# TOTAL ASYMPTOTICALLY NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS IN UNIFORMLY CONVEX METRIC SPACES

Hafiz Fukhar-ud-din<sup>1</sup> and Safeer Hussain Khan<sup>2</sup>

We approximate common fixed point of a pair of total asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in the setting of a uniformly convex metric space. The proposed algorithm is computationally simpler than the existing ones in the literature of metric fixed point theory. Our results are new and are valid in Hilbert spaces, CAT(0) spaces and uniformly convex Banach spaces satisfying Opial's property, simultaneously.

**Keywords:** Convex metric space, total asymptotically nonexpansive mapping, jointly demiclosed principle, common fixed point, iterative algorithm, convergence.

2010 MSC: 47H09, 47H10.

### 1. Introduction

Let C be a nonempty subset of a metric space X and  $T:C\to C$  a mapping. A point  $x\in C$  is a fixed point of T if Tx=x. Denote the set of all fixed points of T by F(T). We say that the mapping T is:

(i) contraction if there exists  $k \in (0,1)$  such that  $d(Tx,Ty) \leq kd(x,y)$  for all  $x,y \in C$  (ii) nonexpansive if  $d(Tx,Ty) \leq d(x,y)$  for all  $x,y \in C$  (iii) asymptotically nonexpansive mapping if there is a nonnegative real sequence  $\{k_n\}$  such that  $k_n \to 0$  and  $d(T^nx,T^ny) \leq (1+k_n)d(x,y)$  for all  $x,y \in C$ ,  $n \geq 1$  (iv) generalized asymptotically nonexpansive if there are nonnegative real sequences  $\{k_n^1\}$  and  $\{k_n^2\}$  with  $k_n^1 \to 0$  and  $k_n^2 \to 0$  such that  $d(T^nx,T^ny) \leq (1+k_n^1)d(x,y)+k_n^2$  for all  $x,y \in C$ ,  $n \geq 1$  (v) asymptotically nonexpansive in the intermediate sense if it is continuous and  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x,y\in C} (d(T^nx,T^ny)-d(x,y)) \leq 0$  (vi) total asymptotically nonexpansive [2] if there exist nonnegative real sequences  $\{k_n^1\},\{k_n^2\}$  with  $k_n^1 \to 0, k_n^2 \to 0$  and a strictly increasing continuous function  $\psi:[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$  with  $\psi(0)=0$  and  $d(T^nx,T^ny)\leq d(x,y)+k_n^1\psi(d(x,y))+k_n^2$  for all  $x,y\in C,\ n\geq 1$  (vii) uniformly L-Lipschitzian if  $d(T^nx,T^ny)\leq Ld(x,y)$  for all  $x,y\in C,\ n\geq 1$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia, e-mail: hfdin@kfupm.edu.sa

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Professor, Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Physics, Qatar University, Doha 2713, Qatar, e-mail: safeer@qu.edu.qa, safeerhussain5@yahoo.com

(vii) uniformly continuous if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that  $d(Tx, Ty) < \varepsilon$  whenever  $d(x, y) < \delta$ .

Every uniformly L-Lipschitzian mapping is uniformly continuous but the converse is not true in general. The function  $T(x) = \sqrt{x}$  is uniformly continuous on  $[0, \infty)$  but not Lipschitz. The class of total asymptotically nonexpansive mappings is the most general as it includes the classes of mappings mentioned in (ii)-(vi).

The Banach contraction principle is of metrical nature and its proof hings on Picard iterations. This principle is applicable to a variety of subjects such as integral equations, partial differential equations and image process. Picard iterative algorithm fails to converge for nonexpansive mappings on a Banach space. Krasnoselskii, Mann and Ishikawa iterative algorithms are employed for the approximation of fixed points of the classes (ii)-(vi) in Hilbert spaces, Banach spaces, CAT(0) spaces and convex metric spaces (see for example, [2, 4, 6, 13, 26]).

To approximate common fixed point of two asymptotic nonlinear mappings  $T_1, T_2 : C \to C$  in a linear domain, many authors have used the following modified Ishikawa's iterative algorithm[8]:

$$x_{1} = x \in C, x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_{n}) x_{n} + \alpha_{n} T_{1}^{n} y_{n} y_{n} = (1 - \beta_{n}) x_{n} + \beta_{n} T_{2}^{n} x_{n}$$

$$(1)$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  are sequences in (0,1) (also see [5, 9]).

