SOME PROPERTIES OF CLASS Z_w

By

R.P. EISSA

Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Egypt

Key words: Properties of class Zw.

ABSTRACT

In paper [5] it was established the majorant w. But examples of a function $f \in Z_{\mathbf{w}}$ were not constructed. In this paper, in the case of a smooth closed curve, an example of such a function is constructed.

Historical Approach and Main Results:

The Premeli Privalov theorem [8], [9], [10] is the classical result of the behaviour of a singular operator in the space of continuous functions.

By H_{∞} we denote the class of functions defined on a piecewise smooth closed curve Υ and satisfying Hölder condition with index ∞ .

After that the Premeli Privalov theorem was proved for k-curves [3], [6]. [A closed rectifiable Jordan curve is called k-curve if there exists a constant $k \ge 1$ such that for any $t_1, t_2 \in \Upsilon$, $s(t_1, t_2) \le k|t_1 - t_2|$].

On the other hand, at 1924, Zygmund A. [14] established the following relationship between continuity modules of the singular integrals with Hilbert Kernels and the continuity modulus of the density (in the case of a circle):

$$\begin{aligned} w_g(\delta) &\leqslant c\,(_o\!\int^\delta \frac{w_f(\mathbf{3})}{\mathbf{3}} \;d\,\mathbf{3} \; + \delta_{\,\delta}\!\int^\pi \frac{w_f(\mathbf{3})}{\mathbf{3}^2} \;d\,\mathbf{3}\,), \\ \text{where} \qquad g(\,t\,) &= \frac{1}{\pi}_{-\pi}\!\int^\pi f(\,\mathbf{3}) \;\mathrm{ctg} \; \frac{(\mathbf{3}\!-\!t\,)}{2} \;d\,\mathbf{3}\,, \\ \\ \mathrm{and} \qquad w_f(\,\delta\,) &= \sup_{\,|\,\mathbf{T}_1\,-\,\mathbf{T}_2\,| \,\leqslant \,\delta} \;|\,f(\,\mathbf{T}_1\,-\,f(\,\mathbf{T}_2\,)\,|. \end{aligned}$$

In particular from this inequality the Premeli Privalov theorem follows:

Later this estimation was proved [7] for the case of integrals with smooth curves Cauchy Kernel.

In [1] Zygmund type results were proved for the case of arbitrary closed rectifiable Jordan curves in terms of the characteristic metrics \propto (δ), β (δ) of the curve, where

$$\alpha (\delta) = \inf_{s(t, T) \ge \delta} |t - t|, \quad \delta \in (0, \ell/2)$$

where **l** is the length of the curve **Y**,

$$\beta(\delta) = \sup s(t, \tau), \delta \in (o, d = \max | \tau - t|]$$

$$|t - \tau| \leq \delta \qquad \tau, t \in \Upsilon$$

in the form

$$\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{f}}^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(\delta) \leqslant \mathbf{c} \left(\int_{0}^{\beta(\delta)} \frac{\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{f}} \left[\boldsymbol{\alpha} \left(\mathbf{3} \right) \right]}{\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\mathbf{3})} \right) d\mathbf{3} + \delta \int_{\beta(\delta)}^{\boldsymbol{\ell}} \frac{\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{f}} \left[\boldsymbol{\alpha} \left(\mathbf{3} \right) \right]}{\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{2}(\mathbf{3})} d\mathbf{3},$$

where

$$\widetilde{f}(t) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\mathfrak{F}) - f(t)}{\mathfrak{F} - t} d\mathfrak{F} + f(t), t \in \gamma.$$

From this inequality, in particular, the Premeli Privalov theorem for the case of a k-curve follows. In [13] new characteristics of curves were introduced:

$$\Theta (\delta) = \sup_{t} \Theta_{t}(\delta)$$

$$t \in \Upsilon$$

where
$$\Theta_{t}(\delta) = \text{mes } \{ \ \mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{r}, |t - \mathbf{r}| \leq \delta \}, \ \delta \in (0,d).$$

