underset{26}{\text { Ishida/2019/ I2 }}$ | Japan/ database built by Medical Data Vision Co., Ltd. (MDV) | Adults hypertensive patients | - Patients with history of an outpatient visit or hospitalization (for any indication) before the index date -patients prescribed of $\geq 3$ drugs that includes loop, and | - Patients with no history of attendance during this time -those prescribed loop diuretics, or aldosterone antagonists monotherapy or combination therapy | Cross-sectional study | 2015 | Prescriptions review | $\begin{aligned} & N=59,867 \\ & \text {-Ages } 70+-11.9 \\ & \text {-Male:56.9\% } \\ & \text {-DM: } 24.3 \% \end{aligned}$ -Renal disease:10\% -Heart disease (inpatient): 52\% |


| Author/ Year/ Code | Country/Setting | Population studied | Inclusion criteria | Exclusion criteria | Study design | Time when data is collected | Tool used for data collection if any | Population Characteristics /number of participant (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Khurshid/2012/ K1 40 | India South Delhi/ <br> Medicine Out-Patient Department of University Teaching Hospital | Adults <br> antihypertensive <br> patients | at least one other antihypertensive drug Hypertensive patients in Outpatient clinic | - Patients not treated with antihypertensives - mentally retarded -unconscious - drug addicts - unable to comply with their medications | Prospective analysis of drug utilization | 2007 | Medical records and prescriptions | $N=192$ <br> -Males: 45.4\% <br> -Females: 54.6\% <br> - <30 years: 7.8\% <br> - $30-60$ years: $70.3 \%$ <br> - >60 years: 21.8\% |
| $\underset{53}{\mathrm{Lin} / 2013 / \mathrm{L} 1}$ | Taiwan/ <br> 19 hospitals distributed across four geographical areas of Taiwan | Adults hypertensive patients | Essential HTN diagnosis with no other concomitant diseases | -Secondary HTN HTN <br> diagnosis <br> -History of malignant <br> HTN <br> - History of <br> cerebrovascular accident <br> or TIA within prior 6 <br> months to enrollment <br> - baseline sitting SBP of 210 mmHg <br> - confirmed MI, or a <br> clinically significant <br> cardiac arrhythmia in the <br> past 12 months <br> - cardiac diseases <br> -neurological disorders <br> - hepatic or neoplastic <br> diseases | Data retrieved from a nested cross-sectional study | 2003-2004 | Medical records and prescriptions | $N=2145$ <br> -Males:1139 (53.1\%) <br> -Females: <br> 1006 (46.89\%) <br> -20-49 years: 243 (11.32\%) <br> - $\geq 50$ years: 1854 (86.4\%) <br> -Diabetes:819 (38.18\%) <br> - Kidney disease:124 (5.78\%) <br> -Cardiac disease: 571 <br> (26.62\%) <br> -Cerebrovascular disease: 195 <br> (9.09\%) |
| Maghrabi/ <br> 2013/M1 | Western region Saudi Arabia/ 11 hospitals of different types | Physicians (internists, cardiologists, gynecologists, and family doctors) | -Specialties including cardiologists, internists, and family medicine <br> - Minimum 1-year experience in KSA -public and private sectors -specialized and general sectors <br> -all geographical parts of western region <br> -all socioeconomic levels | Not mentioned | Qualitative study (not mentioned) | Not mentioned | Semi-structured interviews using prescription profile questionnaire | $N=277$ <br> -internists: 80\% <br> -cardiologists: <br> 13\% <br> -family medicine doctors:7\% <br> -general hospitals:50\% <br> -specialized hospitals: 50\% <br> -private hospitals: 31\% <br> -governmental hospitals: <br> 69\% <br> Years of practice experience: <br> - < 15 years: <br> 44\% <br> - > 15: 56\% <br> Years of experience in KSA: <br> - < 2 years: $21 \%$ <br> -2-5 years: $52 \%$ <br> - > 5 years: $27 \%$ |
| Mbui/2017/M2 | Kenya/ outpatient clinic | Adults Hypertensive patients | - actively registered hypertensive adults above 18 years the MOPC at Ruiru Sub-County hospital for $\geq 1$ - at least 1 antihypertensive agent <br> - patients visiting the clinic | pregnant patients | Descriptive crosssectional study | 2015 | Retrospective analysis of medical records and semistructured interviews | $N=247$ <br> Females:87.4\% <br> Males: 12.6\% <br> Age (years): <br> 20-39: 11.3\% <br> 40-59: 44.1\% <br> 60-79: 43.3\% <br> $\geq 80$ : $1.2 \%$ <br> (continued on next page) |
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| Author/ Year/ Code | Country/Setting | Population studied | Inclusion criteria | Exclusion criteria | Study design | Time when data is collected | Tool used for data collection if any | Population Characteristics /number of participant (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | at least 3 times/year <br> - complete medical records |  |  |  |  | Comorbidities: <br> -Diabetes: 36.8\% <br> -CVDs: $2 \%$ <br> Treatment duration: <br> $-\leq 1$ year: <br> 25.5\% <br> - >1-5 years: <br> 72.1\% <br> - >5-10 years: 1.6\% <br> - $>10$ years: <br> 0.8\% |
| $\underset{41}{\text { Mohd/2012/M3 }}$ | India/Pradesh <br> Outpatient clinics in Rohini <br> superspeciality <br> hospital | Elderly (>65 years <br> old) <br> hypertensive patients | -Elderly >65 years hypertensive according to JNC-7 guidelines receiving therapy | Not mentioned | Prospective <br> observational <br> study | January-June <br> 2011 | Prescriptions and Medical records review | $N=100$ <br> Age (years): <br> 65-67: 72\% <br> 68-70: 26\% <br> >70: $2 \%$ <br> Educational qualification: <br> -Literate: <br> 76\% <br> -Illiterate: 24\% <br> Social habits: <br> -Alcoholic: <br> 15\% <br> -Smoker: 20\% <br> -Both: 8\% |
| $\mathrm{Murrt}_{28} / 2015 / \mathrm{M} 4$ | North India/ Outpatient department Research Hospital | Adult hypertensive patients | prescriptions of antihypertensives in four months (Sep. 2013- Dec. 2013) | Not mentioned | Prospective analysis of drug utilization pattern | 2013 | Prescriptions and medical records review | $N=137$ <br> Males:71.5\% <br> Females: 28.5\% <br> Mean age: <br> Males:58.47 <br> Females: 52.39 <br> Obese: 56.93\% <br> comorbidities: 31.38\% <br> -DM:16.05\% <br> -CVD risk: 5.10\% <br> -CKD:8.75\% <br> -Asthma/ <br> Respiratory conditions: <br> 1.45\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pandey/2014/ } \\ & \text { P1 } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | South Delhi, India IIT Hospital outpatient clinics | Diabetic and/or hypertensive | -newly registered patients' prescriptions -all diabetic and/or HTN patients with at least one drug | Not mentioned | Prospective drug utilization review | 2014 | Prescription and medical records review | $N=595$ <br> -Males: 57.31\% <br> -Females: 42.69\% <br> Hypertensive patients: <br> -Males: 30.9\% <br> -Females: 23.70\% <br> Diabetic patients: <br> -Males: 7.73\% <br> -Females: 7.05\% <br> Both: <br> -Males: 18.65\% <br> -Females: 11.93\% <br> (continued on next page) |