Abbas et al. [1] introduced a new one—step iterative algorithm to compute common fixed point of two asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in uniformly convex Banach spaces. For two asymptotically nonexpansive mappings  $T_1, T_2: C \to C$ , they defined the following iterative algorithm:

$$x_1 = x \in C, x_{n+1} = \alpha_n T_1^n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) T_2^n x_n$$
 (2)

where  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is a sequence in (0,1).

It is worth to mention that algorithm (2) is of independent interest and is computationally simpler than the algorithm (1) to approximate common fixed point of two asymptotic nonlinear mappings. Neither (1) implies (2) nor conversely. However, when  $T_1 = I$  (the identity mapping),  $T_2 = T$ , both (1) and (2) reduce to the following Mann's iterative algorithm:

$$x_1 = x \in C,$$
  
 $x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) T^n x_n.$  (3)

A mapping  $W: X^2 \times [0,1] \to X$  is a convex structure on a metric space X [16] if it satisfies the following inequality

$$d(u, W(x, y, \alpha)) \le \alpha d(u, x) + (1 - \alpha)d(u, y)$$

for all  $u, x, y \in X$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . A subset C of X is convex if  $W(x, y, \alpha) \in C$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .

A convex metric space X is uniformly convex [14] if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that  $d\left(z, W\left(x, y, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \le r\left(1 - \delta\left(\varepsilon\right)\right) < r$  for all r > 0 and  $x, y, z \in X$  with  $d\left(z, x\right) \le r, d\left(z, y\right) \le r$  and  $d\left(x, y\right) \ge r\varepsilon$ .

Uniformly convex Banach space is linear while CAT(0) space is a nonlinear uniformly convex metric space. An example of a convex metric space due to Goebel and Reich [7] is stated as follows:

Let  $B_H$  be the open unit ball in a general complex Hilbert space H and  $k_{B_H}$  a metric on  $B_H$  (known as Kobayashi distance) defined as

$$k_{B_H}(x,y) = \tanh^{-1} (1 - \sigma(x,y))^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where

$$\sigma(x,y) = \frac{\left(1 - \|x\|^2\right) \left(1 - \|y\|^2\right)}{\left|1 - \langle x, y \rangle\right|^2} \text{ for all } x, y \in B_H.$$

The open unit ball  $B_H$  together with the metric  $k_{B_H}$  is named as a Hilbert ball. One can define a convex structure W for the corresponding convex metric space  $(B_H, k_{B_H})$ .

In a convex metric space, (2) becomes:

$$x_1 = x \in C, \ x_{n+1} = W(T_1^n x_n, T_2^n x_n, \alpha_n) \text{ for all } n \ge 1$$
 (4)

where  $\max (\alpha_n, 1 - \alpha_n) \le \delta$  for some  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ .

When  $T_2 = I, T_1 = T$  in (3), it becomes the following Mann iterative algorithm[10]:

$$x_{n+1} = W\left(T^n x_n, x_n, \alpha_n\right) \text{ for all } n \ge 1.$$
 (5)

The fixed point theory of nonexpansive mappings and its various generalizations majorly depends on the geometrical characteristics of the under consideration space. The class of nonexpansive mappings enjoys the fixed point property(FPP) and the approximate fixed point property(AFPP) in various settings of spaces, see for example [11] for the later property for the class of nonexpansive mappings. Therefore, it is natural to extend such results to generalized classes of nonexpansive mappings as a mean of testing the limit of the theory of nonexpansive mappings. It is remarked that FPP and AFPP of various generalized classes of nonexpansive mappings are still developing in a linear and nonlinear domains. The class of uniformly convex metric space is endowed with rich geometric structures which are helpful to obtain new results. Metric fixed point theory of nonlinear mappings in a general setup of convex metric spaces is a fascinating field of research in nonlinear functional analysis. Moreover, iterative algorithms are the only main tool to study fixed point problems of nonexpansive mappings and its generalized classes in spaces of non-positive sectional curvature.

Our purpose in this paper is to approximate common fixed point of a pair of total asymptotically nonexpansive mappings through  $\triangle$ -convergence

and strong convergence of iterative algorithm (4) in the general setup of convex metric spaces. Our new setting includes, as special cases, Hilbert spaces, uniformly convex Banach spaces with Opial's property and CAT(0) spaces, simultaneously.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some required definitions and state some needed results.