For any arbitrary closed rectifiable Jordan curve the following inequality was proved in [13]:

$$w_{f}(\delta) \leq c[_{o}\int^{\delta} \frac{w_{f}(3)}{3}, d\Theta(3) + \delta_{\delta}\int^{\ell} \frac{w_{f}(3)}{3^{2}} d\Theta(3)),$$

$$\equiv cz(\delta, w)$$

Hence, the Premeli Privalov theorem follows for the curves satisfying Θ (δ) $\sim \delta$. (i.e. there exists c_1 , $c_2 > o$ such that for any $\delta \in (o,d]$, $c_1 \delta \leq \Theta$ (δ) $\leq c_2 \delta$. Notice that for all curves Θ (δ) $\geq \delta$. So we can take $c_1 = 1$). The class of these curves is larger than the class of piecewise smooth curves and the class of k-curves.

In [11] the following inequality of the Zygmund type, was obtained

$$\begin{split} w_{\mathbf{f}}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}(\delta) \leqslant c \, \int_{0}^{\delta} \, \frac{\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{3})}{\mathbf{3}^{2}} \, w_{\mathbf{f}}(\,\, \frac{\mathbf{3}^{2}}{\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{3})} \,\,) \, \, d\mathbf{3} + \delta \,\, \int_{\delta}^{2d} \, \frac{\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{3})}{\mathbf{3}^{2}} \\ w_{\mathbf{f}}(\,\, \frac{\mathbf{3}^{2}}{\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{3})} \,\,) \, \, d\mathbf{3}). \end{split}$$

Zygmund (or Zygmund type) estimations allow us to study the behaviour of singular integrals in generalized Hölder spaces:

$$H_{w} = \{f \in C_{Y} \mid w_{f}(\delta) = \Theta[w(\delta)]\},$$

where $w(\delta)$ is a continuity modulus such that $w(\delta) > 0$,

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} w(\delta) = o, w(\delta) \uparrow, w(\delta_1 + \delta_2) \leq w(\delta_1) + w(\delta_2).$$

Define a norm in H_w as follows:

$$\| \mathbf{f} \|_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{w}}} = \| \mathbf{f} \|_{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{j}}} + \sup \frac{\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{f}}(\delta)}{\mathbf{w}(\delta)}$$

It is clear that H_w is a B-space

THEOREM 1. [13]: Let Υ be a curve with $\Theta(\delta) \sim \delta$ and let

$$\int_0^d \frac{w(3)}{3} d3 < \infty.$$

Then the operator $Af = \mathbf{f} \text{ maps } H_w \text{ into } H_{w_1}$, it is bounded, and

$$Z(\delta,w) = O(w_1(\delta)), \text{ where } w_1(\delta) = \int_0^\delta \frac{w(3)}{3} d3.$$

On the other hand in [2] for the case of a circle (and in [13] for the case of curves such that \ominus (δ)~ δ and at any point of which the tangent is continuous) and in [12] an inequality was obtained which is the inverse of Zygmund's inequality in some sense. These results gave necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a singular operator from H_w to H_{w_1} . Hence we have shown the following:

THEOREM 2. ([2], [13], [12])

Let \mathcal{T} be a smooth closed curve. Then the operator $Af = \mathbf{f}$ maps H_w into H_{w_1} iff

$$\int_0^d \frac{w(3)}{3} d3 < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad Z(w) = O(w(\delta)).$$

In [4,5] the invariance of the class $Z_{\mathbf{W}}$ with respect to the characteristics of Ω was discussed where

$$\Omega_{f}(\delta) = \sup_{\substack{t \in \Upsilon \\ \mathbf{\xi} \leq \delta}} \left| \Upsilon_{\mathbf{\xi}}(t) \int \frac{f(\mathbf{x}) - f(t)}{\mathbf{x} - t} d\mathbf{x} \right|, \delta \in (o,d).$$

and
$$Z_{w} = \{ f \in C_{Y} | w_{f}(\delta) = \Theta(w(\delta)), \Omega_{f}(\delta) = \Theta(w(\delta)) \}$$

