



Invited Commentary

Metabolic changes after surgical fat removal: Current gaps and suggestions for future studies

Saif Badran ^{a,b,*}, Suhail A. Doi ^b

^a Division of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Washington University in St. Louis School of Medicine, Missouri, USA

^b Department of Population Medicine, College of Medicine, QU Health, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar

Received 25 December 2022; Accepted 7 April 2023

Dear Editor,

We read with interest the letter by Seretes et al. discussing the findings and limitations of our evidence synthesis regarding the metabolic implications of surgical subcutaneous fat removal (SSFR).¹ It is true that existing studies were of small sample size, heterogeneous in terms of baseline body mass index (BMI), type and amount of SSFR, gender differences, as well as participants' behaviour in terms of diet and exercise.² While this has a bearing on the results of this paper, a meta-analysis generates an average effect over the multiple studies and those till date^{3–8} have failed to generate consensus because they did not address the heterogeneity in follow-up duration among the included studies. Our dose-response meta-analysis (DRMA)¹ aimed not only to pool previous studies to reach a bigger sample size and stronger conclusion, but also to account for differences in

follow-up time. Thus, regardless of the existing heterogeneity in patient characteristics, there was a metabolic effect demonstrable for SSFR and these results are consistent with the observation that even a small amount of fat reduction can have a significant metabolic benefit on insulin sensitivity, inflammation, and blood pressure.^{9,10}

With the current advancement in our understanding regarding fat tissue being an active endocrine organ rather than an energy store, as well as the accelerating increase in demand for such body contouring surgeries (that lead to SSFR) to improve body shape quickly, it is essential to further investigate the metabolic changes after these surgeries, not only to confirm the safety of these procedures, but also to help us to understand the mechanisms underpinning the link between obesity and metabolic diseases and the impact of various patient differences on metabolic sequelae. Our meta-analysis is reassuring in that metabolic safety seems plausible and therefore the focus now needs to be on additional sources of population heterogeneity such as existing comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus and history of previous bariatric surgery,¹¹ which could alter the metabolic trajectory after SSFR. As Seretes aptly concludes, future controlled studies with homogenous samples, proper methodology, and adequate follow-up remain of high importance to clarify the role of different patient factors on

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjps.2023.01.017>

Abbreviations: SSFR, Surgical subcutaneous fat removal; NSSFR, Non-surgical subcutaneous fat removal; DRMA, Dose-response meta analysis

* Correspondence to: College of Medicine, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar.

E-mail address: saif_badran@hotmail.com (S. Badran).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjps.2023.04.008>

1748-6815/© 2023 British Association of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgeons. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

metabolism after surgical¹ (SSFR) and non-surgical¹² (NSSFR) subcutaneous fat removal.

Ethical Approval

Not applicable.

Funding

This project was supported by the Medical Research Office at Hamad Medical Corporation (#01-20-466) and the Qatar National Research Fund (Project #NPRP14S-0406-210153). The responsibility for the paper lies with the authors and there was no influence of the funder.

Conflict of interest statement

None.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Hamad Medical Corporation (grant ID 01-20-466) and Qatar National Research Fund (grant ID NPRP14S-0406-210153) for their support to this paper.

References

1. Badran S, Habib AM, Aljasseem G, et al. Metabolic changes after surgical fat removal: a dose-response meta-analysis. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 2023;**76**:238–50.
2. Badran S, Doi SA, Hamdi M, et al. Metabolic aspects of surgical subcutaneous fat removal: an umbrella review and implications for future research. *Bosn J Basic Med Sci* 2022;1.
3. Seretis K, Goulis DG, Koliakos G, Demiri E. Short- and long-term effects of abdominal lipectomy on weight and fat mass in females: a systematic review. *Obes Surg* 2015;**25**:1950–8.
4. Seretis K, Demiri E. Metabolic effects of large-volume liposuction for obese healthy women: a meta-analysis of fasting insulin levels. *Aesthet Plast Surg* 2015;**39**:278–9.
5. Boriani F, Villani R, Morselli PG. Metabolic effects of large-volume liposuction for obese healthy women: a meta-analysis of fasting insulin levels. *Aesthet Plast Surg* 2014;**38**:1050–6.
6. Danilla S, Longton C, Valenzuela K, et al. Suction-assisted lipectomy fails to improve cardiovascular metabolic markers of disease: a meta-analysis. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 2013;**66**:1557–63.
7. Sailon AM, Wasserburg JR, Kling RR, Pasick CM, Taub PJ. Influence of large-volume liposuction on metabolic and cardiovascular health: a systematic review. *Ann Plast Surg* 2017;**79**:623–30.
8. Seretis K, Goulis DG, Koliakos G, Demiri E. The effects of abdominal lipectomy in metabolic syndrome components and insulin sensitivity in females: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Metabolism* 2015;**64**:1640–9.
9. Ziccardi P, Nappo F, Giugliano G, et al. Reduction of inflammatory cytokine concentrations and improvement of endothelial functions in obese women after weight loss over one year. *Circulation* 2002;**105**:804–9.
10. Stevens VJ, Obarzanek E, Cook NR, et al. Long-term weight loss and changes in blood pressure: results of the Trials of Hypertension Prevention, phase II. *Ann Intern Med* 2001;**134**:1–11.
11. Badran S, Doi SA, Hammouda A, et al. The impact of prior obesity surgery on glucose metabolism after body contouring surgery: A pilot study. *Biomol Biomed* 2023. <https://doi.org/10.17305/bb.2023.8827>.
12. Badran S, Doi SA, Iskeirjeh S, et al. Metabolic changes after non-surgical fat removal: a dose response meta-analysis. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BJPS.2022.10.054>.