For a bounded sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a metric space X, set  $r(x, \{x_n\}) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} d(x, x_n)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

The asymptotic radius of  $\{x_n\}$  with respect to  $C \subseteq X$  is defined as

$$r(\lbrace x_n\rbrace) = \inf_{x \in C} r\left(x, \lbrace x_n\rbrace\right).$$

A point  $y \in C$  is called the asymptotic center of  $\{x_n\}$  with respect to  $C \subseteq X$  if

$$r(y, \{x_n\}) \le r(x, \{x_n\})$$
 for all  $x \in C$ .

The set of all asymptotic centers of  $\{x_n\}$  is denoted by  $A(\{x_n\})$ .

A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X,  $\triangle$ -converges to  $x \in X$  if x is the unique asymptotic center of  $\{y_n\}$  for every subsequence  $\{y_n\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$ . A mapping  $T: C \to C$  satisfies demiclosed principle if a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in C that  $\triangle$ -converges to a point  $x \in C$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$ , then  $x \in F(T)$ . A pair of mappings  $T_1, T_2: C \to C$  satisfies the jointly demiclosed principle [12] if  $\{x_n\}$   $\triangle$ -converges to a point  $x \in C$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(T_1x, T_2x) = 0$ , then  $x \in F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$ .

Let 
$$\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N}) = \left\{ w = (w_{1}, w_{2}, ..., w_{n}, ...) : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|w_{n}\|^{2} < \infty \right\}$$
  
with  $\|w\| = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|w_{n}\|^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

Naraghirad has shown in [12] that there exists mappings  $T_1, T_2 : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  which satisfy the jointly demiclosed principle but  $T_1$  does not satisfy demiclosed principle.

Let  $h:[0,\infty)\to [0,\infty)$  be a nondecreasing function with h(0)=0 and f(h)>0 for every h>0. Then the mappings  $T_1,T_2:C\to C$  with  $F=F(T_1)\cap F(T_2)\neq \phi$ , satisfy condition(J) if

$$d(T_1x, T_2x) \ge h(d(x, F))$$
 for all  $x \in C$ 

and condition(D) if

$$\max(d(x, T_1x), d(x, T_2x)) \ge h(d(x, F))$$
 for all  $x \in C$ 

where  $d(x, F) = \inf_{z \in F} d(x, z)$ .

Note that condition (J) and condition(D) becomes condition(A) [15] if either  $T_1$  (or  $T_2$ ) = I (the identity mapping).

In the sequel, the following lemmas will be needed.

**Lemma 2.1.** [17] If  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}$  and  $\{c_n\}$  are nonnegative real sequences satisfying

$$a_{n+1} \le (1+b_n)a_n + c_n \text{ for all } n \ge 1, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n < \infty \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n < \infty,$$

then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n$  exists.

**Lemma 2.2.** [3] Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a complete and uniformly convex metric space X. Then every bounded sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X has a unique asymptotic center with respect to C that lies in C.

**Lemma 2.3.** [4] Let X be a uniformly convex metric space. Let  $x \in X$  and  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence in  $[b_1, b_2]$  for some  $b_1, b_2 \in (0, 1)$ . If  $\{u_n\}$  and  $\{v_n\}$  are sequences in X such that  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} d(u_n, x) \le r$ ,  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} d(v_n, x) \le r$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(W(u_n, v_n, a_n), x) = r$  for some  $r \ge 0$ , then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(u_n, v_n) = 0$ .

# 3. Convergence Analysis

We start with the following technical lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a uniformly convex metric space X. Let  $T_i$   $(i = 1, 2) : C \to C$  be total asymptotically non-expansive mappings where sequences  $\{k_{n,i}^1\}, \{k_{n,i}^2\}$  and functions  $\psi_i$  satisfy the following conditions:

(C1): 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{n,i}^1 < \infty \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_{n,i}^2 < \infty;$$

- (C2): there exist constants  $a_i, b_i > 0$  such that  $\psi_i(t) \leq a_i t$  for all  $t \geq b_i$ .
- If  $F = F(T_1) \cap F(T_2) \neq \phi$  and  $\{x_n\}$  is the sequence in (4), then we have the followings assertions:
- (i)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, x)$  exists for each  $x \in F$
- (ii)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(T_1^n x_n, T_2^n x_n) = 0$
- (iii)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_{n+1}, T_j^n x_n) = 0$  for j = 1, 2.

