



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Physical Therapy in Sport

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/ptsp

Review Article

Participant characteristics are poorly reported in exercise trials in tendinopathy: A systematic review

Seán Mc Auliffe^{a,*}, Vasileios Korakakis^b, Roger Hilfiker^c, Rodney Whiteley^b, Kieran O'Sullivan^{d,e}^a Department of Physical Therapy & Rehabilitation Science, College of Health Sciences, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar^b Aspetar Orthopaedic and Sports Medicine Hospital, Doha, Qatar^c School of Health Sciences, HES-SO Valais-Wallis, University of Applied Sciences and Arts Western Switzerland Valais, Leukerbad, Switzerland^d School of Allied Health, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland^e Ageing Research Centre, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 25 September 2020

Received in revised form

11 December 2020

Accepted 13 December 2020

Keywords:

Tendinopathy

Exercise

External validity

Participant characteristics

ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the reporting of eligibility criteria and baseline participant characteristics in randomised controlled trials investigating the effects of exercise interventions in tendinopathy.

Methods: Randomised controlled trials investigating the effects of exercise therapy compared to a non-exercising intervention in upper and lower limb tendinopathy were included. Data extraction was categorised into the following domains: participant demographics, tendinopathy descriptors, general health, participant recruitment and eligibility criteria.

Results: The review included the following tendinopathies: Achilles (n = 9), gluteal (n = 2), lateral elbow tendinopathy (n = 15), patellar (n = 3) plantar (n = 3), and rotator cuff (n = 13). Age, sex, duration of symptoms and symptom severity were commonly reported across the review, while prior history of tendinopathy was poorly reported (6/45). Variables such as physical activity level (17/45), sleep (0/45), psychological factors (2/45), medication at baseline (8/45), co morbid health complaints (10/45) and sociodemographic factors (11/45) were poorly reported across the included studies. Substantial variation existed between studies in the specific eligibility criteria used.

Conclusion: The findings of this systematic review demonstrate that participant characteristics are poorly reported in exercise trials in tendinopathy. To improve effectiveness of exercise interventions in tendinopathy, improved reporting of participant characteristics may allow better comparisons and targeted interventions for specific subgroups.

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Hippocrates presaged the importance of individual characteristics in healthcare when he stated: “It is more important to know what sort of person has a disease than to know what sort of disease a person has.” Tendon pain was likely a common complaint in his time as it is now (Albers et al., 2016) and then, as now, we believe exercise interventions are a mainstay of management (Malliaras et al., 2013) (Littlewood et al., 2012).

Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) are the gold standard for assessing the efficacy of interventions in healthcare, but, to

understand the effectiveness of our interventions, a clear depiction of the relevant characteristics of the individual with tendinopathy is required (Hariton & Locascio, 2018). This principle has been acknowledged in both the CONSORT statement (for RCTs generally), and, more specifically in the recent international scientific tendinopathy consensus (Vicenzino, de Vos, & Alfredson, 2019). Despite an ever increasing body of tendon research into the efficacy of exercise interventions, the burden and impact of this musculoskeletal (MSK) disorder remains high (Blyth et al., 2019). This suggests that while the internal validity of studies can be evaluated robustly during the peer-review process, applying the results of

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: sean@qu.edu.qa (S.M. Auliffe).

exercise RCTs in tendinopathy at an individual level is challenging (Wertli et al., 2013).

To ascertain the effectiveness of exercise interventions in the broader population, clinicians must be able to determine whether the results of a particular trial are representative of the patient in front of them (i.e. external validity). Multiple factors determine the external validity (i.e., generalisability or applicability) of RCTs: patient characteristics, condition under investigation, costs, compliance, co-morbidities, and concomitant treatments (Gartlehner et al., 2006). Many of these participant characteristics have been identified as important prognostic indicators in the management of individuals with musculoskeletal pain (Tseli et al., 2019) (Matthews et al., 2017). Furthermore some of these factors have been identified as treatment modifiers in other MSK conditions (Beneciuk et al., 2017; Hayden, Wilson, & Stewart, 2019; Smeets et al., 2006). It is therefore important to ascertain if the aforementioned characteristics are present among trial participants in tendinopathy research, or indeed if such characteristics would lead to an individual being excluded from the trial. Strict eligibility criteria may be advantageous in efficacy trials, but they may not reflect the typical presentation of tendinopathy patients in routine practice or in the community. Research in other painful MSK conditions (e.g. back pain) has highlighted that participant characteristics are poorly reported in RCTs (Wertli et al., 2013). To our knowledge, neither the reporting of participant characteristics nor eligibility criteria have been systematically analysed in exercise trials for tendinopathy.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the reporting of eligibility criteria and baseline participant characteristics in RCTs which were included in a systematic review assessing the effects of exercise treatment outcomes in patients with tendinopathy.

2. Methods

2.1. Protocol registration

A secondary analysis of a larger systematic review and meta analysis investigating the efficacy of exercise versus non-exercise interventions in tendinopathy was performed according to the registered protocol outlined (PROSPERO - CRD42018110086). The preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta analyses (PRISMA) was used to guide reporting of the findings of the review (Shamseer et al., 2015).

2.2. Eligibility criteria

2.2.1. Types of participants

Studies involving both sedentary and athletic participants, aged 18 years and over with Achilles tendinopathy, patellar tendinopathy, gluteal tendinopathy, rotator cuff tendinopathy, plantar fasciopathy, or lateral elbow tendinopathy were deemed eligible for inclusion. Studies investigating acute tendon injuries and tears, ruptures, or postoperative rehabilitation were excluded.

2.2.2. Types of interventions

Randomised controlled trials investigating the effects of exercise therapy in upper and lower limb tendinopathy were included. Exercise interventions were deemed suitable if they involved any type of muscle-tendon unit stretching, or loading, including eccentric, concentric, combined eccentric-concentric, isometric and stretch-shortening cycle (SSC) loading as a component. Studies were considered eligible if they compared an exercise therapy intervention (alone or in combination with other standard physiotherapy interventions) with a non-exercising strategy (e.g. surgery, corticosteroid injection, platelet rich plasma injections-PRP, shockwave therapy, wait and see). Studies where the only

comparative group involved any exercise or post-operative rehabilitation were excluded. The exercise program needed to follow a structured protocol and the training dose needed to be described. No limits on duration of symptoms was implemented and there were no restrictions on the setting (e.g. class, home exercise program, hospital, gymnasium) or duration of the intervention.