We notice that Z_w is a B-space with respect to the norm

$$\| f \| z_w = \| f \| H_w + \sup_{\Upsilon} \frac{\Omega_f(\delta)}{w(\delta)}$$

It is easy to see that $Z_w \subset H_w$ and for any

$$f \in Z_w \;.\, \| \; f \, \|_{H_w} \; \leqslant \| \; f \, \|_{Z_w}$$

i.e. the imbedding of $Z_{\mathbf{W}}$ into $H_{\mathbf{W}}$ is continuous. If

$$\int_0^d \frac{w(3)}{3} d3 < \infty$$

then $Z_W = H_W$ their norms are equivalent.

THEOREM 3. ([4], [5])

Let Y be a smooth closed curve, such that

$$\delta \int_{\delta}^{d} \frac{w(3)}{3^{2}} d3 = \Theta(w(\delta)), \delta \in (o,d].$$

Then the operator Af = f is a mapping from Z_w to Z_w and is bounded.

It can be easily seen that the condition of theorem 2 is not weaker than the condition of theorem 3.

In [5] a majorant w was established for which

$$\int_0^\delta \frac{w(3)}{3} d3 = \infty \qquad \delta \int_0^d \frac{w(3)}{3^2} d3 = O(w(\delta)),$$

however, an example of a function $f \in Z_w$ was not constructed.

In this paper, in the case of a smooth closed curve, an example of such a function is constructed.

Let γ be a closed smooth curve and t = t(s), $0 \le s \le l$,

where ℓ is the length of the curve γ , and the equation of the curve in the arc coordinate has the form t(s) = x(s) + iy(s).

Put $t(o) = t_0$, and t(-s) = t(l-s). Without losing generality we can take $l \ge 2$.

Some properties of class Zw

Consider the function:

$$w(\delta) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\ln 2} & (1-\delta) & , \delta \in [1 \quad ; \quad \ell/2] \\ & , \delta \in [\frac{1}{\ell_e} \quad ; \quad \ell/2] \\ \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{\ell_\delta}} & , \delta \in [0 \quad ; \quad \ell/e] \end{cases}$$

First we prove that the function $w(\delta)$ satisfies the following properties:

- 1) $w(\delta) > o$;
- 2) $w(\delta)$ is a non-decreasing function of δ .
- 3) Lim $w(\delta) = o$; $\delta \rightarrow o$
- 4) $\frac{w(\delta)}{\delta}$ \downarrow .

The proof of preperties 1, 2, 3 is easy.

Now we prove the 4th property. Since $w(\delta)$ is constant on $[\frac{1}{e}, \frac{\ell}{2}]$, then it is enough to prove that $w(\delta) \downarrow$ on $[0, \frac{1}{e}]$, by calculating the following derivative.

Now we shall prove that the function w (δ) satisfies the conditions of theorem 3.

Consider the expression

$$A(\delta) = \frac{\delta \int_{\delta}^{\ell/2} \frac{w(3)}{3^2} d3}{w(\delta)}$$

By using L Hospital's rule, we have.

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} A(\delta) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\delta \int_{\delta}^{\ell/2} \frac{w(3)}{3^2} d3}{\underline{w(\delta)}} = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{-\frac{w(\delta)}{\delta^2}}{\frac{1-\ln(1/\delta)}{\delta^2 \ln^2(1/\delta)}}$$

$$= \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{\ln(1/\delta)}{\ln(1/\delta) - 1} = 1$$

Therefore

$$\delta \int_{\delta}^{\ell/2} \frac{w(3)}{3^2} d3 = O(w(\delta)).$$

Therefore by theorem 3, the class $\boldsymbol{Z}_{\boldsymbol{W}}$ is invariant under the considered singular operator.