| Author/ Year/ Code | Country/Setting | Population studied | Inclusion criteria | Exclusion criteria | Study design | Time when data is collected | Tool used for data collection if any | Population Characteristics /number of participant ( N ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Paradkar/ 2017/ } \\ & \text { P2 } \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ | India/ <br> Department of medicine <br> Sir JJ group tertiary care hospitals | Adult hypertensive patients | Adult hypertensive patients seeking treatment from OPD | Critically ill or pregnant | Drug utilization study | 2017 | Prescription and medical records review | $\mathrm{N}=400$ <br> Average age: <br> 53 <br> -Females: 51.8\% <br> -Males: 48.2\% <br> -Newly diagnosed: <br> 42\% <br> -follow ups in OPD: 58\% <br> -Diabetics: 76.3\% <br> -CAD: 4.5\% <br> -Hypothyro <br> idism:2.75\% <br> -MDD: 1\% |
| Pai/2011/P3 ${ }^{42}$ | South India/ tertiary care hospital | Adult hypertensive patients | all adult HTN patients even with co-morbid conditions | Not mentioned | Retrospective cross-sectional analysis of prescriptions | 2010 | Prescriptions review and analysis | $\mathrm{N}=214$ <br> Males: 101 <br> Females: 99 <br> Mean ages (years) <br> -Females: <br> 63.5 <br> -Males:62.7 <br> $\geq 1$ concurrent disease:65\% <br> -DM:47.5\% <br> -IHD:16.5\% <br> -Hyperlipid-emia: 18\% <br> -Renal disease:7.5\% <br> -CVD accidents <br> :16\% |
| Rachana/ 2014/R1 $31$ | India <br> Bangalore /tertiary care hospital | Adult hypertensive patients | $\geq 18 \mathrm{y}$ HTN adults | serious co-morbid illness like CHF, CKD, stroke, dementia, cognitive/ sensory deficits, pregnant lactating women | Retrospective cross-sectional study | 2013 | Prescriptions and medical records review | $N=300$ <br> -Male: 54.66\% <br> -Female: 45.33\% <br> Mean age: <br> -Male: 58.06 <br> -Female: 62.09 <br> HTN stage: <br> -Normal: 8.66\% <br> -prehyperte nsion: 25\% <br> -Stage 1: 36.33\% <br> -Stage 2:30\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ramli/2010/ } \\ & \quad \text { R2 } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | Selangor/ <br> Malaysia <br> Public primary clinics | Adult hypertensive patients | Hypertensive patients treated there | Patients with co-existing DM | Cross-sectional survey | 2009 | Prescription and medical records review | $\mathrm{N}=400$ <br> -Male:47.2\% <br> -Female: 52.8\% <br> Ethnicity: <br> -Malays: 49.8\% <br> -Chinese: 40\% <br> -Indian: 10\% <br> -others:0.2\% <br> Mean age: 59.5y |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sajith/2014/ } \\ & { }_{32} 1 \\ & \end{aligned}$ | Pune/ India <br> Gynaecology and obstetrics Department at Bharati hospital | Adult pregnant women with HTN | All pregnant women with HTN | Not mentioned | Prospective study | 2014 | Prescription and medical records review | $\begin{aligned} & N=104 \\ & \text { Age (years) } \\ & \text { 18-22: } 41.3 \% \\ & >32: 3.8 \% \end{aligned}$ <br> -Chronic HTN: 2 <br> -Gestational HTN: 20 (continued on next page) |


| Author/ Year/ Code | Country/Setting | Population studied | Inclusion criteria | Exclusion criteria | Study design | Time when data is collected | Tool used for data collection if any | Population Characteristics /number of participant (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Shastry/ 2014/ } \\ & \text { S2 } \\ & 33 \end{aligned}$ | India/ <br> Tertiary care teaching hospital | Adult diabetichypertensive patients | Patients with diabetes and HTN | Not mentioned | Cross-sectional study | 2014 | Patients medical records and prescriptions review | -Eclampsia:8 <br> -Preeclamp sia: 74 $N=336$ <br> Male: 48.5\% <br> Female: 51.5\% |
| $\underset{34}{\mathrm{Si} / 2018 / \mathrm{S} 3}$ | Australia | Elderly (>65 years) <br> hypertensive patients | Long concession $\geq 65$ years <br> hypertensive patients <br> medications dispensing <br> records from 2006 to 2016 | Not mentioned | Prescription data analysis | 2018 | National prescription claims data from the Australian PBS | Mean age: $64.55 \pm 9.51$ <br> -1.6 million person-years of observations <br> -1 million person-years involved antihypertensives 2016: <br> -Males: 65.1\% <br> -Females: 59.2\% |
| Tamirci/ 2019/ T1 35 | Northern Cyprus/ <br> Community <br> pharmacies | Adult hypertensive patients | Prescriptions of antihypertensive agents | Not mentioned | Prescription analysis | 2017-2018 | Prescriptions22.1 | $N=148$ prescriptions -Average number of antihypertensives /prescription: $1.2 \pm 0.6$ |
| Tandon/ 2014/ <br> T2 <br> 36 | North India/ Teaching tertiary care hospital | Adult post- <br> menopausal <br> hypertensive women | Adult post-menopausal hypertensive women | Not mentioned | Observational and cross-sectional prospective prescription audit | 2012 | Prescriptions and medical records | $N=500$ prescriptions <br> -Stage I HTN: 59.6\% <br> -Stage II HTN: 36\% <br> -diabetic: 6.4\% <br> -obesity/ overweight: 7.2\% |
| Yazdanshenas/ 2014/ Y1 <br> 37 | South Los Angeles United States/ African American churches | African American hypertensive patients | African Americans hypertensive adults aged $\geq 65$ years | Exclusion $\rightarrow$ Not mentioned | Cross-sectional study | Not mentioned | Survey and face-to-face interviews | $\begin{aligned} & N=341 \\ & \geq 75 \text { y: } 39 \% \end{aligned}$ <br> -Female: 65\% <br> -Diabetics: 37\% <br> -Kidney diseases: 12\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Zhou/2015/ } \\ & \text { Z1 } \\ & 38 \end{aligned}$ | United States of America/data from IMS Health National Disease and Therapeutic Index | Adults hypertensive patients | Data from IMS Health National Disease and Therapeutic Index (NDTI) on HTN prescriptions | Not mentioned | Drug utilization patterns | Not mentioned | NDTI monthly audit | HTN treatment visits: \% <br> -1997:10.3\% <br> -1998:10.6\% <br> -1999:10.8\% <br> -2000:10.9\% <br> -2001:10.4\% <br> -2002:10.6\% <br> -2003: 11\% <br> -2004:11.2\% <br> -2005: 11\% <br> -2006:10.9\% <br> -2007:11.2\% <br> -2008:11.4\% <br> -2009:11.2\% <br> -2010:10.9\% <br> -2011:10.9\% <br> -2012:10.9\% |


 blockers, CADs: Centrally acting drugs, HCTZ: Hydrochlorothiazide.

Table 3
Outcomes and findings of included studies.


Table 3 (continued)

| Author/ Year/ <br> Code | Outcome measures/results <br> (Type of drugs used including \% <br> Adherence to guideline <br> Guideline used if any)** | Adherence to <br> guidelines |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

- Duretics+ACEI/ARB: 19.2\%
- Diuretics + CCB + ACEI/ARB: 14.7\%
- CCB + ACEI or ARB: 11.2\%
- CCB+ diuretic: 9.8\%

| $\underset{16}{\text { Alkhaja/2019/A5 }}$ | Patients on Monotherapy | NA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Young adults:31.37\% |  |
|  | Older adults:22.64\% |  |
|  | - ACEIs |  |
|  | young adults: 43.40\% |  |
|  | older adults: 35.64\% |  |
|  | - Diuretics |  |
|  | young adults: 7.30\% |  |
|  | older adults: 10.90\% |  |
|  | - CCBs |  |
|  | young adults: 12.53\% |  |
|  | older adults: 20.55\% |  |
|  | -BBs |  |
|  | young adults: 16.95\% |  |
|  | older adults: 17.19\% |  |
|  | Patients on Two Drug combination |  |
|  | -Perindopril + Indapamide |  |
|  | Young adults:28.11\% |  |
|  | Older adults:16.11\% |  |
|  | -Valsartan + HCTZ |  |
|  | young adults: 24.39\% |  |
|  | older adults: 22.55\% |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alba-Leonel/ } \\ & \text { 2016/A6 } \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | Overall | NA |
|  |  |  |
|  | - ACEIs: 63.78\% |  |
|  | - BBs: 26.5\% |  |
|  | - Diuretics: 19.8\% |  |
|  | - ARBs: 15.8\% |  |
|  | - CCBs: 6.4\% |  |
|  | Uncontrolled BP in each class: |  |

- Diuretics: 21.6\%
- BBs: 23.9\%
- ACEIs: 62.5\%
- ARBs: 18.2\%
- CCBs: 6.8\%

| Alkaabi/2019/A7 | Monotherapy: $32.1 \%$ <br> -CCBs: $20.8 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |

-CCBs: 20.8\%
-ARBs: 35.7\%
-Diuretics: 6.4\%
-ACEIs: 20.2\%

- BBs: 15.9\%

Two drug combo: 35.5\%

- ARBs + CCBs:30.9\%
-ACEs + CCBs:21.9\%
-ACEIs + Diuretics:13.8\%
-ACEIs + BBs: 12.4\%
Three-drug combo: $23.1 \%$
Four drugs combo: 8.2\%
General medical services (GMS) NA
ACEIs + ARBs:
- January 2000:0.16/1000 GMS
eligible population
- April 2009: 5.10/1000 GMS eligible
population
-December 2008: 5.98/1000 GMS
eligible population
Males:
-January 2000: 0.41
-April 2009: 5.90
Females:
-January 2000: 0.17
-April 2009: 4.49
-Highest trend of co-prescribing among $\geq 65$ years