2.3. Information sources and literature search

2.3.1. Electronic search

An electronic literature search was performed in November 2019 of the following databases PubMed, CINAHL, Cochrane Library, Web of Science, and MEDLINE. The searches combined terms related to exercise (e.g. eccentric, concentric, loading, strengthening), tendon location (e.g. Achilles, patellar tendon, rotator cuff, lateral elbow pain OR tennis elbow) and trial intervention type (e.g. random OR placebo OR RCT) without language restriction and adjusted according to individual database specifications (Table 1).

2.3.2. Searching additional resources

Grey literature was searched via OpenGrey, as well as the following registries: Clinical Trials.gov and ANZ clinical trials register. Reference lists, citation tracking results, and relevant systematic reviews (Cochrane reviews = 6) were also manually searched for additional studies. Additional searches were also performed of the proceedings from previous International Scientific Tendinopathy Symposia (ISTS) (2009, 2011, 2013, 2015 and 2017).

2.4. Study selection

After removing duplicates, the two reviewers (SMA, VK) screened the titles and abstracts independently by applying the specified inclusion and exclusion criteria to the full-text reports. The full text was obtained if a study was deemed eligible by at least one reviewer. A third reviewer (KOS) was consulted if consensus was not reached.

2.5. Data extraction and synthesis

Two reviewers (SMA and KOS) extracted data from the included randomised controlled trials, including bibliographic data (authors, year of publication), eligibility criteria, and relevant baseline participant characteristics.

As proposed in the international tendinopathy consensus statement (ISTS) (Rio et al., 2020), data extraction was performed according to four participant domains: participant demographics, tendinopathy descriptors, general health and comorbidities, and participant recruitment. These domains represent a spectrum of important participant characteristics to assist clinicians in deciding whether the results of interventional trials are applicable to their patients. For completeness, we also extracted data on characteristics which did not reach consensus at the ISTS including sleep, pain location (number of pain sites, unilateral versus bilateral), nicotine use, socioeconomic factors, and psychological variables. Data pertaining to the eligibility criteria used across the included studies were also extracted. Since there are no consistent definitions to discriminate between inclusion and exclusion criteria (Van Spall et al., 2007), we preferred the term eligibility criteria to describe predetermined criteria whereby patients were recruited into trials (Koog et al., 2013).

A data extraction sheet (Microsoft Excel) was used to extract the data following identification of eligible studies. Prior to extraction of the data, the two reviewers (SMA and KOS) pilot tested the data extraction template using five randomly chosen trials from the review.

Table 1
Keywords for database search.

Search Terms
AB (eccentric OR concentric OR isometric OR train* OR exercis* OR Rehab* OR load* OR resistance OR physiotherapy OR physical* OR therapy OR strength* OR isotonic) AND AB (achill* OR calf OR plantarflex* OR tendocalcan* OR heel OR "jumper's knee" OR patellar tend* OR rotator cuff OR supraspinatus OR impingement OR "painful arc" OR Subacromial* OR greater trochanteric OR gluteal OR gluteus med* OR trochan* OR lateral epicondyl* OR lateral elbow pain OR tennis elbow OR common extensor origin OR Plantar fasc* OR Heel pain OR tendin* tendonitis) AND AB (random* OR placebo OR RCT)

2.6. Assessment of risk of bias

A comprehensive assessment of bias was conducted in the accompanying primary review on efficacy (CRD42018110086 -<https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/displayrecord.php?ID=CRD42018110086>). However, this is not presented here, as treatment outcomes were not assessed in this review.

2.7. Data analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to summarise the findings for both the eligibility criteria used and the degree to which participant characteristics were reported in line with the ICON recommendations. Adobe illustrator software, a vector graphics editor, was used to generate graphical representation of the data.

3. Results

3.1. Search results

The primary search resulted in 13,862 potential eligible studies after removal of duplicates. Following screening of the abstracts 123 full-text papers were assessed for suitability with 45 studies finally included in the review. This process is presented in the PRISMA (Shamseer et al., 2015) flowchart (Fig. 1).

A detailed description of the data extracted from each of the 45 included randomised controlled trials is provided in the supplementary file 1.

The review included studies investigating rotator cuff tendinopathy ($n = 13$), lateral elbow tendinopathy ($n = 15$), Achilles tendinopathy ($n = 9$), gluteal tendinopathy ($n = 2$), plantar fasciopathy ($n = 3$), and patellar tendinopathy ($n = 3$). The total sample size of the 45 studies included in the review was 3,632, with a mean sample size of 81.

Considerable variation existed in relation to the degree of reporting of participant characteristics across the included studies, with some characteristics (e.g. age, sex, duration of symptoms) reported very frequently (>75%) whereas others (e.g. history of tendinopathy, medication use, comorbid health complaints, sleep) were reported very infrequently (<25%).

The reporting of the relevant participant characteristics across three of the four domains are summarised in Fig. 2. The remaining domain outlining the participant recruitment and eligibility criteria is displayed further in Fig. 5, and discussed further in the results.

Age and sex were commonly reported across the review, with a mean (SD) participant age of 46 (7.7) years (median 48 years). The sex of the included participants was not reported in 6/45 studies (Cherry et al., 2012; Engebretsen et al., 2009; Koch et al., 2015; Nagrale et al., 2009; Tonks et al., 2007; Yelland et al., 2019) with the majority of studies using a mixed sample, with an almost even breakdown in male:female ratio (Males 1618; Females 2014). Height and weight were reported in only 14/45 and 13/45 studies respectively, with a mean of 173 cm and 78 kg for height and

weight respectively.

(ii) Tendinopathy descriptors

3.1.1. Duration of symptoms

Duration of symptoms was reported in 35/45 studies, with a mean duration of 15 months across the included studies. The mean duration of symptoms varied between studies and tendon site with the shortest symptom duration period being 4 weeks (Rompe et al., 2009), and the longest 68 months (Visnes et al., 2005).

3.1.2. Severity of symptoms

The majority (38/45) of studies reported baseline measures of severity, predominantly pain severity using a visual analog or numerical rating scale. There was large variability regarding the context of pain severity reported, including: pain over the past 24 h, pain during activity, pain over the past week, load induced pain, and pain with gripping. Details of the severity of symptoms reported across the included studies and tendon sites is specified in detail in online supplementary file 1.