*Proof.* By (C2) and the strictly increasing function  $\psi_i$ , it follows that

$$\psi_i(t) \le \psi_i(b_i) + a_i t \text{ for } i = 1, 2. \tag{6}$$

With the help of (6), we calculate for  $x \in F$  that

$$d(x_{n+1}, x) = d(W(T_1^n x_n, T_2^n x_n, \alpha_n), x)$$

$$\leq \alpha_n d(T_1^n x_n, x) + (1 - \alpha_n) d(T_2^n x_n, x)$$

$$\leq \alpha_n \left[ d(x_n, x) + k_{n,1}^1 \psi_1 (d(x_n, x)) + k_{n,1}^2 \right]$$

$$+ (1 - \alpha_n) \left[ d(x_n, x) + k_{n,2}^1 \psi_2 (d(x_n, x)) + k_{n,2}^2 \right]$$

$$\leq \alpha_n \left[ d(x_n, x) + k_{n,1}^1 \left[ \psi_1 (b_1) + a_1 d(x_n, x) \right] + k_{n,1}^2 \right]$$

$$+ (1 - \alpha_n) \left[ d(x_n, x) + k_{n,2}^1 \left[ \psi_2 (b_2) + a_2 d(x_n, x) \right] + k_{n,2}^2 \right]$$

$$= \left[ 1 + a_1 \alpha_n k_{n,1}^1 + a_2 (1 - \alpha_n) k_{n,2}^1 \right] d(x_n, x)$$

$$+ \alpha_n k_{n,1}^1 \psi_1 (b_1) + (1 - \alpha_n) k_{n,2}^1 \psi_2 (b_2)$$

$$+ \alpha_n k_{n,1}^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) k_{n,2}^2$$

$$\leq \left[ 1 + a \delta \left( k_{n,1}^1 + k_{n,2}^1 \right) \right] d(x_n, x)$$

$$+ \delta a \left( k_{n,1}^1 + k_{n,2}^1 \right) + \delta \left( k_{n,1}^2 + k_{n,2}^2 \right)$$

where  $a = \max_{1 \le i \le 2} (a_i, \psi_i(b_i))$  and  $\max (\alpha_n, 1 - \alpha_n) \le \delta$ .

By Lemma 2.1, we see that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, x)$  exists for each  $x \in F$ , thus proving (i).

Next, let  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, x) = c$ . For c = 0, there is nothing to prove. Suppose c > 0. Since

$$\lim \sup_{n \to \infty} d(T_1^n x_n, x) \le c, \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} d(T_2^n x_n, x) \le c$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d\left(W\left(T_1^n x_n, T_2^n x_n, \alpha_n\right), x\right) = c,$$

therefore by Lemma 2.3, we get that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(T_1^n x_n, T_2^n x_n) = 0, \tag{7}$$

that is (ii).

To prove (iii), we use the definition of  $\{x_n\}$  to get that

$$d(x_{n+1}, T_j^n x_n) = d(W(T_1^n x_n, T_2^n x_n, \alpha_n), T_j^n x_n)$$

$$\leq (1 - \alpha_n) d(T_1^n x_n, T_2^n x_n)$$

$$\leq \delta d(T_1^n x_n, T_2^n x_n).$$

Finally with the help of (7), we have that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_{n+1}, T_j^n x_n) = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, 2.$$

Now we are in a position to approximate common fixed point of the mappings  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  through  $\triangle$ —convergence of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined in (4). Our first result in this direction uses L—Lipschitzian property of the mappings and the second one uses uniform continuity.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a complete and uniformly convex metric space X. Let  $T_i$   $(i = 1, 2) : C \to C$  be uniformly L-Lipschitzian and total asymptotically nonexpansive mappings satisfying jointly demiclosed principle and conditions (C1) - (C2) given in Lemma 3.1. If  $F \neq \phi$  and  $\{x_n\}$  is the sequence given in (4) with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0$ , then  $\{x_n\}$   $\triangle$ -converges to an element of F.