Table 3 (continued)

| Author/ Year/ <br> Code | Outcome measures/results <br> (Type of drugs used including \% <br> Adherence to guideline <br> Guideline used if any)** | Adherence to guidelines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DM: |  |
|  | -January 2000: 0.97 |  |
|  | -April 2009: 25.72 |  |
|  | HTN: |  |
|  | -January 2000: 0.59 |  |
|  | -April 2009: 12.72 |  |
|  | CHF: |  |
|  | -January 2000: 0.77 |  |
|  | -April 2009: 12.07 |  |
|  | IHD: |  |
|  | -January 2000: 0.35 |  |
|  | -April 2009: 10.43 |  |
| $\underset{19}{\text { Ahmed/2020/A9 }}$ | Amlodipine prescriptions: 465 out of 3540 prescriptions (13.13\%) | NA |
| Beg/2014/B1 ${ }^{20}$ | Monotherapy: | NA |
|  | 75.9\% |  |
|  | -ARBs: 33.57\% |  |
|  | -ACEIs:16.79\% |  |
|  | -bBs: 13.63\% |  |
|  | -CCBs: 11.91\% |  |
|  | Dual-therapy: $24.1 \%$ |  |

-     - Amlodipine + Atenolol:33.33\%

|  | - - Olmesartan + HCTZ:22.2\% |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | - Losartan + HCTZ: $13.09 \%$ |
|  | - - Ramipril + HCTZ:11.9\% |
|  | - -Telmisartan + HCTZ:10.71\% |
| Bulatova/ | Monotherapy: $22.3 \%$ |
| $2013 /$ B2 | -ACEIs: $27.5 \%$ |
|  | -ARBs: $23.1 \%$ |
|  | -BBs: $48.4 \%$ |
|  | -CCBs: $14.4 \%$ |
|  | -Thiazides: $5.5 \%$ |
|  | Two drug combination: $27.2 \%$ |
|  | Three drug combination: $27.9 \%$ |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Chang/2016/C1 }^{21} & \text { Monotherapy: } 3 \\ & \text { - Thiazide: } 16 \% \\ & \text {-ACEIs/ARBs: } 43 \%\end{array}$
-ACEIs/ARBs: 43\%
-CCBs:19.4\%
BBs: 20.2\%
Two drugs: 39.1\%
-Thiazides +ACEIs/ARBs:28.8\%
-ACEIs/ARBs
+BBs:17.4\%
-ACEIs/ARBs + CCBs $=17.9 \%$
Dhanaraj/
2012/D1
39
Untreated: 4\%
Monotherapy: 41\%

- ACEIs: 47\%
- ARBs: 36\%
- CCBs: 12\%
- BBs: 5\%

Dual therapy: 65\%

- ACEI+ARB: 21\%
-ARB + diuretic:18\%
-ARB + CCB:16\%
-ACEI+CCB:17\%
-ACEI+diuretic:13\%
Three drugs combination: 30\%
-ACEI+ARB + diuretic: 30\%
ARB + CCB + diuretic: $21 \%$
$\geq 4$ or more drugs: $6 \%$
Elmawardy/ 2016/E1

BBs: 25.6\%
Diuretics: 24\%
ACEIs: 21.8\%
ARBs: 13.9\%
CCBs: 12.5\%
Uncontrolled\%
-ARBs: 49.4\%
-CCBs: 61.4\%
-Diuretics: 62.2\%
-BBs: 63.1\%

Table 3 (continued)


Table 3 (continued)


Table 3 (continued)

| Author/ Year/ Code | Outcome measures/results <br> (Type of drugs used including \% <br> Adherence to guideline <br> Guideline used if any)** | Adherence to guidelines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Polytherapy: 16.2\% <br> ACEIs: 29.3\% <br> Monotherapy: 9.3\% <br> Polytherapy:20\% <br> ARBs: 20.3\% <br> Monotherapy:5.9\% <br> Dual:14.4\% <br> 2009-2010 <br> Diuretics: 35.8\% <br> Monotherapy:3.3\% <br> Polytherapy:32.5\% <br> Thiazides: 27.6\% <br> Monotherapy:2.5\% <br> Polytherapy:25.1\% <br> BBs: 31.9\% <br> Monotherapy:5.9\% <br> Polytherapy:25.9\% <br> CCBs: 20.9\% <br> Monotherapy:3.7\% <br> Polytherapy:17.2\% <br> ACEIs: 33.3\% <br> Monotherapy: 11.2\% <br> Polytherapy: 22.2\% <br> ARBs: 22.2\% <br> Monotherapy: 4.9\% <br> Polytherapy: 16.1\% |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hanselin/ } \\ & 2011 / \mathrm{H} 1 \end{aligned}$ | ACEIs: 60\% <br> ARBs: 51.8\% <br> BBs: 80\% <br> CCBs: 83.6\% <br> -Dihydropyridi ne: 69.7\% <br> - Non-dihydropyridine: 15\% <br> Diuretics: 93.2\% <br> -Aldosterone antagonist: 5.9\% <br> -Loop: 18.8\% <br> -Thiazide: <br> 79.8\% <br> -Alpha-1 adrenergic antagonist: <br> 12.2\% <br> -Alpha-2 adrenergic agonist: 14.1\% | NA |
| Harman/ 2013/ H2 46 | Exam I: <br> BP control with: <br> -Thiazide: 54\% <br> -Dihydropyridine CCBs: 24\% <br> -Non-dihydropyridine CCBs: 14\% <br> -ACEIs: 38\% <br> -BBs: 23\% <br> -ARBs: 17\% <br> -Loop: 11\% <br> -Potassium sparing: 15\% <br> Monotherapy <br> -Thiazide: 31\% <br> -CCBs: 25\% <br> -ACEIs: 20\% <br> -BBs: 9\% <br> -ARBs: 7\% <br> Exam II: <br> BP control with: <br> Thiazide: 59\% <br> -Dihydropyridine CCBs: 27\% <br> -Non-dihydropyridine CCBs: 11\% <br> -ACEIs: 38\% <br> -BBs: 23\% <br> -ARBs: 30\% <br> -Loop: 12\% <br> -Potassium sparing: 16\% <br> Monotherapy <br> -Thiazide: 35\% | NA |
| Hussain/ 2015/ H3 <br> 24 | Patients survey results: <br> -BBs: 33\% <br> -ACEIs: 18\% <br> -CCBs:13\% <br> -ARBs: 8\% | NA |

Table 3 (continued)

| Author/ Year/ <br> Code | Outcome measures/results <br> (Type of drugs used including \% <br> Adherence to guideline <br> Guideline used if any)** | Adherence to guidelines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -Non-thiazide diuretics:8\% <br> Physicians' survey results for first <br> line medication without indication: <br> -Diuretics: 17\% <br> -ACEIs: 34\% <br> -CCBs: 4\% <br> -BBs: $34 \%$ |  |
| $\underset{25}{\text { Ibaraki/2017/I1 }}$ | CCBs: 73.5\% <br> ARBs: 62.7\% <br> ACEIs: 6.1\% <br> Diuretics: 16.5\% <br> BBs: 13.6\% <br> Alpha-blocker: <br> 2.4\% <br> DM patients: <br> Monotherapy <br> ACEIs: 53.1\% <br> CCBs: 43\% <br> Combination therapy <br> -CCB and ARB was the most frequent prescription pattern in patients taking two antihypertensive drugs | NA |
| $\underset{26}{\text { Ishida/2019/ I2 }}$ | With heart disease: <br> -CCBs: 65.3\% <br> -ARBs: 57.5\% <br> -BBs: 39.9\% <br> -Loop: 17.7\% <br> -Thiazide: 10.2\% <br> -ACEIs: 11.8\% <br> -Aldosterone antagonists: 8.8\% <br> -Alpha blocker:4.8\% <br> -Direct renin inhibitor: 0.5\% <br> Without heart disease: <br> -CCBs: 68.5\% <br> -ARBs: 62.4\% <br> -BBs: 10.9\% <br> -Loop: 3\% <br> -Thiazide: 9.5\% <br> -ACEIs: 6.2\% <br> -Aldosterone antagonists: 1.7\% <br> -Alpha blocker:4.4\% <br> -Direct renin inhibitor: 0.3\% | NA |
|  | Monotherapy <br> Overall population: <br> CCBs: $\approx 48 \%$ <br> ARBs: $\approx 35 \%$ <br> BBs: $\approx 11 \%$ <br> ACEIs: $\approx 4 \%$ <br> Alpha-blockers: $\approx 2 \%$ <br> Diuretics (Thiazides): $\approx 1 \%$ |  |
|  | DM patients: <br> CCBs: $\approx 34 \%$ <br> ARBs: $\approx 50 \%$ <br> BBs: $\approx 8 \%$ <br> ACEIs: $\approx 7 \%$ <br> Alpha-blockers: $\approx 1 \%$ <br> Diuretics (Thiazides): $\approx 0.5 \%$ |  |
|  | Renal disease patients: <br> CCBs: $\approx 42 \%$ <br> ARBs: $\approx 36 \%$ <br> BBs: $\approx 14 \%$ <br> ACEIs: $\approx 5 \%$ <br> Alpha-blockers: $\approx 4 \%$ <br> Diuretics (Thiazides): $\approx 2 \%$ |  |
|  | 2-drug combinations: Overall population: CCBs+ARBs: $\approx 60 \%$ CCBs + BBs: $\approx 9 \%$ ARBs+BBs: $\approx 6 \%$ |  |