3.1.3. Disability

Measures of disability were commonly reported in 42/45 studies, while the remain 3 studies did not specify the use of a disability outcome measure (Rompe et al., 2009) (Knobloch et al., 2007) (Wen et al., 2011). Several disability outcome measures were reported within, and between tendon sites. For the shoulder the most common disability measure reported was the Shoulder Pain and Disability Index (SPADI) in 4/13 of the included studies with a mean score of 48 (A score of 0 indicates best 100 indicates worst. A higher score shows more disability). In relation to lateral elbow tendinopathy the Patient Rated Tennis Elbow Evaluation Questionnaire was the most commonly reported in 4/15 studies with a mean score of 39 (scored out of 50 with higher scores indicates higher disability and pain). The Victorian Institute of Sport Assessment VISA was the most commonly used outcome in the Achilles (5/9) and Patellar tendinopathy (3/3) studies with means of 52 and 61 reported amongst included participants respectively (scored 0–100 with higher scores indicating less disability). Finally, disability measured varied considerably for gluteal tendinopathy and plantar fasciopathy studies. A summary of the disability outcomes used across the included studies is presented in Fig. 3, with additional information on the disability outcome measures across the included studies provided in the online supplementary file 2.

3.1.4. Loading tests

Objective loading tests were a common feature of the clinical examination specified in 27/45 studies. Interestingly, studies of some tendon sites appeared more likely to incorporate loading tests as part of the clinical examination. For example, all elbow (15/15 studies, three loading tests), all gluteal (2/2 studies, 6 loading tests) and most, or the majority of rotator cuff tendinopathy studies




Fig. 1. PRISMA flow diagram of the study selection process.

(10/13 studies) included objective loading tests. In contrast, a very different approach was seen in the knee, ankle and foot where no Achilles (0/9 studies) or plantar fascia (0/3 studies) study specified a loading test, instead reporting a subjective confirmation of pain during loading tasks (Fig. 4).

ROM: Range of motion; FABER Flexion Abduction External Rotation; FADER Flexion Adduction External Rotation.

3.1.5. Previous history of tendinopathy

Only 6/45 included studies provided details on previous symptoms of tendinopathy amongst participants (Yelland et al., 2019) (Bisset et al., 2006; Peterson et al., 2011; Smidt et al., 2002; Winters et al., 1997; Yelland et al., 2011). A further study by Struijs et al. (Struijs et al., 2004a) reported recording previous symptoms but did not provide any details.

(iii) General health and comorbidities

3.1.6. Physical activity

Physical activity level was reported in 17/45 studies, most commonly in lower limb tendon sites (11/17 studies), especially the Achilles tendon (7/9 studies). Little detail was provided on the level, type or duration of time spent engaging in physical activity, with most studies only reporting the type of physical activity participants were engaged in (online supplementary file 1).

3.1.7. Sleep

No study explicitly provided details on sleep variables amongst participants in the review. However, one study by Vuvan et al. (Vuvan et al., 2019) reported the percentage of participants with

sleep disturbed by pain.

3.1.8. Psychological factors

Only two studies (Mellor et al., 2018) (Engebretsen et al., 2011) provided details on psychological factors (pain catastrophising, pain self-efficacy and emotional distress).

3.1.9. Medication at baseline

Medication use at baseline amongst participants was reported in 8/45 studies (Engebretsen et al., 2009) (Rompe et al., 2009) (Knobloch et al., 2007) (Smidt et al., 2002) (Bennell et al., 2010; Engebretsen et al., 2011; Hay et al., 1999; Murtezani et al., 2015).

3.1.10. Co morbid health complaints

Co-morbid health complaints were reported in only 10/45 studies (Knobloch et al., 2007) (Smidt et al., 2002) (Winters et al., 1997) (Struijs et al., 2004a) (Mellor et al., 2018) (Chester et al., 2008; Hay et al., 1999; Kearney et al., 2013; Murtezani et al., 2015; Pienimäki et al., 1996). The most commonly reported co-morbid health complaint was co-morbid pain (Rompe et al., 2009) (Smidt et al., 2002) (Hay et al., 1999) (Chester et al., 2008) (Struijs et al., 2004b). A key consideration which will be covered in more detail further in the manuscript is that many studies excluded participants with co-morbid health complaints, such as people with concurrent musculoskeletal or systemic complaints (Fig. 5).

3.1.11. Sociodemographic factors

Sociodemographic factors, usually relating to employment status and educational level, were reported in 11/45 studies.

(iv) Participant recruitment.

Participant characteristic domains proposed by ISTS recommendations




Fig. 2. Percentage of eligible studies which detailed participant characteristics across three domains.

(i) Participant demographics




Fig. 3. Disability outcome measure reported.

3.1.12. Recruitment source and setting

Details in relation to the recruitment source were provided in 40/45 studies, (Bae et al., 2011; Ersen et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2014; Rompe et al., 2010; Walther et al., 2004). The most common recruitment strategies utilised included general practitioner referral and recruitment using email, poster or flyer adverts. Trials most commonly took place in community outpatient clinics and University clinics. Details summarising the recruitment source and strategy used are outlined in the online supplementary file 1.

3.1.13. Eligibility criteria

In addition to providing information on the four domains recommended at ISTS, (Vicenzino, de Vos, & Alfredson, 2019), the eligibility criteria used in the trials were also extracted. Substantial variation existed between studies in the specific eligibility criteria used (Fig. 5).

3.2. Blue column refers to participant demographics; green columns refer to tendinopathy descriptors; and orange columns refer to general health factors used as eligibility criteria used across the included studies. Percentage figures represent the total number of studies that report the described eligibility criteria

Many studies (24/45) specified age in the eligibility criteria, commonly limiting to participants aged between 18 and 75 years. One study by Nejadi et al. (Nejadi et al., 2017) outlined a minimum age of 40 years, while another study by Visnes et al. (Visnes et al., 2005) included participants between 18 and 35 years old. Ten studies in the review specified a minimum severity of symptoms and/or disability for inclusion (Yelland et al., 2019) (Cherry et al., 2012) (Visnes et al., 2005) (Yelland et al., 2011) (Vuvan et al., 2019) (Bennell et al., 2010) (Rompe et al., 2010) (Lombardi et al., 2008) (Roos et al., 2004). The minimum level of severity specified for inclusion varied across tendons and was based on both




Fig. 4. Loading tests reported as part of the clinical examination.