Fix the above curve Y and consider the following function:

above curve
$$\Upsilon$$
 and consider the following function:

$$\begin{array}{c}
0 & , s \in [1; \frac{t}{2}], \\
\frac{2}{\ln 2} & (1-s) & , s \in [\frac{1}{e}; \frac{t}{2}], \\
\frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{|s|}} & , s \in [-\frac{1}{e}; \frac{1}{e}], \\
\frac{2}{\ln 2} & (1+s) & , s \in [-1; -\frac{1}{e}], \\
0 & , s \in [-1; -\frac{t}{2}],
\end{array}$$

Now we prove that

$$\sup_{\mathbf{W}_1(\delta) = |\mathbf{S}_1 - \mathbf{S}_2| \leq \delta} |f(\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{S}_1)) - f(\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{S}_2))| \sim \mathbf{W}(\delta)$$

Actually, it is sufficient to show the last relation for any small δ . On the other hand outside the segment $\left[-\frac{1}{e},\frac{1}{e}\right]$ we consider a function which satisfies Lipschitz condition on the segment $\left[-\frac{1}{e},\frac{1}{e}\right]$ and for

$$0 < s_2 - s_1 < s_1 < s_2 \le \frac{1}{e}$$
 we have:

$$f(t(s_2)) - f(t(s_1)) = \frac{s_2 - s_1}{s_1 - \frac{1}{s_1}}$$

where
$$\mathbf{3} \in [s_1, s_2]$$
, i.e. $\frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{3}}$ \uparrow . Then $\frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{3}} \leqslant 1$.

On the other hand, $\frac{1}{3 \ln \frac{1}{\xi}}$ and therefore

$$\frac{1}{J \ln \frac{1}{J}} \le \frac{1}{(s_2 - s_1) \ln (\frac{1}{(s_2 - s_1)})}$$

Hence.

$$0 \le f(t(s_2)) - f(t(s_1)) \le \frac{s_2 - s_1}{3 \ln^2 \frac{1}{3}} \le \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{s_2 - s_1}}$$

For $s_1 \leq s_2 - s_1$ we have:

$$0 \le f(t(s_2)) - f(t(s_1)) \le f(t(s_2)) = \frac{1}{Ln \frac{1}{s_2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\operatorname{Ln} \frac{1}{-1}} \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Ln} \frac{1}{2(s_2 - s_1)}} \leq \frac{c}{\operatorname{Ln} \frac{1}{(s_2 - s_1)}}$$

Therefore, for $s_1,\,s_2\in$ [o , $\frac{1}{e}$] and o < s_2 – s_1 < δ , we have:

$$|f(t(s_2)) - f(t(s_1))| < \frac{c}{Ln \frac{1}{\delta}}$$

For s_1 , $s_2 \in \left[-\frac{1}{e}, \frac{1}{e} \right]$. In the same way, we obtain

$$w_f(\delta) \leqslant \frac{c}{\ln \frac{1}{\delta}}$$

On the other hand,

$$w_{f}(\delta) = \sup |f(t(s_{2})) - f(t(s_{1}))| \ge f(t(\delta)) - f(t(o)) = \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{\delta}}$$

From this we obtain that

$$W_f(\delta) \sim W(\delta)$$
.

Now we show that $f \in Z_w$.

Let t(s) be any fixed point on the curve Υ . Consider the integral:

$$\underbrace{\frac{\int}{t(s-E)\;t\;(s+E)}\;\;\frac{f(\tau)-\;f(t\;)}{\tau-\;t}\;\textrm{d}\tau\;=\;\int\limits_{t(s-E)\;t\;(s+E)}\frac{f(\tau(\mathfrak{F}))-f(t\,(s\;))}{\tau(\mathfrak{F})-\;t(s\;)}\textrm{d}\;\tau(\mathfrak{F}\;).}$$

Let δ_o be any positive number sufficiently small and $o < s < \delta_o < \frac{1}{2e}$.

Consider the following cases:

1) If
$$\varepsilon < \frac{s}{2}$$
 then
$$f(\tau(s)) - f(t(s)) = \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{s}} - \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{s}} = -\frac{1}{\tau \ln^2 \frac{1}{s}}$$
 (3-s)

$$s + \mathcal{E} \le \mathcal{T} \le s - \mathcal{E}$$
 i.e. $\frac{s}{2} < \mathcal{T} < \frac{3}{2} s$.