Table 3 (continued)

| Author/ Year/ Code | Outcome measures/results <br> (Type of drugs used including \% <br> Adherence to guideline <br> Guideline used if any)** | Adherence to guidelines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CCBs+ACEIs: } \approx 5 \% \\ & \text { ARBs+Diuretics (Thiazides): } \approx 4 \% \\ & \text { Other combinations: } \approx 15 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | DM patients: $\begin{aligned} & \text { CCBs+ARBs: } \approx 63 \% \\ & \text { CCBs+BBs: } \approx 7 \% \\ & \text { ARBs+BBs: } \approx 8 \% \\ & \text { CCBs+ACEIs: } \approx 6 \% \\ & \text { ARBs+Diuretics (Thiazides): } \approx 4 \% \\ & \text { Other combinations: } \approx 14 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Renal disease patients: $\begin{aligned} & \text { CCBs }+ \text { ARBs: } \approx 47 \% \\ & \text { CCBs }+ \text { BBs: } \approx 8 \% \\ & \text { ARBs }+ \text { BBs: } \approx 6 \% \\ & \text { CCBs }+ \text { ACEIs: } \approx 5 \% \\ & \text { ARBs+Diuretics (Thiazides): } \approx 2 \% \\ & \text { Other combinations: } \approx 32 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 3-drug combinations: <br> Overall population: <br> CCBs + ARBs + BBs: $\approx 29 \%$ <br> CCBs+ARBs+Diuretics (Thiazides): $\approx$ <br> 21\% <br> CCBs+ARBs+Diuretics (Loop): $\approx 8 \%$ <br> CCBs+ARBs+Alpha-blockers: $\approx 6 \%$ <br> CCBs+BBs + ACEIs: $\approx 4 \%$ <br> Other combinations: $\approx 33 \%$ |  |
|  | DM patients: $\begin{aligned} & \text { CCBs+ARBs+BBs: } \approx 26 \% \\ & \text { CCBs+ARBs+Diuretics (Thiazides): } \approx \\ & 20 \% \\ & \text { CCBs+ARBs+Diuretics (Loop): } \approx 10 \% \\ & \text { CCBs+ARBs+Alpha-blockers: } \approx 8 \% \\ & \text { CCBs+BBs + ACEIs: } \approx 4 \% \\ & \text { Other combinations: } \approx 33 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Renal disease patients: $\begin{aligned} & \text { CCBs+ARBs+BBs: } \approx 20 \% \\ & \text { CCBs+ARBs+Diuretics (Thiazides): } \approx \\ & 10 \% \\ & \text { CCBs+ARBs+Diuretics (Loop): } \approx 27 \% \\ & \text { CCBs+ARBs+Alpha-blockers: } \approx 7 \% \\ & \text { CCBs+BBs + ACEIs: } \approx 4 \% \\ & \text { Other combinations: } \approx 45 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Khurshid/2012/ } \\ & \text { K1 } \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | Overall pattern: <br> -Diuretics: 42.2\% <br> - BBs: 41.2\% <br> - CCBs: 39.1\% <br> - ACEIs: 26\% <br> - ARBs: 23.4\% <br> - alpha-1 blockers: 23.4\% <br> Monotherapy <br> (45.3\%): <br> - BBs: 28.8\% <br> - Diuretics: <br> 24.1\% <br> - CCBs: $21.8 \%$ <br> - ACEIs: 18.4\% <br> - ARBs: 5.7\% <br> - alpha-1 blockers: 1.1\% <br> Multiple drug therapy (54.7\%) <br> - Two drug combination: 75.2\% -CCB + BBs: 40.9\% <br> - ACEIs + diuretics:14.3\% <br> Three drug combination: 18.0\% | NA |
| $\underset{53}{\operatorname{Lin} / 2013 / L 1}$ | -Diuretics: <br> 20.8\% <br> -BBs: 38.7\% <br> -CCBs: 59.3\% <br> -ACEIs: 20.4\% | NA |

Table 3 (continued)

| Author/ Year/ Code | Outcome measures/results <br> (Type of drugs used including \% <br> Adherence to guideline <br> Guideline used if any)** | Adherence to guidelines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -ARBs: 38.7\% <br> -Monothera <br> py: 775 (36.13\%) <br> -Dual-drug therapy:864 (40.27\%) <br> -Triple-drug therapy:388 (18.08\%) <br> - Quadro-drug therapy:75 (3.5\%) |  |
|  | Diuretics: <br> DM patients: 17.5\% <br> CVD patients: $27.7 \%$ <br> Cerebrovascular disease patients: 16.4\% <br> Kidney disease patients: 29.8\% |  |
|  | BBs: <br> DM patients: 32.5\% <br> CVD patients: $43.6 \%$ <br> Cerebrovascular disease patients: $38.5 \%$ <br> Kidney disease patients: $36.2 \%$ |  |
|  | CCBs: <br> DM patients: 58.4\% <br> CVD patients: 57.8\% <br> Cerebrovascular disease patients: $65.1 \%$ <br> Kidney disease patients: 52.4\% |  |
|  | ACEIs: <br> DM patients: 22.3\% <br> CVD patients: 22.2\% <br> Cerebrovascular disease patients: $28.2 \%$ <br> Kidney disease patients: 25\% |  |
|  | ARBs: <br> DM patients: 42.7\% <br> CVD patients: 44.5\% <br> Cerebrovascular disease patients: $36.9 \%$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Maghrabi/ } \\ & \text { 2013/M1 } \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | Kidney disease patients: 39.5\% <br> Mild uncomplicated HTN in all <br> hospitals: <br> BBs: $\approx 8 \%$ <br> Diuretics: $\approx 30 \%$ <br> ACEIs: $\approx 32 \%$ <br> CCBs: $\approx 20 \%$ <br> Direct vasodilators: $\approx 3 \%$ <br> ARBs: $\approx 9 \%$ <br> COMB: $\approx 10 \%$ | NA |
|  | Severe uncomplicated HTN in all hospitals: <br> BBs: $\approx 11 \%$ <br> Diuretics: $\approx 14 \%$ <br> ACEIs: $\approx 12 \%$ <br> CCBs: $\approx 15 \%$ <br> Amlodipine: $\approx 5 \%$ <br> Direct vasodilators: $\approx 20 \%$ <br> ARBs: $\approx 3 \%$ <br> COMB: $\approx 40 \%$ |  |
|  | Young uncomplicated HTN in all hospitals: <br> BBs: $\approx 25 \%$ <br> Diuretics: $\approx 13 \%$ <br> ACEIs: $\approx 30 \%$ <br> CCBs: $\approx 23 \%$ <br> Direct vasodilators: $\approx 1 \%$ <br> ARBs: $\approx 5 \%$ |  |

Table 3 (continued)