Eligibility criteria




Fig. 5. Eligibility criteria used in exercise trials for tendinopathy, grouped according to ISTS domains.

subjective patient reported questionnaires (Patient Rated Tennis Elbow Evaluation (PRTEE), Victorian Institute of Sport Assessment (VISA-A)) and severity of pain during a clinical examination (Yelland et al., 2019) (Cherry et al., 2012) (Visnes et al., 2005) (Yelland et al., 2011) (Vuvan et al., 2019) (Mellor et al., 2018) (Bennell et al., 2010) (Rompe et al., 2010) (Lombardi et al., 2008) (Roos et al., 2004). 31/45 of the studies included in the review specified a minimum duration of symptoms for inclusion of participants, varying from 4 weeks to >3 months. Participants were often excluded based on the presence of concurrent systemic (21/45) or musculoskeletal and neurological disorders (29/45). The precise systemic disorders excluded varied but included: infection, tumor, diabetes mellitus, severe cardiac disease, local arthritis, thrombopathy, and rheumatological complaints. A number of musculoskeletal and neurological disorders were specified as exclusion criteria: chondromalacia, muscle strains, pain at another site, and radicular pain. In addition, 17/45 excluded participants based on traumatic injury (mainly fractures), 9/45 studies excluded participants with arthritic conditions, 9/45 studies excluded participants with bilateral tendon complaints, 4/45 excluded pregnant participants, and 4/45 excluding participants with the presence of insertional tendinopathy in Achilles tendinopathy trials.

4. Discussion

Multiple factors may determine the external validity and clinical effectiveness of RCT's, namely; patient characteristics, costs, compliance, co-morbidities, and concomitant treatments (Gartlehner et al., 2006). Findings of this systematic review suggest that the reporting of participant characteristics in randomised controlled trials investigating exercise for tendinopathy is suboptimal. The eligibility criteria used in these RCTs varied considerably

between studies and were often restrictive, which may not reflect the typical presentation in clinical practice. The current review highlights that beyond knowing that the individuals in these trials were mostly adults (mean 46 years), both male and female, with symptoms for an average of 15 months, we can be confident about little else as regards participant characteristics. We acknowledge that inadequate reporting of participant characteristics is not unique to the topic of tendinopathy with similar issues identified in other MSK disorders such as low back pain (Wertli et al., 2013) (Chevan & Haskvitz, 2015). Nevertheless, the suboptimal reporting of participant characteristics, and the eligibility criteria utilised, have important clinical implications for the generalisability of findings from RCTs of exercise in tendinopathy.

4.1. Knowledge of loading demands to ensure targeted loading interventions

Tendinopathy is a challenging and complex MSK disorder, affecting a broad spectrum of athletic and non athletic populations (Albers et al., 2016) (Challoumas et al., 2019; Johannsen et al., 2018; Van Middelkoop et al., 2008). Exercise interventions are commonly recommended in the management of tendinopathy (Malliaras et al., 2013) (Desmeules et al., 2016; Murphy et al., 2018a, 2018b). Despite the relative success of exercise interventions to date, the associated outcomes indicate exercise is far from a panacea. Chronic symptoms persist in approximately a quarter of patients 10 years after treatment, with tendinopathy impairing both quality of life and physical activity (Ceravolo, Gaida, & Keegan, 2018) (van der Vliet, Winters, & Weir, 2020). In fact, in individuals with Achilles tendinopathy as many as 60% experience continued symptoms, around 40% develop contralateral symptoms, while up to 50% rate their satisfaction following treatment as moderate-poor (Gajhede-

Knudsen et al., 2013) (Van der Plas et al., 2012). Conceivably, treatment response to exercise interventions in tendinopathy may vary across different populations. For example given the high prevalence of tendinopathy in athletic populations (Johannsen et al., 2018), the loading demands and subsequent response to exercise or loading interventions may be hugely different compared to non athletic populations or sedentary populations (Mascaró et al., 2018). Therefore knowledge of participants current or pre injury physical activity levels and loading demands may be important when assessing the effectiveness of exercise in tendinopathy. However, our results indicate that physical activity levels are poorly reported in tendinopathy studies of exercise. Even in the minority of studies that specified whether participants engaged in physical activity, little detail was provided on the level, type or duration of time spent engaging in physical activity, with most studies only reporting the type of physical activity participants were engaged in (online supplementary file 1). If such details are not fully reported, it is difficult for clinicians to ascertain whether an exercise intervention is appropriate to meet the loading requirements of their patient, thus limiting the clinical use of these interventions.

4.2. The importance of co-morbid health complaints in tendinopathy

In addition to physical activity, other participant characteristics may be important when exploring the clinical applicability of tendinopathy trial findings. Individuals with persistent MSK pain often present with co-morbid health complaints such as multiple pain sites (Hott et al., 2020), greater number of pain medications (Beneciuk et al., 2017), bilateral symptoms, higher frequency of pain occurrence (Matthews et al., 2017), and sick leave in the previous 12 months (Hayden, Wilson, & Stewart, 2019). Persistent MSK pain is also associated with higher psychological distress such as fear of movement, pain catastrophising as well as lower levels of self efficacy and self esteem (Martinez-Calderon et al., 2018; Pincus et al., 2002; Zale et al., 2013). Research has demonstrated that some of these psychological factors are modifiable with subsequent positive impact on disability levels (Wertli et al., 2013) (Smeets et al., 2006) (Costal et al., 2011; Kjaer et al., 2018; Mansell et al., 2017; Mittinty et al., 2018). An emerging body of evidence has identified that tendinopathy is associated with several co-morbid health issues, such as adiposity (Scott et al., 2015), cholesterol, diabetes (Ranger et al., 2016) and cardiovascular disease (Rechardt et al., 2010). Tendinopathy is also associated with increased levels of psychological distress and negative impact on quality of life (Cotchett et al., 2015; Mallows et al., 2017; Mc Auliffe et al., 2017; Plinsinga et al., 2018; Stephens et al., 2020). Nevertheless, such factors were not commonly reported in the trials eligible for the current systematic review. In fact many basic characteristics were poorly reported in the review. For example height and weight were only reported in 33% of studies, while only 2/46 of the included studies reporting psychological variables at baseline. Interestingly, of more importance, many of the aforementioned characteristics were often used as exclusion criteria. Excluding participants with many of these co-morbid health complaints, or not reporting the presence of such co-morbid health complaints may not reflect routine clinical practice and limits appropriate sub grouping in systematic reviews. Patients with multiple co existing co-morbidities are often the most disabled and also the most costly from an economic perspective, therefore exclusion of such participants from exercise trials of tendinopathy may result in exclusion of individuals with most need of intervention. Inadequate reporting of the presence of co-morbid health complaints in tendinopathy limits the ability to ascertain which people are most likely to respond to exercise, and/or what might

constitute optimal content of an exercise programme (Tseli et al., 2019) (Holden et al., 2018).