Therefore

$$|f(\mathcal{X}(3)) - f(t(s))| \le c \frac{|3-s|}{s L n \frac{1}{s}}$$

For the integral we have.

$$\left| t(s-\varepsilon) t(s+\varepsilon) \frac{f(\tau(s)) - f(t(s))}{\tau(s) - t(s)} d\tau(s) \right| \leq \frac{c}{s \ln \frac{1}{s}}$$

$$\frac{\int |d \Upsilon(s)|}{t(s-\varepsilon) t(s+\varepsilon)} = \frac{c}{\sin \frac{1}{s}} \leqslant \frac{c}{\varepsilon \ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} = \frac{c}{\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} = c w(\varepsilon).$$

2) If
$$\frac{s}{2} \le \varepsilon \le s$$
 then

$$t(s-\varepsilon) t(s+\varepsilon) \frac{f(\tau)-f(t)}{t-t} d\tau = \left[t(\frac{s}{2}) t(\frac{3s}{2}) + t(s-\varepsilon) t(\frac{s}{2}) \right]$$

$$+ \int_{t(\frac{3s}{2})t(s+\varepsilon)} \int_{t}^{t(r)-f(t)} dr = A_1 + A_2 + A_3$$

 A_1 is estimated as in case 1 with $\varepsilon = \frac{s}{2}$. Therefore we get

$$\left| A_{1} \right| \leq c \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} = c w (\varepsilon).$$

Since on smooth curves, $|\tau - t| \le s(t, \tau) \le k |t - \tau|$

where $k \ge 1$ is a constant, we have

For the 3^{rd} integral A_3 we have:

$$|A_3| = \left| t \left(\frac{3}{2} \right) \frac{\int_{t(\epsilon+s)}^{t} \frac{f(\tau) - f(t)}{t - t}}{t - t} d\tau \right| \leq$$

$$t \left(\frac{3}{2} s \right) \frac{\int_{t(s+\epsilon)}^{t} \frac{|f(\tau) - f(t)|}{s(t, T)}}{s(t, T)} |d\tau| \leq \frac{c}{\ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}} = cw(\epsilon).$$

Therefore
$$\left| \int_{\mathsf{t}(s-\mathbf{E})\,\mathsf{t}(s+\mathbf{E})} \frac{\mathsf{f}(\tau)-\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{t})}{\tau-\mathsf{t}} \, d\tau \right| \leq \mathsf{cw}(\mathbf{E}).$$

3) If $\delta_0 > \mathbf{\xi} > s$ then

$$\underbrace{\int\limits_{t\,(s\,-\,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\,)\,t\,(s\,+\,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\,)}\frac{f(\tau)-f(t)}{t-t}}_{t\,(o\,)\,t\,(2s)}\,d\tau = [\underbrace{\int\limits_{t\,(o\,)\,t\,(2s)}}_{t\,(o\,)\,t\,(2s)}$$

$$t(s-\varepsilon)t(0) + \int_{t(2s)} \int_{t(s+\varepsilon)} \frac{f(\tau)-f(t)}{t-t} d\tau$$

$$= B_1 + B_2 + B_3.$$

 B_1 is estimated as in case (2) with $\varepsilon = s$. Therefore, we get

$$|B_1| < c - \frac{1}{Ln - \frac{1}{s}} < c - \frac{1}{Ln - \frac{1}{s}} = cw(\epsilon).$$