Table 3 (continued)

| Author/ Year/ <br> Code | Outcome measures/results <br> (Type of drugs used including \% Adherence to guideline Guideline used if any)** | Adherence to guidelines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{28}{\operatorname{Murti} / 2015 / M 4}$ | Monotherapy: 27.73\% Multidrug therapy: 72.26\% <br> - $68.68 \%$ on 2 drug therapy <br> - $27.27 \%$ on 3 drug therapy <br> - 4.04\% on 4 drug therapy <br> Overall/monotherapy <br> -Diuretics: 29.90\%/28.94\% <br> -ACEIs: 22.42\%/18.42\% <br> -ARBs: 17.75\%/10.52\% <br> -BBs: 25.23\%/18.42\% <br> -CCBs: 26.16\%/23.68\% <br> Dual therapy: <br> -CCBs+BBs: 18.97\% <br> -ARBs/ACEIs+ diuretics: 8.75\% <br> -ARBs/ACEIs+ CCBs: 5.1\% <br> -ACEIs+BBs: 3.64\% <br> -CCBs+diuretics: 13.13\% | Guideline adherence: Yes Name of guideline: JNC7 |
| $\underset{29}{ }$ Pandey/2014/P1 | ACEIs:19.18\% <br> BBs: 17.56\% <br> Amlodipine: 15\% <br> Atenolol+amlodipine: 14.05\% | NA |
| Paradkar/ 2017/ $\quad$ P2 30 | -Enalapril:60\% <br> -Amlodipine: 56.3\% <br> -Atenolol: 12\% <br> -Chlorthalido <br> ne: $1 \%$ <br> Diabetics: <br> -Enalapril:59\% <br> -Amlodipine: 39.7\% <br> -Atenolol: 1.3\% <br> -Monotherapy: 71.8\% <br> -Dual-therapy: 24.8\% <br> -Triple-therapy: 3.4\% | Concordance to JNC8: 87.5\% |
| Pai/2011/P3 ${ }^{42}$ | Multiple drug therapy: 51\% <br> -2 drugs: 67.7\% <br> -3 drugs: 27.5\% <br> -3 drugs and more: 4.9\% <br> -ARB + diuretic (25.4\%) most <br> frequently prescribed two-drug <br> combination followed by a <br> combination of two diuretics (10.8\%) <br> and CCBs + BBs (9.8\%) <br> Monotherapy: <br> 49\% <br> Overall: <br> -Diuretics: 43.5\% <br> -ACEIs: 29.5\% <br> -ARBs: 21\% <br> -CCBs: 49\% <br> -BBs: 29\% <br> -Prazosin: 2\% <br> -Clonidine:2\% <br> DM patients: <br> Overall <br> -Diuretics: 43.1\% <br> -ACEIs: 40\% <br> -ARBs: 17.9\% <br> -BBs:26.3\% <br> -CCBs: 29.5\% <br> 2 drug combination: $42.1 \%$ <br> Diuretics + diuretics/CCB/ARB/BB/ <br> ACEI: 29.4\% <br> Other combinations (CCB + ACEI, $\mathrm{CCB}+\mathrm{BB}, \mathrm{ARB}+\mathrm{CCB}, \mathrm{ACEI}+\mathrm{CCB}$, <br> ACEI + BB): 12.6\% <br> 3 drug combination: 6.3\% <br> 4 drug combination: 2.1\% | NA |
| Rachana/ 2014/R1 <br> 31 | -Monotherapy: 48.94\% <br> -Polytherapy: 16.01\% <br> -Fixed-dose combination: 35.04\% Overall: | NA |

Table 3 (continued)

| Author/ Year/ Code | Outcome measures/results <br> (Type of drugs used including \% <br> Adherence to guideline <br> Guideline used if any)** | Adherence to guidelines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BBs: $24.07 \%$ <br> CCBs: 38.59\% <br> Diuretics: 13.2\% <br> ARBs: 17.35\% <br> ACEIs: 6.21\% <br> Alpha-blockers:0.51\% |  |
|  | Monotherapy <br> BBs: 25.3\% <br> CCBs: 41.97\% <br> Diuretics: 5.55\% <br> ARBs: 19.13\% <br> ACEIs: 6.79\% <br> Alpha-blockers:1.23\% |  |
|  | Fixed dose combination <br> Thiazide+ARBs:45.68\% <br> BBs + CCB:23.27\% <br> Thiazide+CCB:10.34\% <br> Thiazide+ACEIs:9.48\% |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ramli/2010/ } \\ & \text { R2 } \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | Polytherapy $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{BBs}+\mathrm{CCBs}: 56.66 \% \\ & \mathrm{BBs}+\mathrm{ARBs}: 9.43 \% \end{aligned}$ <br> -Monotherapy: 45.7\% <br> -Dual therapy: 43.3\% <br> -3 or more agents: $11 \%$ <br> Monotherapy <br> -BBs: 31.1\% <br> -CCBs (sa): 29\% <br> -ACEIs: 13.7\% <br> -CCBs(la): 12.6\% <br> -Diuretics: 12\% <br> -Alpha-blocker: 1.6\% | NA |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sajith/2014/ } \\ & \text { S1 } \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | Combination therapy: $67.31 \%$ <br> CAD + CCB: 28.8\% <br> CCB + BB:11.5\% <br> CAD + CCB+ BB:15.4\% <br> Monotherapy: 32.69\% <br> -Centrally-acting antiadrenergic drugs: <br> 17.3\% <br> -CCBs: 15.4\% |  |
| $\underset{33}{\text { Shastry/ 2014/S2 }}$ | Overall on Diuretics: 13.4\% <br> -HCTZ: 45 patients <br> -Furosemide: 28 patients <br> -Spironolacto <br> ne: 23 patients <br> Diuretics monotherapy: 4.4\% <br> Two drug combo: 35.6\% <br> Diuretic+ACEIs: <br> 17.7\% <br> Diuretic+ARBs: <br> 13.33\% <br> Three drug combo: 46.7\% <br> Diuretic+ARBs+BBs:13.33\% <br> Diuretic+ARBs+CCBs: $11.11 \%$ <br> Four drug combo: 13.3\% | NA |
| $\underset{34}{\mathrm{Si} / 2018 / \mathrm{S} 3}$ | ```2006 ACEIs/ARBs: \(\approx 55 \%\) CCBs: \(\approx 30 \%\) BBs: \(\approx 28 \%\) ACEIs/ARBs (FDC): \(\approx 25 \%\) Other diuretics: \(\approx 17 \%\) Low ceiling diuretics: \(\approx 10 \%\) Other antihypertensives: \(\approx 6 \%\) 2007 ACEIs/ARBs: \(\approx 57 \%\) CCBs: \(\approx 30 \%\) BBs: \(\approx 29 \%\) ACEIs/ARBs (FDC): \(\approx 26 \%\) Other diuretics: \(\approx 16 \%\) Low ceiling diuretics: \(\approx 10 \%\)``` | NA |

Table 3 (continued)


Table 3 (continued)