4.3. What can be done to address inadequate reporting of participant characteristics in tendinopathy trials?

Exercise is often the conservative treatment of choice in tendinopathy. Despite the positive effects of exercise interventions, the response to these interventions is variable across tendons and even within tendon sites (Van der Plas et al., 2012) (Hudak et al., 1996) (Vaughn et al., 2004). It is reasonable to suggest that the observed variability in effectiveness of treatment to date in tendinopathy may relate to inadequate knowledge and consideration of individual participant characteristics. Published trials to date have focused on implementing treatment protocols used strict eligibility criteria for a short period of time. Although this helps inform the efficacy of these interventions, it does little to inform the generalisability of the findings, leading to the observed effects in trials to date.

In order to assist with the challenge of determining the generalisability of research findings in exercise trials it is important to document and adequately describe the characteristics of the participant sample in a given trial. In addition it is also important to document the range of exercise variables used within an exercise trial. Ideally this would include information regarding the frequency, intensity, type, of the prescribed exercise, as well as details on exercise adherence and any adverse events. In order to assist with this need for adequate documentation various checklists and reporting templates such as the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) and the Consensus on Exercise Reporting Template (CERT) have been developed to improve the quality and transparency of reporting in exercise trials (El-Kotob & Giangregorio, 2018; Schulz et al., 2010; Slade et al., 2016).

4.4. Limitations

Several potential limitations should be acknowledged. Firstly, this was a secondary analysis of an ongoing systematic review investigating the efficacy of exercise interventions in tendinopathy. The review included studies that compared the effectiveness of exercise interventions to non exercise interventions in tendinopathy, thus potentially there are many more exercise intervention trials that compared different exercise interventions to each-other, so we cannot say how the findings of this review reflects those trials, but we expect similar issues arise. Secondly, the domains of participant characteristics reported in the review were based on a modification of the recommendations made by the ISTS Consensus meeting in 2018. Is conceivable the additional domains may be important to report, and could be investigated in future.

5. Conclusion

The findings of this systematic review demonstrated that participant characteristics are poorly reported in exercise trials in tendinopathy. This includes information on participant demographics, tendinopathy descriptors, general health, and participant recruitment. Furthermore, individuals are often excluded from tendinopathy trials due to the presence of characteristics which are common, and are known to influence outcomes in MSK pain, such as co-morbid health complaints. This greatly limits our ability to ascertain the applicability of the findings from exercise trials into clinical management of tendinopathy. To improve effectiveness of exercise interventions in tendinopathy, better reporting of participant characteristics may allow better comparisons and targeted interventions for specific subgroups.

Funding information

No author is the receipt of any funding source.

Ethical approval

Not applicable.

Financial Disclosure Statement

The Open Access publication of this article was funded by the Qatar National Library. The funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Declaration of competing interest

None to declare.

Acknowledgements

RW would like to acknowledge the assistance of the Qatar National Research Foundation in the preparation of this manuscript.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ptsp.2020.12.012>.