*Proof.* Note that

$$d(T_{1}x_{n+1}, T_{2}x_{n+1}) \leq d(T_{1}x_{n+1}, T_{1}^{n+1}x_{n+1}) + d(T_{1}^{n+1}x_{n+1}, T_{2}^{n+1}x_{n+1})$$

$$+ d(T_{2}^{n+1}x_{n+1}, T_{2}^{n+1}x_{n}) + d(T_{2}^{n+1}x_{n}, T_{2}x_{n+1})$$

$$\leq Ld(x_{n+1}, T_{1}^{n}x_{n+1}) + d(T_{1}^{n+1}x_{n+1}, T_{2}^{n+1}x_{n+1})$$

$$+ Ld(x_{n+1}, x_{n}) + Ld(T_{2}^{n}x_{n}, x_{n+1})$$

$$\leq L\left[d(x_{n+1}, T_{1}^{n}x_{n}) + d(T_{1}^{n}x_{n}, T_{1}^{n}x_{n+1})\right] + Ld(x_{n+1}, x_{n})$$

$$+ d(T_{1}^{n+1}x_{n+1}, T_{2}^{n+1}x_{n+1}) + Ld(T_{2}^{n}x_{n}, x_{n+1})$$

$$\leq L\left[d(x_{n+1}, T_{1}^{n}x_{n}) + d(x_{n+1}, T_{2}^{n}x_{n})\right]$$

$$+ d(T_{1}^{n+1}x_{n+1}, T_{2}^{n+1}x_{n+1}) + L(1 + L)d(x_{n+1}, x_{n}).$$

This inequality together with Lemma 3.1 (ii)-(iii) and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_{n+1},x_n)=0$  gives that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(T_1 x_n, T_2 x_n) = 0.$$
(8)

Suppose that  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  satisfy jointly demiclosed principle. Let  $\{y_n\}$  be any subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $A(\{y_n\}) = \{y\}$ . As  $\{y_n\}$ ,  $\triangle$ —converges to y and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(T_1 y_n, T_2 y_n) = 0,$$

so  $y \in F$ . Therefore  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, y)$  exists by Lemma 6. If  $x \neq y$ , then by the uniqueness of asymptotic centres (Lemma 2.2), we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} d(y_n, y) < \limsup_{n \to \infty} d(y_n, x) 
\leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, x) 
< \limsup_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, y) 
= \limsup_{n \to \infty} d(y_n, y),$$

a contradiction. Hence x = y.

Therefore,  $A(\{y_n\}) = \{x\}$  for all subsequences  $\{y_n\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$ . This proves that  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\triangle$ -converges to an element of F.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a complete and uniformly convex metric space X. Let  $T_i$   $(i = 1, 2) : C \to C$  be uniformly continuous and total asymptotically nonexpansive mappings satisfying the inequality:  $d(x_n, T_1^n x_n) \leq d(T_1^n x_n, T_2^n x_n)$  and conditions(C1) - (C2) given in

Lemma 3.1. If  $F \neq \phi$  and  $\{x_n\}$  is the sequence in (4), then  $\{x_n\} \triangle$ -converges to an element of F.

*Proof.* The given inequality

$$d\left(x_n, T_1^n x_n\right) \le d\left(T_1^n x_n, T_2^n x_n\right)$$

together with Lemma3.1 (ii) provides that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d\left(x_n, T_1^n x_n\right) = 0. \tag{9}$$

Next the inequality

$$d(x_n, T_2^n x_n) \le d(x_n, T_1^n x_n) + d(T_1^n x_n, T_2^n x_n),$$

Lemma 3.1 (ii) and (9) all together imply that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d\left(x_n, T_2^n x_n\right) = 0. \tag{10}$$

Also the following inequality

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \le d(x_{n+1}, T_2^n x_n) + d(x_n, T_2^n x_n),$$

Lemma 3.1 (iii) and (10) all together provide that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d\left(x_{n+1}, x_n\right) = 0. \tag{11}$$

Finally the inequality

$$d(x_{n+1}, T_j x_{n+1}) \leq d(x_{n+1}, T_j^{n+1} x_{n+1}) + d(T_j^{n+1} x_{n+1}, T_j^{n+1} x_n) + d(T_j(T_j^n x_n), T_j x_{n+1})$$

with the help of Lemma 3.1 (iii), (9)-(11) and uniform continuity of  $T_j$  yields that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, T_j x_n) = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, 2.$$
 (12)

It has been taken in Theorem 3.1 that  $A(\lbrace x_n \rbrace) = \lbrace x \rbrace$  and  $A(\lbrace y_n \rbrace) = \lbrace y \rbrace$  for any subsequence  $\lbrace y_n \rbrace$  of  $\lbrace x_n \rbrace$ . Also for the subsequence  $\lbrace y_n \rbrace$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(y_n, T_j y_n) = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, 2.$$
 (13)