| Author/ Year/ Code | Outcome measures/results <br> (Type of drugs used including \% <br> Adherence to guideline <br> Guideline used if any)** | Adherence to guidelines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{35}{\text { Tamirci/ 2019/T1 }}$ | 2016 |  |
|  | ACEIs/ARBs: $\sim 54 \%$ |  |
|  | CCBs: $\approx 23 \%$ |  |
|  | BBs: $\approx 31 \%$ |  |
|  | ACEIs/ARBs (FDC): $\approx 33 \%$ |  |
|  | Other diuretics: $\approx 17 \%$ |  |
|  | Low ceiling diuretics: $\approx 3 \%$ |  |
|  | Other antihypertensives: $\approx 5 \%$ |  |
|  | -BBs: $22.1 \%$ | NA |
|  | -ARBs: 22.1\% |  |
|  | -CCBs: 20.4\% |  |
|  | -ACEIs: 17.7\% |  |
|  | -Diuretics: 13.8\% |  |
|  | -Anti-adrenergic drugs:3.9\% |  |
|  | Monotherapy: 73.0\% |  |
|  | Dual combinations:23\% |  |
|  | -ARBs+diuretics (52.9\%) |  |
|  | -Loop diuretics + potassium spairing diuretics (11.8\%) |  |
|  | -CCB + ARBs (8.8\%) |  |
|  | -BBs + ARBs (5.9\%) |  |
|  | - CCBs + antiadrenergics (5.9\%) |  |
|  | Triple combination: 4\% |  |
| $\underset{36}{\text { Tandon/ 2014/T2 }}$ | Monotherapy | Adherence to |
|  | -ARBs: 24.8\% | guidelines |
|  | -CCBs: 19.4\% | - Stage 1: 100\% |
|  | -ACEIs: 11\% | - Stage 2: 43.32\% |
|  | -BBs: $2.8 \%$ |  |
|  | -Diuretics: $2 \%$ | Guideline name: |
|  | -Dual-therapy: 31.6\% | JNC7 |
|  | -Triple-therapy: $2.2 \%$ |  |
|  | -Four drugs: 1\% |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yazdanshenas/ } \\ & 2014 / \mathrm{Y} 1 \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | Monotherapy: | NA |
|  | 29\% |  |
|  | -ACEIs/ARBs: $43 \%$ |  |
|  | -CCBs: 27\% |  |
|  | -BBs: 12\% |  |
|  | -Diuretics: 18\% |  |
|  | Dual therapy: 35\% |  |
|  | -ACEI/ARB + CCB: $27 \%$ |  |
|  | -ACEI/ARB + diuretics: $22 \%$ |  |
|  | -ACEI/ARB + BB: 17\% |  |
|  | -CCB + diuretics (14\%); |  |
|  | Triple therapy: $22 \%$ |  |
|  | -Diuretics + ACEI/ARB + BB: $39 \%$ |  |
|  | -ACEI/ARB + CCB + diuretics: $17 \%$ |  |
|  | -BB + CCB + diuretics: 16\% |  |
|  | -ACEI/ARB+ BB + CCB: $28 \%$ |  |
|  | Four drugs combo: 9\% |  |
|  | DM OR CKD: |  |
|  | Monotherapy: |  |
|  | 26\% (Most common ACEIs/ARBs or CCBs) |  |
|  | Dual therapy: |  |
|  | 38\% (Most common ACEI/ARB + diuretics or ACEI/ARB + CCB) |  |
|  | Three agents: $23 \%$ (Most common combinations were ACEI/ARB + BB + CCB or ACEI/ARB + BB + Diuretics) |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Zhou/2015/ } \\ & \text { Z1 } \\ & 38 \end{aligned}$ | -Amlodipine and Lisinopril: most | NA |
|  | commonly prescribed over the |  |
|  | examined period |  |
|  | Most commonly prescribed in 2012: |  |
|  | -Lisinopril |  |
|  | -Amlodipine |  |
|  | -Metoprolol |  |
|  | -HCTZ |  |
|  | -HCTZ/ |  |
|  | Lisinopril |  |
|  | -Losartan <br> -Atenolol |  |

Table 3 (continued)

| Author/ Year/ <br> Code | Outcome measures/results <br> (Type of drugs used including \% <br> Adherence to guideline <br> Guideline used if any)** | Adherence to <br> guidelines |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | -Valsartan |  |
|  | -HCTZ/ Triamterene |  |
|  | -HCTZ/ |  |
|  | Valsartan |  |

study in Malaysia. ${ }^{47}$ The most common dual combination therapy was (ARBs+CCBs) in two studies ${ }^{23,26}$ and (ARBs+diuretics) in one study. ${ }^{42}$

Overall prescribing of antihypertensives was presented in five studies. ${ }^{25,30,42,50,53}$ CCBs were the most commonly prescribed agents in three studies, ${ }^{25,30,53}$ while ACEIs and diuretics were the most common agents in two studies respectively. ${ }^{42,50}$

### 3.2.3. Prescribing patterns of antihypertensives among adult hypertensive patients with diabetes

Fourteen studies assessed the prescribing patterns of antihypertensive medications among hypertensive patients with diabetes. ${ }^{23,25,30,33,37,39,41,42,47,48,50,52,53}$ Eight studies reported ACEIs/ ARBs as the most commonly used antihypertensives as monotherapy. ${ }^{23,25,26,30,37,39,47,48}$ The percentage of diabetic patients on
monotherapy varied from $25.0 \%{ }^{47}$ to $71.8 \%{ }^{30}$ Seven studies reported the most commonly used antihypertensives as dual therapy ${ }^{23,25,26,33,37,39,48}$ with (ACEIs/ARBs+CCBs) being the most common combination in five studies. ${ }^{23,25,26,37,48}$ Five studies reported the percentage of diabetic patients on dual therapy ${ }^{30,33,37,39,42}$ with percentages ranging from $24.8 \%{ }^{30}$ to $65.0 \%{ }^{39}$ Six studies presented the proportion of diabetic patients on triple antihypertensive therapy. ${ }^{26,30,33,37,39,42}$ One study reported the prescribing patterns of antihypertensive agents among patients with different comorbidities in Taiwan including those with diabetes. ${ }^{53}$ CCBs, ARBs, and BBs were prescribed to $58.4 \%, 42.7 \%$, and $32.5 \%$ of diabetic patients, ${ }^{53}$ respectively. Another study indicated that $72 \%$ of diabetic patients in Saudi Arabia receive ACEIs. ${ }^{50}$

### 3.2.4. Prescribing patterns of antihypertensives among patients with

 ischemic heart disease or other heart diseasesFive studies investigated the prescribing of antihypertensives in patients with IHD or other heart diseases. ${ }^{23,26,47,52,53}$ According to a study conducted in Taiwan, CCBs, ARBs, and BBs were prescribed to $57.8 \%$, $44.5 \%$, and $43.6 \%$ of patients with hypertension and CVDs, respectively. ${ }^{53}$ In another study among hypertensive patients with CVDs in Japan, $65.3 \%, 57.5 \%$, and $39.9 \%$ were on CCBs, ARBs, and BBs, respectively. ${ }^{26}$ Another study in 2012 in Malaysia indicated that about $83.0 \%$ of patients with hypertension and IHD were prescribed a


Fig. 1. PRISMA flow diagram.
combination of ACEIs and BBs. ${ }^{47}$ A study in 2016 in India found that CCBs were the most commonly used agents as monotherapy and CCBs combined with diuretics were the most common dual therapy in patients with hypertension and CAD. ${ }^{23}$

### 3.2.5. Prescribing patterns of antihypertensives among patients with chronic

 kidney disease or other renal diseasesThree studies investigated the prescribing of antihypertensives among patients with CKD or renal disease. ${ }^{26,50,53}$ The most common antihypertensive agents used as monotherapy in one study were CCBs and ARBs. ${ }^{26}$ In addition, the most common two-drug combination was CCBs plus ARBs. ${ }^{26}$ Similarly, in another study in Taiwan $52.4 \%, 39.5 \%$, and $36.2 \%$ of patients with hypertension and kidney disease were receiving CCBs, ARBs, and BBs, respectively. ${ }^{53}$ Another study in Saudi Arabia reported that $40.0 \%$ of hypertensive patients with kidney disease were on CCBs, while $23.0 \%$ were on ACEIs. ${ }^{50}$
3.2.6. Prescribing patterns of antihypertensives among elderly patients with hypertension

Three studies investigated the prescribing of antihypertensives among elderly patients with hypertension. ${ }^{16,34,41}$ In two of the three studies, ACEIs/ARBs were the most frequently prescribed agents. ${ }^{16,34}$ The other remaining study reported that CCBs, specifically amlodipine are as the most prescribed antihypertensive agents. ${ }^{41}$ Another study presented the prescribing data for antihypertensives among postmenopausal women with hypertension. In this sub-population, ARBs were the most frequently prescribed antihypertensives. ${ }^{36}$

### 3.2.7. Prescribing patterns among African American patients

Two studies presented the prescribing patterns of antihypertensives among African American patients with hypertension. ${ }^{37,46}$ In the first study, ${ }^{46}$ thiazide diuretics were identified as the most commonly prescribed antihypertensives as monotherapy, while in the other study, ACEIs or ARBs were reported as the most prescribed antihypertensives

Table 4
Quality of studies used assessed using Crowe Critical Appraisal Tool (CCAT) in scores and percentages.