Consider now $B_2 + B_3 =$

$$\frac{\int f(\tau) \mathbf{x}(s)}{t(s-\varepsilon)t(o)} \frac{f(\tau)\mathbf{x}(s)}{\tau(\mathbf{x})-t(s)} d\tau(\mathbf{x}) +$$

$$\underbrace{\int\limits_{t\,(2s)}\underbrace{\int\limits_{t\,(s+E)}}_{t\,(s+E)}\cdot\frac{f(\tau(\mathfrak{F}))}{\tau(\mathfrak{F})-t\,(s)}}_{f\,(\mathfrak{F})-t\,(s)}\,\,\mathrm{d}\tau(\mathfrak{F})-$$

$$f(t)\int_{t(s-\varepsilon)} \frac{d\tau}{\tau(s)-t(s)} + \int_{t(2s)t(s+\varepsilon)} \frac{d\tau}{\tau(s)-t(s)}$$

$$= B'_{1}-B'_{2}$$

where
$$B_2' = f(t)\Upsilon$$
, and

$$\mathcal{I} = \operatorname{Ln} \frac{(t(o) - t(s))}{t(s - \mathcal{E}) - t(s)} + \operatorname{Ln} \frac{t(s + \mathcal{E}) - t(s)}{t(2s) - t(s)}$$

$$= \operatorname{Ln} \frac{|t(o) - t(s)|}{wt(s - \mathcal{E}) - t(s)|} + i \operatorname{arg} \frac{t(o) - t(s)}{(t(s - \mathcal{E}) - t(s))} + i \operatorname{Ln} \frac{|t(s + \mathcal{E}) - t(s)|}{t(2s) - t(s)|} + i \operatorname{arg} \frac{t(s + \mathcal{E}) - t(s)}{t(2s) - t(s)} = i \operatorname{Ln} \frac{|t(o) - t(s)|}{|t(s - \mathcal{E}) - t(s)|} + i(\alpha + \beta)$$

Since the curves is smooth, then $\frac{|t(o)-t(s)|}{|t(2s)-t(s)|} \rightarrow 1$

as
$$s \to o$$
 and $\frac{|t(s+\varepsilon)-t(s)|}{|(s-\varepsilon)-t(s)|} \to 1 \text{ as } s \to o$.

Therefore, the logarithmic part in the last equation is bounded when s is small.

In the case of smooth curve we have

$$\infty = \arg \frac{t(o) - t(s)}{t(s - \varepsilon) - t(s)} \to 0 \quad \text{when } s \to o,$$

$$\beta = \arg \frac{t(s+\varepsilon)-t(s)}{t(2s)-t(s)} \rightarrow o \text{ when } s \rightarrow o$$

because \propto and β are bounded for small s.

Therefore, if we take δ_o sufficiently small, and $o < s < \delta_o$ we find that $|\mathcal{J}| \le$ constant.

Hence,
$$|B_2'| = |f(t(s))| \cdot |\mathcal{J}| \le \text{const} |f(t)| = \frac{c}{\ln \frac{1}{s}} \le \frac{c}{\ln \frac{1}{s}} = c w(\epsilon)$$

For

$$|B_1'| = \int_{s-\varepsilon}^{o} \frac{f(\tau)\mathfrak{z})}{\tau(\mathfrak{z}) - t(s)} \dot{\tau}(\mathfrak{z}) d\tau + \int_{2s}^{s+\Sigma} \frac{f(\tau(\mathfrak{z}))}{\tau(\mathfrak{z}) - t(s)} \tau(\mathfrak{z}) d\mathfrak{z} =$$

$$= I_1 + I_2$$

Now, in the integral I_1 , let y = s - 3 and in the integral I_2 , y = 3 - s. Then we have:

$$|B_{1}'| = \int_{\epsilon}^{s} \frac{f(\mathcal{T}(s-y))}{\mathcal{T}(s-y) - t(s)} \dot{\mathcal{T}}(s-y)dy +$$

$$\int_{s}^{\epsilon} \frac{f(\mathcal{T}(y+s))}{\mathcal{T}(y+s) - t(s)} \dot{\mathcal{T}}(y+s)dy =$$

$$= \int_{s}^{\epsilon} (\frac{f(\mathcal{T}(y+s))}{\mathcal{T}(y+s) - t(s)} \dot{\mathcal{T}}(y+s) - \frac{f(\mathcal{T}(s-y))}{\mathcal{T}(s-y) - t(s)} \dot{\mathcal{T}}(s-y)) dy =$$