Define a sequence  $\{z_i\}$  in C by  $z_i = T_1^i y$ . In the presence of strictly increasing function  $\psi_1$ ,(C2) and uniformly L-Lipschitzian mapping  $T_1$ , we calculate that

$$d(z_{i}, y_{n}) \leq d(T_{1}^{i}y, T_{1}^{i}y_{n}) + d(T_{1}^{i}y_{n}, T_{1}^{i-1}y_{n}) + \dots + d(T_{1}y_{n}, y_{n})$$

$$\leq d(y, y_{n}) + k_{n,1}^{1}\psi_{1}(d(y, y_{n})) + k_{n,1}^{2} + \sum_{r=0}^{i-1} d(T_{1}^{r}y_{n}, T_{1}^{r+1}y_{n})$$

$$\leq (1 + k_{n,1}^{1}a_{1}) d(y, y_{n}) + k_{n,1}^{1}\psi_{1}(b_{1}) + k_{n,1}^{2} + \sum_{r=1}^{i-1} d(T_{1}^{r}y_{n}, T_{1}^{r+1}y_{n})$$

$$\leq (1 + k_{n,1}^{1}a_{1}) d(y, y_{n}) + k_{n,1}^{1}\psi_{1}(b_{1}) + k_{n,1}^{2} + iLd(T_{1}y_{n}, y_{n}).$$

This estimate together with (13) implies that

$$r(z_i, \{y_n\}) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} d(z_i, y_n) \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} d(y, y_n) = r(y, \{y_n\}).$$

That is,  $|r(z_i, \{y_n\}) - r(y, \{y_n\})| \to 0$  as  $i \to \infty$ . It follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $\lim_{i\to\infty} T_1^i y = y$ . Utilizing the uniform continuity of  $T_j$ , we have that  $T_j(y) = T_j(\lim_{i\to\infty} T_j^i y) = \lim_{i\to\infty} T_j^{i+1} y = y$ . Therefore  $y \in F(T_1)$ . Similarly, we can show that  $y \in F(T_2)$ . That is,  $y \in F$ . The rest of the proof is the same as carried out in Theorem 3.1.

We now prove a strong convergence theorem in general convex metric space.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a convex metric space X. Let  $T_i$   $(i = 1, 2) : C \to C$  be total asymptotically nonexpansive mappings satisfying conditions (C1) - (C2) given in Lemma 3.1. If  $F \neq \phi$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  given in (4), strongly converges to an element of F if and only if  $\lim \inf_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Necessity is obvious. Conversely, suppose that  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$ . In the proof of Lemma 3.1, we have shown that

$$d(x_{n+1}, x) \leq \left[1 + a\delta\left(k_{n,1}^{1} + k_{n,2}^{1}\right)\right] d(x_{n}, x) + \delta a\left(k_{n,1}^{1} + k_{n,2}^{1}\right) + \delta\left(k_{n,1}^{2} + k_{n,2}^{2}\right).$$

$$(14)$$

On setting  $d_n^1 = a\delta\left(k_{n,1}^1 + k_{n,2}^1\right)$  and  $d_n^2 = \delta a\left(k_{n,1}^1 + k_{n,2}^1\right) + \delta\left(k_{n,1}^2 + k_{n,2}^2\right)$ , we note that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n^1 < \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n^2 < \infty$ . Hence (14) becomes

$$d(x_{n+1}, x) \le (1 + d_n^1) d(x_n, x) + d_n^2.$$
(15)

By taking  $\inf_{x \in F}$  on both sides of (15), we obtain that

$$d(x_{n+1}, F) \le (1 + d_n^1) d(x_n, F) + d_n^2$$
.