|  | Preliminaries [/5] | Introduction [/5] | Design [/5] | Sampling [/5] | Data collection [/5] | Ethical matters [/5] | Results <br> [/5] | Discussion [/5] | Total [/40] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abdulameer, et al./ $2012{ }^{47}$ | 4 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 31 (77.5\%) |
| Abougalambou, et al./ $2011{ }^{48}$ | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 21 (52.5\%) |
| Adamu, et al./ 2017 ${ }^{14}$ | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 25 (62.5\%) |
| Adejumo, et al./ $2017{ }^{15}$ | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 22 (55\%) |
| AlKhaja, et al./ $2019{ }^{16}$ | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 29 (72.5\%) |
| Alba-Leonel, et al./ $2016^{17}$ | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 18 (45\%) |
| Alkaabi, et al./ 2019 ${ }^{18}$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 28 (70\%) |
| Adnan, et al./ $2010^{52}$ | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 22 (55\%) |
| Ahmed, et al./ 2020 ${ }^{19}$ | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 13 (32.5\%) |
| Beg, et al./ $2014{ }^{20}$ | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 15 (37.5\%) |
| Bulatova, et al./ $2013{ }^{51}$ | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 19 (47.5\%) |
| Chang, et al./ $2016{ }^{21}$ | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 23 (57.5\%) |
| Dhanaraj, et al./ $2012^{39}$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 22 (55\%) |
| Elmawardy, et al./ $2016^{22}$ | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 22 (55\%) |
| Rajasekhar Giri, et al./ $2016^{23}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 13 (32.5\%) |
| Grigoryan, et al./ $2013{ }^{43}$ | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 19 (47.5\%) |
| Gu, et al./ 2012 ${ }^{44}$ | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 38 (95\%) |
| Hanselin, et al./ 2011 ${ }^{45}$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 32 (80\%) |
| Harman, et al./ $2013^{46}$ | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 17 (42.5\%) |
| Hussain, et al./ $2015{ }^{24}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 20 (50\%) |
| Ibaraki, et al./ $2017{ }^{25}$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 16 (40\%) |
| Ishida, et al./ $2019{ }^{26}$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 27 (67.5\%) |
| Khurshid, et al./ $2012{ }^{40}$ | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 20 (50\%) |
| Lin, et al./ $2013{ }^{53}$ | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 28 (70\%) |
| Maghrabi, et al./ $2013{ }^{50}$ | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 17 (42.5\%) |
| Mbui, et al./ $2017{ }^{27}$ | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 27 (67.5\%) |
| Mohd, et al./ $2012^{41}$ | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 18 (45\%) |
| Murti, et al./ $2015^{28}$ | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 13 (32.5\%) |
| Pandey, et al./ 2014 ${ }^{29}$ | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 16 (40\%) |
| Paradkar, et al./ 2017 ${ }^{30}$ | 4 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 26 (65\%) |
| Pai, et al./ $2011^{42}$ | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 19 (47.5\%) |
| Rachana, et al./ $2014{ }^{31}$ | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 22 (55\%) |
| Ramli, et al./ $2010{ }^{49}$ | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 16 (40\%) |
| Sajith, et al./ $2014{ }^{32}$ | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 14 (35\%) |
| Shastry, et al./ $2014^{33}$ | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 16 (40\%) |
| Si, et al./ $2018{ }^{34}$ | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 23 (57.5\%) |
| Tamirci, et al./ $2019{ }^{35}$ | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 15 (37.5\%) |
| Tandon, et al./ $2014{ }^{36}$ | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 21 (52.5\%) |
| Yazdanshenas, et al./ $2014^{37}$ | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 19 (47.5\%) |
| Zhou, et al./ 2015 ${ }^{38}$ | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 22 (55\%) |
| Average scores | 3.05 | 2.925 | 2.325 | 1.625 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 3 | 2.775 | $\begin{gathered} 21.1 \\ (52.75 \%) \end{gathered}$ |

[^1]as monotherapy. ${ }^{37}$

### 3.2.8. Prescribing patterns of antihypertensives among pregnant patients with hypertension

Two studies outlined the prescribing of antihypertensives among pregnant patients with hypertension. ${ }^{32,50}$ Amlodipine was the most commonly prescribed agent in pregnant patients with uncomplicated hypertension in one study in Saudi Arabia, ${ }^{50}$ while centrally acting agents were the most frequently prescribed agents in another study in India. ${ }^{32}$

### 3.3. Adherence of antihypertensives prescribing to clinical practice guidelines

Of the 40 studies included in the review, only four studies directly assessed the prescribing patterns of antihypertensives in adherence to clinical practice guidelines. ${ }^{28,36,44,47}$ The guidelines included JNC 7 ( $n$ $=3)^{28,36,44}$ and Malaysian guidelines $(n=1) .{ }^{47}$ Two studies confirmed adherence of antihypertensives prescribing to guidelines. ${ }^{28,44}$ Abdulameer et al. study suggested $85.3 \%$ total adherence to guidelines ${ }^{47}$ while Tandon et al. found $100.0 \%$ adherence to guidelines in patients with stage 1 hypertension and $43.32 \%$ adherence in stage 2 hypertension. ${ }^{36}$

### 3.4. Quality assessment of included studies

CCAT scores were computed to assess the quality of each included study (Table 4). The 25th, 50th and the 75th percentiles were $40.6 \%$, $51.3 \%$ and $61.3 \%$, respectively. Ten articles (25.0\%) were considered of low quality, while 10 articles ( $25.0 \%$ ) were considered of high quality. The average scores for each domain of the CCAT tool were calculated for the 40 included articles. The lowest average score was 1.6 for sampling, followed by 2.1 for data collection. The highest average domain score was 3.3 for ethical matters.

## 4. Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this systematic review is the first to assess the prescribing patterns of antihypertensives and adherence of prescribing practices to international clinical practice guidelines for hypertension management. The review included 40 eligible studies that were published within the last 10 years and summarized the most commonly prescribed antihypertensives in different populations.

A systematic review of antihypertensive prescribing patterns in Lowand Middle-Income countries (LMICs) ${ }^{54}$ was conducted by Arshad et al. in 2021. The authors searched the literature from 2000 to 2018 and retrieved 26 studies. Besides mentioning only the most commonly prescribed drugs among diabetics, the review did not show the prescribing patterns among populations with different comorbidities. Additionally, Arshad et al. reported that the included studies and results were based on small hospital-based studies without any community-based literature and indicated not using real-world prescriptions of antihypertensives which in turn affected their results' interpretations and reliability. ${ }^{54}$

In comparison, the current review summarized various prescribing patterns among different populations including diabetics, cardiovascular disease and chronic kidney disease patients, African Americans, elderly, and pregnant patients in the most recent available literature from 2010 to 2020 in both community and hospital settings and in both low- and middle-income countries.

In this review, the most commonly prescribed antihypertensives as monotherapy in adult hypertensive patients with no comorbidities were ACEIs/ARBs, followed by CCBs, and BBs. The most commonly prescribed dual combination therapies were thiazide diuretics plus ACEIs/ ARBs, BBs plus CCBs, and CCBs plus ACEIs/ARBs. In terms of the prescribing of ACEIs/ARBs and CCBs, these results are reassuring as these agents are considered as first-line agents for treating hypertension in non-black patients with no compelling indications. ${ }^{6,8}$ However, one
important and worthwhile point is that in this review, diuretics were the most commonly prescribed agents as monotherapy in only three studies, despite the fact that they are also recommended as one of the preferred agents in treatment guidelines in non-black patients with hypertension. ${ }^{6,8}$ This recommendation is supported by randomized clinical trials that demonstrate the effectiveness of thiazide diuretics in reducing cardiovascular and cerebrovascular morbidities. ${ }^{55}$ Moreover, the review finding of the use of BBs as first line agents in many studies is alarming as BBs are not recommended as first-line agents by guidelines in patients with no comorbidities. ${ }^{6,8}$ In fact, compared with other antihypertensive drugs used in the treatment of hypertension, BBs offer inferior protection against stroke and all-cause mortality, especially among elderly patients. ${ }^{56}$

Among the diabetic population, the review found that the most commonly prescribed antihypertensives were ACEIs/ARBs. These results are in concordance with guidelines where ACEIs/ARBs maybe considered as first-line agents in patients with diabetes especially in the presence of albuminuria. ${ }^{6,8}$ Evidence confirms that ACEI/ARBs, as compared with other antihypertensive agents, can halt the progression of moderately increased albuminuria to severe albuminuria in patients with diabetes. ${ }^{57-59}$

In patients with IHD, this review has revealed that CCBs were the most prescribed agents, followed by ARBs and BBs. This finding is discouraging as guidelines recommend that patients with stable IHD should be treated with medications including (BBs, ACEIs, or ARBs) for compelling indications such as previous MI and stable angina. ${ }^{6}$ In addition to controlling angina symptoms, studies have supported the survival benefit of BBs in patients with acute MI. ${ }^{60-62}$ Furthermore, a meta-analysis of four randomized controlled trials has demonstrated that the 30-day mortality is significantly lower in MI patients treated with either ACEIs or ARBs as compared to those on placebo. ${ }^{63}$