$$= \int_{s}^{\epsilon} (\frac{f(\mathcal{T}(y+s)) - f(\mathcal{T}(s-y))}{\mathcal{T}(y+s) - t(s)} \dot{\mathcal{T}}(s-s)) dy +$$

$$+ \int_{s}^{\epsilon} (\frac{f(\mathcal{T}(s-y) - f(t(s))}{\mathcal{T}(s-y) - t(s)} \dot{\mathcal{T}}(y+s) dy -$$

$$-\int_{s}^{\epsilon} \left(\frac{f(\tau(s-y)-f(t(s))}{\tau(s-y)-t(s)} - \tau(s-y) \, sy + \right.$$

$$+ f(t) \int_{s}^{\epsilon} \left(\frac{\tau(y+s)}{\tau(y+s)-t(s)} - \frac{\tau(y+s)}{\tau(y-s)-t(s)}\right) \, dy =$$

$$= A_{1} + A_{2} + A_{3} + A_{4}.$$

$$|A_{1}| \leq \int_{s}^{\epsilon} \frac{f(\tau(y+s))}{\tau(y+s)-t(s)} \frac{f(\tau(s-y))}{\tau(y+s)-t(s)} \, dy \leq k \int_{s}^{\epsilon} \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{y-s}} - \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{y-s}} \, dy$$
If $\epsilon \leq 2s$ then
$$|A_{1}| \leq \int_{s}^{2s} \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{y+s}} - \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{y-s}} \, dy \leq \frac{1}{s} \left(\frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{3s}}\right) s = \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{3s}}$$

$$\leq c \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{1}} \, dy$$

$$|A_{1}| \leq \int_{s}^{2s} + \int_{2s}^{\epsilon} \int_{s}^{\epsilon} \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{y+s}} - \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{y-s}} \, dy \leq \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{y+s}} \, dy \leq \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{1}} \, dy$$

$$+ \int_{2s}^{\epsilon} \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{y+s}} - \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{y-s}} \, dy$$

$$\left| \frac{1}{1 \ln \frac{1}{y+s}} - \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{y-s}} \right| = \frac{\ln \frac{1}{y+s} - \ln \frac{1}{y-s}}{\ln \frac{1}{y+s} - \ln \frac{1}{y-s}} \leq \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{y+s}} \, dy$$

$$\leq \frac{\ln \frac{y+s}{y-s}}{\ln \frac{1}{y+s} - \ln \frac{1}{y-s}} \leq \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{y+s}} + \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{$$

for $y \in [2s, \mathcal{E}]$

$$Ln \frac{y+s}{y-s} = Ln \left(1 + \frac{2s}{y-s}\right) \le \frac{2s}{y-s} \le 2$$
.

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} |A_1| &\leq C \frac{1}{Ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}} + \int_{2s}^{\epsilon} \frac{dy}{yLn^2 \frac{1}{y}} = C \frac{1}{Ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}} - \int_{2s}^{\epsilon} \frac{dy}{Ln^2 \frac{1}{y}} dLn(\frac{1}{y}) \\ &= C \frac{1}{Ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}} - C \int_{2s}^{\epsilon} \frac{dt}{t^2} = C \frac{1}{Ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}} + C \left| \frac{1}{t} \right|_{2s}^{\epsilon} \\ &= C \frac{1}{Ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}} + C \left| \frac{1}{Ln \frac{1}{y}} \right|_{2s}^{\epsilon} = C \frac{1}{Ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}} + C \left| \frac{1}{t} \right|_{2s}^{\epsilon} \\ &\subset \left(\frac{1}{Ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}} - \frac{1}{Ln \frac{1}{2s}} \right) \leq C \frac{1}{Ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}} = CW(\epsilon). \end{split}$$

Estimating the integrals A_2 and A_3 similarly, we find that $|A_1| + A_2 + A_3| \le CW(\epsilon)$.