Applying Lemma 2.1 to (15), we get that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F)$  exists; but by the hypothesis  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$ , we conclude that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$ . Next, we claim that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Assume that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n^1 = d^0$  and hence  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1+d_n^1) = d^0$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \ge 1$  such that  $d(x_{n_0}, F) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4d^0+4}$  and  $\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} d_n^2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{4d^0}$ . Let  $m > n \ge n_0$ . Then with the help

of (15), we obtain

$$d(x_{m}, x_{n}) \leq d(x_{m}, F) + d(x_{n}, F)$$

$$\leq \prod_{i=n_{0}}^{m-1} (1 + d_{i}^{1}) d(x_{n_{0}}, F) + \prod_{i=n_{0}}^{m-1} (1 + d_{i}^{1}) \sum_{n=n_{0}}^{m-1} d_{i}^{2}$$

$$+ \prod_{i=n_{0}}^{n-1} (1 + d_{i}^{1}) d(x_{n_{0}}, F) + \prod_{i=n_{0}}^{n-1} (1 + d_{i}^{1}) \sum_{n=n_{0}}^{n-1} d_{i}^{2}$$

$$\leq \prod_{i=n_{0}}^{\infty} (1 + d_{i}^{1}) d(x_{n_{0}}, F) + \prod_{i=n_{0}}^{\infty} (1 + d_{i}^{1}) \sum_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty} d_{i}^{2}$$

$$+ \prod_{i=n_{0}}^{\infty} (1 + d_{i}^{1}) d(x_{n_{0}}, F) + \prod_{i=n_{0}}^{\infty} (1 + d_{i}^{1}) \sum_{n=n_{0}}^{\infty} d_{i}^{2}$$

$$< 2 \left[ (1 + d^{0}) \frac{\varepsilon}{4d^{0} + 4} + \frac{\varepsilon d^{0}}{4d^{0}} \right] = \varepsilon.$$

This proves that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in C. Let  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = q$ . Then  $d(q, F) = d(\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n, F) = \lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$ . As F is closed, so we obtain  $q \in F$ . Hence  $\{x_n\}$  strongly converges to a point of F.

Our next theorems are applications of Theorem 3.3 and make use of  $\operatorname{condition}(J)$  and  $\operatorname{condition}(D)$  .

**Theorem 3.4.** Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a complete and uniformly convex metric space X. Let  $T_i$   $(i = 1, 2) : C \to C$  be uniformly L-Lipschitzian and total asymptotically nonexpansive mappings satisfying condition(J) and conditions (C1) - (C2) as given in Lemma 3.1. If  $\{x_n\}$  is the sequence in (4) with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  strongly converges to an element of F.

*Proof.* In the proofs of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.3, we have shown that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(T_1x_n, T_2x_n) = 0$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F)$  exists, respectively.

By using condition (J), we have that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} h(d(x_n, F)) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} d(T_1 x_n, T_2 x_n) = 0.$$

Since h is a nondecreasing function and h(0) = 0, therefore  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$ . Now, applying Theorem 3.3, we get the required conclusion.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a complete and uniformly convex metric space X. Let  $T_i$   $(i = 1, 2) : C \to C$  be uniformly continuous and total asymptotically nonexpansive mappings satisfying condition(D) and conditions (C1)-(C2) given in Lemma 3.1. If  $\{x_n\}$  is the sequence in (4) with  $d(x_n, T_1^n x_n) \leq d(T_1^n x_n, T_2^n x_n)$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  strongly converges to an element of F.

*Proof.* In the proof of Theorem 3.2, we have shown that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, T_1x_n) = 0 = \lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, T_2x_n)$ . Also  $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F)$  exists as shown in Theorem 9. By using condition (D), we have that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} h(d(x_n, F)) \le \max \left[ \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, T_1 x_n), \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, T_2 x_n) \right] = 0.$$

The rest of the proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 3.4.

#### 4. Conclusions

We conclude that:

- (i) Hilbert spaces and CAT (0) spaces are uniformly convex metric spaces, therefore our results hold in these spaces immediately.
- (ii) Nonexpansive mappings, asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, generalized asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense all are total asymptotically nonexpansive, therefore our theorems hold for these mappings straightforward.
- (iii) When  $T_1 = I$  (the identity mapping),  $T_2 = T$ , all the above theorems remain valid for the Mann's iterative algorithm(5).
- (vi) One can easily establish results of this paper for nonself total asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in CAT(0) spaces. The new results will be analogue of the results of Zhou et al. [26].
- (v) The variational inequality problem and split feasibility problem in certain situations can be converted into a fixed point problem, therefore it is expected that our results will be helpful to address these types of problems; for instance, see [21, 22, 23, 24, 25].
- (vi) The established results are interesting for applied mathematics and can be utilized for further research studies; to explore more in this direction, we refer the reader to consult [18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25].