Furthermore, among African American patients with hypertension, the review has shown that thiazide diuretics were identified as the most commonly prescribed antihypertensives as monotherapy in one study, ${ }^{46}$ while ACEIs or ARBs were the most prescribed monotherapy in another study. ${ }^{37}$ When monotherapy is used for black hypertensive patients, dihydropyridine CCBs and thiazide diuretics are recommended as initial treatment by treatment guidelines. ${ }^{6,8,64}$ In addition, evidence demonstrates that African American patients have less reduction in BP as compared to white patients when treated with ACEIs or ARBs as monotherapy. ${ }^{65,66}$ However, this study was conducted on under-served African American elderly hypertensive patients which could explain the clear deviation from hypertension management guidelines. ${ }^{37}$

In patients with CKD, the most common agents used as monotherapy were CCBs and ARBs. On the other hand, to slow kidney disease progression, guidelines recommend that adult patients with hypertension and CKD (stage 3 or higher or stage 1 or 2 with albuminuria) should be treated with an ACEI or ARB if ACEIs are not tolerated. ${ }^{6,8}$

While many studies described the prescribing patterns of antihypertensive agents, this systematic review concluded that there are significant gaps in the literature. First, only four studies quantitatively measured adherence to guidelines while the rest provided prescribing data without presenting numerical information on the extent of adherence to national or international guidelines. Second, twenty-two studies provided the general prescribing data for anti-hypertensive without presenting actual data for patients with specific comorbidities, ethnicities and sociodemographic characteristics. To provide an accurate and an in-depth assessment of prescribing practices of antihypertensives, there is need to conduct studies that would quantify the percentage of alignment of hypertension prescribing practices with guidelines as well as examine their suitability in patients with specific comorbidities. Moreover, prescribing data for antihypertensives was lacking for many countries. Further studies need to be implemented in the Middle East, Australia, North and South America and Europe to investigate the prescribing of antihypertensives in these areas and assess its appropriateness in line with the most updated national and international
hypertension management guidelines. These studies could help in identifying gaps in practices in these countries and could serve as quality assurance tools for their current hypertension management.

The overall quality of studies was considered acceptable with the majority having moderate to high quality scores. The lowest scores were concerning sampling and data collection. Most studies adapted cross sectional or retrospective designs with a low number of studies that used prospective methodology. In addition, several studies were limited by their small sample size and were confined to only one or two medical centers, which in turn affected the generalizability of their results. Moreover, many studies were restricted by their short duration. Consequently, it is recommended to conduct robust large and long-term studies with big representative samples in order to have a better holistic understanding of prescribing of antihypertensives.

Overall, this review has demonstrated that the prescribing of antihypertensives for hypertension management is not optimal and is not well aligned with hypertension guidelines in many countries. Therefore, more concerted efforts are needed to bridge the gap between practice and evidence and to improve the prescribing practices for hypertension management. These efforts can include, but not restricted to, offering continuous professional development for prescribers, designing and implementing treatment protocols or clinical pathways based on latest evidence and clinical practice guidelines, initiating quality improvement strategies and restructuring the curricula of new medical graduates in order to have competent physicians ready to optimally prescribe antihypertensives as per best evidence.

### 4.1. Limitations

Our systematic review had some limitations. Due to the heterogeneity of study results and outcomes we were not able to conduct a metaanalysis. Moreover, comparing the study results between different countries was very challenging due to the variability in study designs and presented data across studies. We might have missed some studies published in Arabic or other languages by restricting our search strategies to English only studies.

Notably, this systematic review did not assess the factors that affect physicians' prescribing of antihypertensives and their reasons for prescribing particular antihypertensives over others. In order to design strategic plans, policies or guidelines to improve blood pressure control and management, future studies or reviews are needed to systematically assess the prescribing process and decision making of physicians when treating hypertensive patients.

## 5. Conclusion

This systematic review provided an overview on the prescribing of antihypertensives worldwide and conformity to guidelines. This review concluded that there are areas for improvement of prescribing practices of antihypertensives in concordance with the latest evidence and with clinical practice guidelines. To advance antihypertensive prescribing, interventions must be designed and executed.
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## Appendix A. Appendix

## Databases search strategies: PubMed:

((Hypertensive[Title/Abstract] OR hypertension[Title/Abstract] OR Hypertens*[Title/Abstract] OR High blood pressure[Title/Abstract] OR blood pressure lowering[Title/Abstract] OR beta blocker[Title/Abstract] OR calcium channel blocker[Title/Abstract] OR CCB[Title/Abstract] OR angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor[Title/Abstract] OR ACEI[Title/Abstract] OR ACE inhibitor[Title/Abstract] OR angiotensin receptor blocker[Title/Abstract] OR ARB[Title/Abstract] OR Diuretic [Title/Abstract] OR thiazide[Title/Abstract] OR renin inhibitor[Title/ Abstract] OR Aliskiren[Title/Abstract] OR alpha blocker[Title/Abstract] AND ((2010/1/1:2021/3/12[pdat]) AND (english[Filter]))) AND (Prescrib*[Title/Abstract] OR use[Title/Abstract] OR utilize [Title/Abstract] OR utilization[Title/Abstract] OR prescription[Title/ Abstract] OR treat*[Title/Abstract] OR manag*[Title/Abstract] OR pharmacotherapy[Title/Abstract] OR therapy[Title/Abstract] AND ((2010/1/1:2021/3/12[pdat]) AND (english[Filter])))) AND (Type [Title/Abstract] OR Trend[Title/Abstract] OR Pattern[Title/Abstract] OR Habit[Title/Abstract] OR Appropriate*[Title/Abstract] OR Rational*[Title/Abstract] OR Control[Title/Abstract] OR Guideline [Title/Abstract] OR Recommend*[Title/Abstract] OR Adhere*[Title/ Abstract] OR Compliance[Title/Abstract] OR Comply[Title/Abstract] OR Proper[Title/Abstract] AND ((2010/1/1:2021/3/12[pdat]) AND (english[Filter]))) Filters: English, from 2010/1/1-2021/3/12.

## Embase:

(hypertensive:ti OR hypertension:ti OR hypertens":ti OR 'high blood pressure':ti OR 'blood pressure lowering':ti OR 'beta blocker':ti OR 'calcium channel blocker':ti OR ccb:ti OR 'angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor':ti OR acei:ti OR 'ace inhibitor':ti OR 'angiotensin receptor blocker':ti OR arb:ti OR diuretic:ti OR thiazide:ti OR 'renin inhibitor':ti OR aliskiren:ti OR 'alpha adrenergic receptor blocking agent': ti) AND (prescrib*:ti OR use:ti OR utilize:ti OR utilization:ti OR prescription:ti OR treat*:ti OR manag*:ti OR pharmacotherapy:ti OR therapy:ti) AND (type:ti OR trend:ti OR pattern:ti OR habit:ti OR appropriate*:ti OR rational*:ti OR control:ti OR guideline:ti OR recommend*:ti OR adhere*:ti OR compliance:ti OR comply:ti OR proper:ti) AND [english]/lim AND [2010-2021]/py.

## PROQUEST:

abstract(Hypertensive OR hypertension OR High blood pressure OR blood pressure lowering OR beta blocker OR calcium channel blocker OR CCB OR angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor OR ACEI OR ACE inhibitor OR angiotensin receptor blocker OR ARB OR Diuretic OR thiazide OR renin inhibitor OR Aliskiren OR alpha blocker) AND abstract(Prescribe OR use OR utilize OR utilization OR prescription OR treat OR manage OR pharmacotherapy OR therapy) AND abstract(Type OR Trend OR Pattern OR Habit OR Appropriate OR Rational OR Control OR Guideline OR Recommend OR Adhere OR Compliance OR Comply OR Proper)

Regarding the other databases the same search strategy was followed as written in Table 1.
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[^0]:    Abbreviations: ACC/AHA, American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association; ACEIs, Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors; ARBs, Angiotensin receptor blockers; BBs, Beta blockers; BP, Blood pressure; CADs, Centrally acting drugs; CCBs, Calcium channel blockers; CHD, Coronary Heart Disease; CKD, Chronic kidney disease; CVD, Cardiovascular disease; HF, Heart Failure; HCTZ, Hydrochlorothiazide; HTN, Hypertension; IHD, Ischemic Heart Disease; JNC, Joint National Committee; MI, Myocardial Infarction; NCDs, Non-communicable diseases; SBP, Systolic Blood Pressure; WHO, World Health Organization.
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[^1]:    - Below 25th percentile $\rightarrow<16.25 \rightarrow$ low quality.
    - Between 25th and 75th $\rightarrow 16.25-24.5 \rightarrow$ Moderate quality.
    - Above 75th percentile $\rightarrow>24.5 \rightarrow$ High quality.

