

## ON THE EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS OF A FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

By

I. A. GOMA

*Faculty of Science, University of Qatar.*

### INTRODUCTION

The interest towards the theory of functional differential equations in the last decades is great, due to the increasing circle of applications in various fields of science and technology. A detailed survey of the literature that reflects this theory is done in (1), (5), (6) and others. In this paper we are concerned with the problem:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = F(t, x, x(h(t, x))), x(0) = X_0, \quad (1)$$

where  $x$  is an element of Banach space  $E$ , and  $t \in [0, T]$ . Problem (1) has been investigated in (2). However, in the present paper an existence and uniqueness theorem is proved for weaker hypothesis than that needed in (2). The operator  $F$  is assumed to satisfy a generalized Lipschitz condition (9) of the types used by author in (3). We also prove the convergence of the successive approximations:

$$\begin{aligned} x'_{n+1}(t) &= (t, x_n(t), x_n(h(t, x_n(t)))) \\ x_0(t) &= x_0 \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

to the unique solution of (1) and determine the rate of convergence. We now prove the following lemma which will be used in our subsequent theorem.

Lemma

Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}(t, u, v)$  [ $0 \leq t \leq T, 0 \leq u \leq 2r, 0 \leq v \leq 2Cr$ ] is a nonnegative continuous function of the totality of its arguments and non-decreasing function of  $u, v$  and that the problem

$$\frac{du}{dt} = \mathcal{L}(t, u, Cu), u(0) = 0 \quad (3)$$

has only the trivial solution, moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Max } \mathcal{L}(t, 2r, 2Cr) &\leq 2r, \quad r T \leq r \\ 0 \leq t \leq T \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Then the function sequence

$$\mathcal{E}'_1(t) = \mathcal{Q}(t, 2r, 2Cr) \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \quad (5)$$

$$\mathcal{E}'_{n+1}(t) = \mathcal{Q}(t, \mathcal{E}_n(t), C\mathcal{E}_n(t)) \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_n(0) = 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

satisfies the conditions

$$1. \quad 0 \leq \mathcal{E}_{n-1}(t) \leq \mathcal{E}_n(t) \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \quad (7)$$

$$2. \quad \mathcal{E}_n(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (8)$$

uniformly w.r.t.  $t \in [0, T]$

Proof:

It follows from (4), (5), (6) and the fact that  $\mathcal{Q}(t, u, v)$  is a nondecreasing function, that

$$\mathcal{E}'_2(t) = \mathcal{Q}(t, \mathcal{E}_1(t), C\mathcal{E}_1(t)) \leq \mathcal{Q}(T, 2R, 2Cr) = \mathcal{E}'_1(t)$$

and  $\mathcal{E}_2(0) = \mathcal{E}_1(0) = 0$ , hence  $\mathcal{E}_2(t) \leq \mathcal{E}_1(t) \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$

We assume that  $\mathcal{E}_n(t) \leq \mathcal{E}_{n-1}(t) \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$

Since  $\mathcal{Q}(t, u, v)$  is nondecreasing we get

$$\mathcal{E}'_{n+1}(t) \leq \mathcal{Q}(t, \mathcal{E}_{n-1}(t), C\mathcal{E}_{n-1}(t)) = \mathcal{E}'_n(t)$$

and  $\mathcal{E}_{n+1}(0) = \mathcal{E}_n(0) = 0$ , hence (7) is proved

$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}_n(t) = \mathcal{E}(t)$  uniformly w.r.t.  $t \in [0, T]$

taking the limit in

$$\mathcal{E}_{n-1}(t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{Q}(S, \mathcal{E}_n(S), C\mathcal{E}_n(S)) ds$$

and using the fact that the problem (3) has only the trivial solution we conclude that  $\mathcal{E}(t) \equiv 0 \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$

Theorem

Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied

A - The operator  $F(t, x, y)$  is continuous with respect to the totality of its arguments on  $Q = \{ [0, T], \|x - x_0\| \leq r, \|y - x_0\| \leq r \}$  and satisfying in  $Q$  the condition

$$\|F(t, x, y) - F(t, x, y)\| \leq \mathcal{Q}(t, \|x - x\|, \|y - u\|) \quad (9)$$

$$\text{and } \|F(t, x, y)\| \leq r \quad (10)$$

B - The function  $h(t, x)$  is continuous with respect to  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $\|x - x_0\| \leq r$  into the closed interval  $[0, T]$  and satisfying

$$\|h(t, x) - h(t, \bar{x})\| \leq L \|x - \bar{x}\| \quad (11)$$

$$0 \leq h(t, x) \leq t \quad (12)$$

C - The function  $\mathcal{C}(t, u, v)$  satisfies the conditions of the lemma for  $C = 1 + Lr'$

Then the problem (1) has a unique solution  $x^*(t)$  and the sequence of abstract functions determined by (2) Converges to this solution. Moreover the rate of convergence is determined by

$$\|x_0(t) - x^*(t)\| \leq \mathcal{E}_n(t) \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \quad (13)$$

Proof:

I. We prove that  $\|x'_n(t)\| \leq r'$ ,  $\|x_n(t) - x_0\| \leq r$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  (14)

Let  $\|x'_n(t)\| \leq r'$  and  $\|x_n(t) - x_0\| \leq r$  then follows from (10) that

$$\|x'_{n+1}(t)\| = \|F(t, x_n(t), x_n(h(t, x_n(t))))\| \leq r'$$

$$\therefore \|x_{n+1}(t) - x_0\| \leq r$$

Since  $\|x'_1(t)\| \leq r'$  and  $\|x_1(t) - x_0\| \leq r$  hence (14) is proved.