References

- Albers, I. S., Zwerver, J., Diercks, R. L., et al. (2016). Incidence and prevalence of lower extremity tendinopathy in a Dutch general practice population: A cross sectional study. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disord*, 17, 16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-016-0885-2> [published Online First: 2016/01/14].
- Bae, Y. H., Lee, G. C., Shin, W. S., et al. (2011). Effect of motor control and strengthening exercises on pain, function, strength and the range of motion of patients with shoulder impingement syndrome. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science*, 23(4), 687–692.
- Beneciuk, J. M., Hill, J. C., Campbell, P., et al. (2017). Identifying treatment effect modifiers in the STarT back trial: A secondary analysis. *The Journal of Pain*, 18(1), 54–65.
- Bennell, K., Wee, E., Coburn, S., et al. (2010). Efficacy of standardised manual therapy and home exercise programme for chronic rotator cuff disease: Randomised placebo controlled trial. *BMJ*, 341(7763), c2756. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c2756>
- Bisset, L., Beller, E., Jull, G., et al. (2006). Mobilisation with movement and exercise, corticosteroid injection, or wait and see for tennis elbow: Randomised trial. *BMJ*, 333(7575), 939. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38961.584653.AE> [published Online First: 2006/10/03].
- Blyth, F. M., Briggs, A. M., Schneider, C. H., et al. (2019). The global burden of musculoskeletal pain—where to from here? *American Journal of Public Health*, 109(1), 35–40.
- Ceravolo, M. L., Gaida, J. E., & Keegan, R. J. (2018). Quality-of-Life in achilles tendinopathy: An exploratory study. *Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine: Official Journal of the Canadian Academy of Sport Medicine*, 30(5), 495–502. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JSM.0000000000000636>
- Challoumas, D., Clifford, C., Kirwan, P., et al. (2019). How does surgery compare to sham surgery or physiotherapy as a treatment for tendinopathy? A systematic review of randomised trials. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med*, 5(1), Article e000528. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjsem-2019-000528> [published Online First: 2019/06/14].
- Cherry, E., Agostinucci, J., & McLinden, J. (2012). The effect of cryotherapy and exercise on lateral epicondylitis: A controlled randomised study. *International Journal of Therapy and Rehabilitation*, 19(11), 641–650.
- Chester, R., Costa, M. L., Shepstone, L., et al. (2008). Eccentric calf muscle training compared with therapeutic ultrasound for chronic Achilles tendon pain—a pilot study. *Manual Therapy*, 13(6), 484–491. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.math.2007.05.014> [published Online First: 2007/07/31].
- Chevan, J., & Haskvitz, E. M. (2015). Reported characteristics of participants in physical therapy—related clinical trials. *Physical Therapy*, 95(6), 884–890.
- Costal, L.dCM., Maher, C. G., McAuleyl, J. H., et al. (2011). Self-efficacy is more important than fear of movement in mediating the relationship between pain and disability in chronic low back pain. *European Journal of Pain*, 15(2), 213–219.
- Cotchett, M. P., Whittaker, G., & Erbas, B. (2015). Psychological variables associated with foot function and foot pain in patients with plantar heel pain. *Clinical Rheumatology*, 34(5), 957–964.
- Desmeules, F., Boudreau, J., Dionne, C. E., et al. (2016). Efficacy of exercise therapy in workers with rotator cuff tendinopathy: A systematic review. *Journal of Occupational Health*, 58(5), 389–403.
- El-Kotob, R., & Giangregorio, L. M. (2018). Pilot and feasibility studies in exercise, physical activity, or rehabilitation research. *Pilot and feasibility studies*, 4(1), 1–7.
- Engebretsen, K., Grotle, M., Bautz-Holter, E., et al. (2009). Radial extracorporeal shockwave treatment compared with supervised exercises in patients with subacromial pain syndrome: Single blind randomised study. *BMJ*, 339, b3360. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b3360> [published Online First: 2009/09/17].
- Engebretsen, K., Grotle, M., Bautz-Holter, E., et al. (2011). Supervised exercises compared with radial extracorporeal shock-wave therapy for subacromial shoulder pain: 1-year results of a single-blind randomized controlled trial. *Physical Therapy*, 91(1), 37–47. <https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20090338>
- Ersen, Ö., Koca, K., Akpancar, S., et al. (2017). A randomized-controlled trial of prolotherapy injections in the treatment of plantar fasciitis. *Turkish Journal of Physical Medicine And Rehabilitation*, 64(1), 59–65. <https://doi.org/10.5606/tftrd.2018.944>
- Gajhede-Knudsen, M., Ekstrand, J., Magnusson, H., et al. (2013). Recurrence of achilles tendon injuries in elite male football players is more common after early return to play: An 11-year follow-up of the UEFA champions league injury study. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 47(12), 763–768. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2013-092271> [published Online First: 2013/06/19].
- Garlehner, G., Hansen, R. A., Nissman, D., et al. (2006). *Criteria for distinguishing effectiveness from efficacy trials in systematic reviews*.
- Hariton, E., & Locascio, J. J. (2018). Randomised controlled trials—the gold standard for effectiveness research. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, 125(13), 1716.
- Hayden, J. A., Wilson, M. N., Stewart, S., et al. (2019). Exercise treatment effect modifiers in persistent low back pain: An individual participant data meta-analysis of 3514 participants from 27 randomised controlled trials. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 54(21), 1277–1278. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2019-101205>
- Hay, E. M., Paterson, S. M., Lewis, M., et al. (1999). Pragmatic randomised controlled trial of local corticosteroid injection and naproxen for treatment of lateral epicondylitis of elbow in primary care. *BMJ*, 319(7215), 964–968. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.319.7215.964> [published Online First: 1999/10/08].
- Holden, S., Rathleff, M. S., Jensen, M. B., et al. (2018). How can we implement exercise therapy for patellofemoral pain if we don't know what was prescribed? A systematic review. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 52(6), 385–85.
- Hott, A., Brox, J. I., Pripp, A. H., et al. (2020). Predictors of pain, function, and change in patellofemoral pain. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 48(2), 351–358.
- Hudak, P. L., Cole, D. C., & Haines, A. T. (1996). Understanding prognosis to improve rehabilitation: The example of lateral elbow pain. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 77(6), 586–593.
- Johannsen, F., Jensen, S., & Wetke, E. (2018). 10-year follow-up after standardised treatment for Achilles tendinopathy. *BMJ open sport & exercise medicine*, 4(1), Article e000415.
- Kearney, R. S., Parsons, N., & Costa, M. L. (2013). Achilles tendinopathy management: A pilot randomised controlled trial comparing platelet-rich plasma injection with an eccentric loading programme. *Bone Joint Res*, 2(10), 227–232. <https://doi.org/10.1302/2046-3758.210.2000200> [published Online First: 2013/10/19].
- Kjaer, P., Kongsted, A., Ris, I., et al. (2018). GLA: D® back group-based patient education integrated with exercises to support self-management of back pain-development, theories and scientific evidence. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*, 19(1), 418.
- Knobloch, K., Kraemer, R., Jagodzinski, M., et al. (2007). Eccentric training decreases paratendon capillary blood flow and preserves paratendon oxygen saturation in chronic achilles tendinopathy. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*, 37(5), 269–276. <https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2007.2296> [published Online First: 2007/06/07].
- Koch, M., Kamath, M. S., & Chetri, B. (2015). Efficacy of Cyriax physiotherapy versus eccentric strengthening and stretching exercises in chronic lateral epicondylitis patients. *International Journal of Physiotherapy*, 2(5), 731–737.
- Koog, Y. H., Wi, H., & Jung, W. Y. (2013). Eligibility criteria in knee osteoarthritis clinical trials: Systematic review. *Clinical Rheumatology*, 32(11), 1569–1574.
- Littlewood, C., Ashton, J., Chance-Larsen, K., et al. (2012). Exercise for rotator cuff tendinopathy: A systematic review. *Physiotherapy*, 98(2), 101–109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2011.08.002> [published Online First: 2012/04/18].
- Liu, X.-G., Cheng, L., & Song, J.-M. (2014). Effects of low-level laser therapy and eccentric exercises in the treatment of patellar tendinopathy. *International Journal of Photoenergy*, 2014.
- Lombardi, I., Jr., Magri, A. G., Fleury, A. M., et al. (2008). Progressive resistance training in patients with shoulder impingement syndrome: A randomized controlled trial. *Arthritis & Rheumatism*, 59(5), 615–622. <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.23576> [published Online First: 2008/04/29].
- Malliaras, P., Barton, C. J., Reeves, N. D., et al. (2013). Achilles and patellar tendinopathy loading programmes. *Sports Medicine*, 43(4), 267–286.
- Mallows, A., Debenham, J., Walker, T., et al. (2017). Association of psychological variables and outcome in tendinopathy: A systematic review. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 51(9), 743–748. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-096154> [published Online First: 2016/11/18].
- Mansell, G., Hill, J. C., Main, C. J., et al. (2017). Mediators of treatment effect in the back in action trial: Using latent growth modeling to take change over time into