For A₄:

$$|A_4| \le |f(t)| \qquad \left| \int_s^{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{\tau(y+s) - t(s)} - \frac{1}{\tau(s-y) - t(s)} \right) dy \right| =$$

$$= |f(t)| \qquad \left| \int_s^{\varepsilon} \frac{\tau(s-y) - \tau(s+y)}{(\tau(y+s) - t(s)) \cdot (\tau(s-y) - t(s))} dy \right|$$

$$\leq |f(t)| \cdot 2sk^2 \int_s^{\epsilon} \frac{dy}{y^2} = \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{s}} \cdot 2sk^2 \left(\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) \leq$$

$$C \frac{1}{Ln \frac{1}{s}} \le C \frac{1}{Ln \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} = CW(\varepsilon).$$

This ends the proof.

REFERENCES

- (1) Babaev, A.A., Salaev V.V., 1965. An analogue to the theorem of Premeli-Privalov for the case of non smooth curves and its applications, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 161, 267–269, Soviet Math. Dokl. 6, 389–391. MR 31.
- (2) Bari, N.K., Steckin S.B. 1956. Best approximations and differential properties of two conjugate functions, Trudy Moskov, Mat. Obsc. 5, 483–522. MR 18,303.
- (3) Davydov, N.A. 1949. The continuity of an integral of Cauchy type in a closed region, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 64, 759-762. MR 10,601.
- (4) Eissa R.P., Salaev V.V. 1977. On some class of spaces of continuous functions connected with a Cauchy singular integral along a closed curve. Azerbaidzan Cos. Univ. Ucen. Zep. Ser. Fiz. Math. Nauk. No. 1.
- (5) Eissa P.R. 1977. About a class of functions invariant with respect to Cauchy singular integral. Azerbaidzan. Cos. Univ. Vcen. Zep. Ser. Math. Nauk. 1977 No. 2.
- (6) Geglia T.G. 1952. On some singular integral equations of particular form, Soobsc. Akad Nauk Gruzin. SSR 13, 581-586. MR 14,879.
- (7) Magnaradze L.G. 1949. On a generalization of the theorem of Privalov and its application to some linear cluster (boundary) problems of theory of functions and to singular integral equations, Doke. Nauk. SSSR, Vol. 18, No. 4, pp. 657–660.
- (8) Plemela J. 1908. Ein Erganzungssatz zur cauchyschen integral darstellung analytischer Function en, Randwerte betreffend, Monatsh. Math, Phys. 19, 205–210.

Some properties of class Z_w

- (9) Privalov I.I. 1916. Sur les functions conjuguees, Bull. Soc. Math. France, t. 44 No.2-3, p.100-103.
- (10) Privalov I.I. 1939. About the integral of Cauchy type. Dokl. Nauk. SSSR, Vol. 23, No.9, P.859–862.
- (11) Salemov T.S. 1979. Direct estimation for singular Cauchy integral type along a closed curve. Naucny trudy M.V. and SSO Azerbaizan SSR. Ser. Fiz. Mat. Nauk, No. 5 P. 59–75.
- (12) Salemov T.S. 1982. The inverse estimation for singular Cauchy integral along a closed curve. The study of a linear operator and its applications. Azerbaidzan Gos. Univ. Baku. SSR. P.162–177.
- (13) Salaev V.V. 1976. Direct and inverse estimations for singular Cauchy integral along a closed curve. Math. Zametki, Vol. 19 No. 3.
- (14) Zygmund A. 1923. On the modulus of continuity of the sum of the conjugate series of a Fourier series, Prace Math. Fiz. 33, 125–132, (Polish).

بعض خواص فصل الدوال zw

رؤوف عيسيي

في بحث سابق تم تعريف فصل جديد من الدوال Z_w وقد تم إيجاد قيمة حدًا أعلى w لأي دالة من هذا الفصل ولكن لم يعطي أي مثال على دوال هذا الفصل .

وفي هذا البحث تم وضع مثال أي إيجاد دالة $\bf p$ من دوال هذا الفصل والمعرفة على المنحنيات المغلقة الملساء . ثم أثبت أن هذه الدالة تنتمي إلى الفراغ $\bf z_w$.