II. We prove that

$$\|x_n(t) - x_m(t)\| \leq \mathcal{E}_n(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad n \leq m, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (15)$$

From (9), (14), (11), (12) and the fact that  $\mathcal{C}(t, u, v)$  is a nondecreasing function we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x'_1(t) - x'_m(t)\| &= \|F(t, x_0, x_0) - F(t, x_{m-1}(t), x_{m-1}(h(t, x_{m-1}(t))))\| \\ &\leq \mathcal{C}(t, 2r, (1 + Lr')2r) = \mathcal{E}'_1(t) \end{aligned}$$

and  $\|x_1(0) - x_m(0)\| = 0$  hence

$$\|x_1(t) - x_m(t)\| \leq \mathcal{E}_1(t) \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

We assume that (15) holds for  $m \geq n$  and prove that it holds for  $m \geq n + 1$

$$\text{Let } \|X_n(t) - X_m(t)\| \leq \mathcal{E}_n(t) \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

From (9), (14), (11), (12) and the fact that  $\mathcal{C}(t, u, v)$  is nonnegative and nondecreasing it follows that

$$\|x'_{n+1}(t) - x'_m(t)\| = \|F(t, x_n(t), x_n(h(t, x_n(t)))) -$$

$$F(t, x_{m-1}(t), x_{m-1}(h(t, x_{m-1}(t))))\| \leq$$

$$\leq \mathcal{C}(t, \|x_n(t) - x_{m-1}(t)\|, \|x_n(h(t, x_n(t))) - x_n(h(t, x_{m-1}(t)))\| +$$

$$\|x_n(h(t, x_{m-1}(t))) - x_{m-1}(h(t, x_{m-1}(t)))\|)$$

$$\leq \mathcal{C}(t, \mathcal{E}_n(t), \mathcal{E}_n(t)(1 + Lr')) = \mathcal{E}'_{n-1}(t) \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

and  $\|x_{n-1}(0) - x_m(0)\| = 0$

$$\therefore \| x_{n-1}(t) - x_m(t) \| \leq \mathcal{E}_{n-1}(t) \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

Relation (15) now proved by induction and (8) implies that  $x_n(t) \rightarrow x^*(t)$

III. Conversion to the limit in (2) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  confirms that  $x^*(t)$  is a solution of (1)

IV. To prove that the solution is unique let  $y^*(t)$  be another solution then by the same method as (15) was proved it can be shown that

$$\| x_n(t) - y^*(t) \| \leq \mathcal{E}_n(t) \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

hence letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we conclude that  $x^*(t) = y^*(t) \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$

V. Now taking the limit in (15) for  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain the estimate (13) of the rate of convergence; this completes the proof.

Remark:

A sufficient condition for problem (3) to have only the trivial solution is that  $\mathcal{C}(t, u, cu) = \Psi(t)\omega(u)$  and  $\omega(u)$  is an Osguda function (see (4), (7)), and this include the case when  $\mathcal{C}(t, u, v) =$

$$L_1(t)u + L_2(t)v$$

#### REFERENCES

- Bainov, D.D., Konstatinov, M.M. 1977.** Studies of the fundamental theory and stability theory of differential equations, with deviating argument. In "Differential equations with deviating argument". Publ. House "Naukova dumka" Kiev, 35-42 (in Russian).
- Czerwik, S. 1979.** Existence and uniqueness of solutions of a functional differential equation. *Publications Mathematicae*, Vol. 26, No. 3-4 November, 1979, 161-165.
- Goma, I.A. 1975.** Theory of semiexplicit differential equations with time-lag. *Differentsialnye Uravneniya*, Vol. 11, No. 6, Jun 1975 pp. 975-985.
- Hartman, P. 1964.** Ordinary differential equations, John Wiley & Sons.
- Hale, J. 1977.** Theory of functional differential equations Springer-Verlag.
- Mishkis, A.D. 1977.** On some problems of the theory of differential equations with deviating argument. *Usp. Math. Nauk*, Vol. XXXII, issue 2, 1977 pp. 173-202.
- Pitruvisky, I.G. 1952.** Lectures on the theory of differential equations M-L Moscow.

## حول وجود الحل ووحدته لاحدى المعدلات التفاضلية الدالية

إبراهيم أحمد جمعه

في هذا البحث درست في فراغ بناخ الشروط الكافية لوجود الحل ووحدته للمسألة الابتدائية .

$$dx(t)$$

$$dt$$

$$= F (t,x(t), x \quad h(t,x(t)) ) , x(0) = x_0$$

كذلك انشئت متابعة من الدوال التي تتقارب إلى هذا الحل واعطيت معادلة لحساب معدل

التقارب .