- account. *The Clinical Journal of Pain*, 33(9), 811.
- Martinez-Calderon, J., Zamora-Campos, C., Navarro-Ledesma, S., et al. (2018). The role of self-efficacy on the prognosis of chronic musculoskeletal pain: A systematic review. *The Journal of Pain*, 19(1), 10–34.
- Mascaró, A., Cos, M.A., Morral, A., et al. (2018). Load management in tendinopathy: Clinical progression for Achilles and patellar tendinopathy. *Apunts. Medicina de l'Esport*, 53(197), 19–27.
- Matthews, M., Rathleff, M. S., Claus, A., et al. (2017). Can we predict the outcome for people with patellofemoral pain? A systematic review on prognostic factors and treatment effect modifiers. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 51(23), 1650–1660.
- McAuliffe, S., Synnott, A., Casey, H., et al. (2017). Beyond the tendon: Experiences and perceptions of people with persistent Achilles tendinopathy. *Musculoskeletal Sci Pract*, 29, 108–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msksp.2017.03.009> [published Online First: 2017/04/01].
- Mellor, R., Bennell, K., Grimaldi, A., et al. (2018). Education plus exercise versus corticosteroid injection use versus a wait and see approach on global outcome and pain from gluteal tendinopathy: Prospective, single blinded, randomised clinical trial. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 52(22), 1464–1472. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2018-k1662rep> [published Online First: 2018/11/06].
- Mittinty, M. M., McNeil, D. W., Brennan, D. S., et al. (2018). Assessment of pain-related fear in individuals with chronic painful conditions. *Journal of Pain Research*, 11, 3071–3077. <https://doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S163751> [published Online First: 2018/12/18].
- Murphy, M., Rio, E., Debenham, J., et al. (2018b). Evaluating the progress OF mid-portion achilles tendinopathy during rehabilitation: A review OF outcome measures for self-reported pain and function. *Int J Sports Phys Ther*, 13(2), 283–292 [published Online First: 2018/08/10].
- Murphy, M., Travers, M., Gibson, W., et al. (2018a). Rate of improvement of pain and function in mid-portion achilles tendinopathy with loading protocols: A systematic review and longitudinal meta-analysis. *Sports Medicine*, 48(8), 1875–1891. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-018-0932-2> [published Online First: 2018/05/17].
- Murtezani, A., Ibraimi, Z., Villasolli, T. O., et al. (2015). Exercise and therapeutic ultrasound compared with corticosteroid injection for chronic lateral epicondylitis: A randomized controlled trial. *Ortopedia Traumatologia Rehabilitacja*, 17(4), 351–357. <https://doi.org/10.5604/15093492.1173377> [published Online First: 2015/10/16].
- Nagral, A. V., Herd, C. R., Ganvir, S., et al. (2009). Cyriax physiotherapy versus phonophoresis with supervised exercise in subjects with lateral epicondylalgia: A randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Manual & Manipulative Therapy*, 17(3), 171–178. <https://doi.org/10.1179/jmt.2009.17.3.171> [published Online First: 2010/01/05].
- Nejati, P., Ghahremaninia, A., Naderi, F., et al. (2017). Treatment of subacromial impingement syndrome: Platelet-rich plasma or exercise therapy? A randomized controlled trial. 2325967117702366 *Orthop J Sports Med*, 5(5). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2325967117702366> [published Online First: 2017/06/02].
- Peterson, M., Butler, S., Eriksson, M., et al. (2011). A randomized controlled trial of exercise versus wait-list in chronic tennis elbow (lateral epicondylitis). *Upsala Journal of Medical Sciences*, 116(4), 269–279. <https://doi.org/10.3109/03009734.2011.600476> [published Online First: 2011/11/10].
- Pienimäki, T. T., Tarvainen, T. K., Siira, P. T., et al. (1996). Progressive strengthening and stretching exercises and ultrasound for chronic lateral epicondylitis. *Physiotherapy*, 82(9), 522–530.
- Pincus, T., Burton, A. K., Vogel, S., et al. (2002). A systematic review of psychological factors as predictors of chronicity/disability in prospective cohorts of low back pain. *Spine*, 27(5), E109–E120.
- Plinsinga, M., Coombes, B., Mellor, R., et al. (2018). Psychological factors not strength deficits are associated with severity of gluteal tendinopathy: A cross-sectional study. *European Journal of Pain*, 22(6), 1124–1133.
- Ranger, T. A., Wong, A. M., Cook, J. L., et al. (2016). Is there an association between tendinopathy and diabetes mellitus? A systematic review with meta-analysis. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 50(16), 982–989. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2015-094735> [published Online First: 2015/11/26].
- Reichardt, M., Shiri, R., Karppinen, J., et al. (2010). Lifestyle and metabolic factors in relation to shoulder pain and rotator cuff tendinitis: A population-based study. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*, 11(1), 165.
- Rio, E. K., McAuliffe, S., Kuipers, I., et al. (2020). ICON PART-T 2019—international scientific tendinopathy symposium consensus: Recommended standards for reporting participant characteristics in tendinopathy research (PART-T). *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 54(11), 627–630.
- Rompe, J. D., Cacchio, A., Weil, L. Jr., et al. (2010). Plantar fascia-specific stretching versus radial shock-wave therapy as initial treatment of plantar fasciopathy. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*, 92(15), 2514–2522. <https://doi.org/10.2106/jbjs.l.01651> [published Online First: 2010/11/05].
- Rompe, J. D., Segal, N. A., Cacchio, A., et al. (2009). Home training, local corticosteroid injection, or radial shock wave therapy for greater trochanter pain syndrome. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 37(10), 1981–1990. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546509334374> [published Online First: 2009/05/15].
- Roos, E. M., Engstrom, M., Lagerquist, A., et al. (2004). Clinical improvement after 6 weeks of eccentric exercise in patients with mid-portion Achilles tendinopathy – a randomized trial with 1-year follow-up. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 14(5), 286–295. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0838.2004.378.x> [published Online First: 2004/09/25].
- Schulz, K. F., Altman, D. G., Moher, D., et al. (2010). CONSORT 2010 statement: Updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. *Trials*, 11(1), 32.
- Scott, A., Zwerver, J., Grewal, N., et al. (2015). Lipids, adiposity and tendinopathy: Is there a mechanistic link? Critical review. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 49(15), 984–988. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2014-093989> [published Online First: 2014/12/10].
- Shamseer, L., Moher, D., Clarke, M., et al. (2015). Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: Elaboration and explanation. *BMJ*, 349.