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to thank the anonymous reviewer(s) and handling editor for careful reading and valuable suggestions to improve the quality of the paper. The first author would like to acknowledge the support provided by the Deanship of Scientific Research(DSR) at King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals (KFUPM) for funding this work through project No. IN151014.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abbas, S. H. Khan, J. K. Kim, A new one step iterative process for common fixed points in Banach spaces, J. Inequal. Appl. vol. 2008 (2008), Article ID 548627, 10 pages.
- [2] Ya. I. Alber, C. E. Chidume, H. Zegeye, Approximating fixed points of total asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2006 (2006), article ID 10673
- [3] H. Fukhar-ud-din, Existence and approximation of fixed points in convex metric spaces, Carpathian J. Math. 30 (2014), 175-185.

- [4] H. Fukhar-ud-din, One step iterative scheme for a pair of nonexpansive mappings in a convex metric space, Hacet. J. Math. Stat., 44 (2015), 1023 1031.
- [5] H. Fukhar-ud-din, S. H. Khan, Convergence of iterates with errors of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings and applications, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 328 (2007) 821– 829.
- [6] H. Fukhar-ud-dina, A. R. Khan, N. Hussain, Approximating common fixed points of total asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in CAT(0) spaces, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 10 (2017), 771–779.
- [7] K. Goebel, S. Reich, *Uniform Convexity, Hyperbolic Geometry, and Nonexpansive Mappings*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1984.
- [8] S. Ishikawa, Fixed point by a new iteration method, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 44(1974), 147–150.
- [9] S.H. Khan, W. Takahashi, Approximating common fixed points of two asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, Sci. Math. Jpn. 53 (2001) 143–148.
- [10] R. W. Mann, Mean value methods in iteration, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 4(1953), 506–510.
- [11] E. Matouskova and S. Reich, Reflexivity and approximate fixed points, Studia Math. 159 (2004), 403–415.
- [12] E. Naraghirad, Approximation of common fixed points of nonlinear mappings satisfying jointly demiclosedness principle in Banach spaces, Mediterr. J. Math. (2017) 14:162.
- [13] G. S. Saluja, M. Postolache, Three-step Iterations for Total Asymptotically Nonexpansive Mappings in CAT(0) Spaces, Filomat 31:5 (2017), 1317–1330.
- [14] T. Shimizu, W. Takahashi, Fixed points of multivalued mappings in certain convex metric spaces, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. 8 (1996), 197–203.
- [15] H. F. Senter, W. G. Dotson, Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 44 (1974), 375–380.
- [16] W. Takahashi, A convexity in metric spaces and nonexpansive mappings, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., 22(1970), 142-149.
- [17] K. K.Tan, H. K. Xu, Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings by the Ishikawa iteration process, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 178(1993), 301-308.
- [18] B.S. Thakur, D. Thakur, M. Postolache, New iteration scheme for numerical reckoning fixed points of nonexpansive mappings, J. Inequal. Appl. 2014, Art No. 328 (2014).
- [19] B.S. Thakur, D. Thakur, M. Postolache, A new iterative scheme for numerical reckoning fixed points of Suzuki's generalized nonexpansive mappings, Appl. Math. Comput. 275(2016), 147-155.
- [20] B.S. Thakur, D. Thakur, M. Postolache, A new iteration scheme for approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings, Filomat 30(2016), 2711-2720.
- [21] Y. Yao, R.P. Agarwal, M. Postolache, Y.C. Liu, Algorithms with strong convergence for the split common solution of the feasibility problem and fixed point problem, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2014, Art. No. 183 (2014).
- [22] Y. Yao, L. Leng, M. Postolache, X. Zheng, Mann-type iteration method for solving the split common fixed point problem. J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 18(2017), 875-882.
- [23] Yao, Y, Y.C. Liou, M. Postolache, Self-adaptive algorithms for the split problem of the demicontractive operators,. Optimization DOI: 10.1080/02331934.2017.1390747.
- [24] Y. Yao, M. Postolache, Iterative methods for pseudomonotone variational inequalities and fixed point problems, J. Optim. Theory Appl. 155(2012), 273-287.
- [25] Y. Yao, M. Postolache, Y.C. Liou, Strong convergence of a self-adaptive method for the split feasibility problem, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013, Art. No. 201 (2013).
- [26] H. Y. Zhou, Y. J. Cho, S. M. Kang, A new iterative algorithm for approximating common fixed points for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, Fixed Point Theory Appl., Vol. 2007 (2007), Article ID 64874, 10 pages.