- Slade, S. C., Dionne, C. E., Underwood, M., et al. (2016). Consensus on exercise reporting template (CERT): Explanation and elaboration statement. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 50(23), 1428–1437.
- Smeets, R. J., Vlaeyen, J. W., Kester, A. D., et al. (2006). Reduction of pain catastrophizing mediates the outcome of both physical and cognitive-behavioral treatment in chronic low back pain. *The Journal of Pain*, 7(4), 261–271.
- Smidt, N., van der Windt, D. A., Assendelft, W. J., et al. (2002). Corticosteroid injections, physiotherapy, or a wait-and-see policy for lateral epicondylitis: A randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*, 359(9307), 657–662. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736\(02\)07811-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(02)07811-x) [published Online First: 2002/03/07].
- Stephens, G., O'Neill, S., Mottershead, C., et al. (2020). It's just like a needle going into my hip, basically all of the time". The experiences and perceptions of patients with Greater Trochanteric Pain syndrome in the UK National Health Service. *Musculoskeletal Sci Pract*, 47, 102175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msksp.2020.102175> [published Online First: 2020/05/27].
- Struijs, P. A., Kerkhoffs, G. M., Assendelft, W. J., et al. (2004a). Conservative treatment of lateral epicondylitis: Brace versus physical therapy or a combination of both—a randomized clinical trial. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 32(2), 462–469. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0095399703258714> [published Online First: 2004/02/24].
- Struijs, P. A. A., Kerkhoffs, G. M. M. J., Assendelft, W. J. J., et al. (2004b). Conservative treatment of lateral epicondylitis: Brace versus physical therapy or a combination of both—a randomized clinical trial. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 32(2), 462–469.
- Tonks, J. H., Pai, S. K., & Murali, S. R. (2007). Steroid injection therapy is the best conservative treatment for lateral epicondylitis: A prospective randomised controlled trial. *International Journal of Clinical Practice*, 61(2), 240–246. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-1241.2006.01140.x>
- Tseli, E., Boersma, K., Stålacke, B.-M., et al. (2019). Prognostic factors for physical functioning after multidisciplinary rehabilitation in patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Clinical Journal of Pain*, 35(2), 148.
- Van Middelkoop, M., Kolkman, J., Van Ochten, J., et al. (2008). Risk factors for lower extremity injuries among male marathon runners. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 18(6), 691–697. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0838.2007.00768.x> [published Online First: 2008/02/13].
- Van Spall, H. G., Toren, A., Kiss, A., et al. (2007). Eligibility criteria of randomized controlled trials published in high-impact general medical journals: A systematic sampling review. *Jama*, 297(11), 1233–1240.
- Van der Plas, A., de Jonge, S., de Vos, R.-J., et al. (2012). A 5-year follow-up study of Alfredson's heel-drop exercise programme in chronic midportion Achilles tendinopathy. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 46(3), 214–218.
- Vicenzino, B., de Vos, R.-J., Alfredson, H., et al. (2019). ICON 2019—international Scientific Tendinopathy Symposium Consensus: There are nine core health-related domains for tendinopathy (CORE DOMAINS): Delphi study of healthcare professionals and patients. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 58(4), 444–451. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2019-100894>
- Visnes, H., Høksrud, A., Cook, J., et al. (2005). No effect of eccentric training on jumper's knee in volleyball players during the competitive season: A randomized clinical trial. *Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine*, 15(4), 227–234. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.jsm.00000168073.82121.20> [published Online First: 2005/07/09].
- van der Vliet, A. C., Winters, M., Weir, A., et al. (2020). Which treatment is most effective for patients with achilles tendinopathy? A living systematic review with network meta-analysis of 29 randomised controlled trials. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2019-101872>
- Vuvan, V., Vicenzino, B., Mellor, R., et al. (2019). Unsupervised isometric exercise versus wait-and-see for lateral elbow tendinopathy. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*. <https://doi.org/10.1249/mss.0000000000002128> [published Online First: 2019/08/20].
- Walther, M., Werner, A., Stahlschmidt, T., et al. (2004). The subacromial impingement syndrome of the shoulder treated by conventional physiotherapy, self-training, and a shoulder brace: Results of a prospective, randomized study. *Journal of Shoulder and Elbow Surgery*, 13(4), 417–423. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2004.02.002> [published Online First: 2004/06/29].
- Waugh, E. J., Jaglal, S. B., Davis, A. M., et al. (2004). Factors associated with prognosis of lateral epicondylitis after 8 weeks of physical therapy. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 85(2), 308–318.
- Wen, D. Y., Schultz, B. J., Schaal, B., et al. (2011). Eccentric strengthening for chronic lateral epicondylitis: A prospective randomized study. *Sports Health*, 3(6), 500–503. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1941738111409690> [published Online First: 2012/09/28].
- Wertli, M. M., Schöb, M., Brunner, F., et al. (2013). Incomplete reporting of baseline characteristics in clinical trials: An analysis of randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews involving patients with chronic low back pain. *PLoS One*, 8(3).
- Winters, J. C., Sobel, J. S., Groenier, K. H., et al. (1997). Comparison of physiotherapy,

- manipulation, and corticosteroid injection for treating shoulder complaints in general practice: Randomised, single blind study. *BMJ*, 314(7090), 1320.
- Yelland, M., Rabago, D., Ryan, M., et al. (2019). Prolotherapy injections and physiotherapy used singly and in combination for lateral epicondylalgia: A single-blinded randomised clinical trial. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*, 20(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-019-2905-5>, 509–09.
- Yelland, M. J., Sweeting, K. R., Lyftogt, J. A., et al. (2011). Prolotherapy injections and eccentric loading exercises for painful achilles tendinosis: A randomised trial. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 45(5), 421–428. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjism.2009.057968> [published Online First: 2009/06/25].
- Zale, E. L., Lange, K. L., Fields, S. A., et al. (2013). The relation between pain-related fear and disability: A meta-analysis. *The Journal of Pain*, 14(10), 1019–1030.