Qatar Univ. Sci. Bull. (1983), 3: 13 - 18

ON DIRICHLET PROBLEM WITH SINGULAR INTEGRAL BOUNDARY CONDITION

By

M. I. MOHAMED Math. Dept., University of Qatar, Doha, Qatar

ABSTRACT

In this paper a Dirichlet problem, with singular integral condition, is studied. It is shown that such problem can be reduced to a regular equation which allows us to construct the solution.

Let G be a simply connected bounded region with a simple smooth contour C. Consider the equation

 $\Delta \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0} \tag{1}$

where \triangle is the Laplace's operator and u is a vector vector function which takes values in Banach algebra with involution R. In this paper we are concerned with the regular solution of equation (1) in G which satisfies, on C, the singular integral condition:

$$\mathbf{a}(t)\mathbf{u}(t) + \frac{\mathbf{b}(t)}{\mathbf{n}\mathbf{i}} \int_{C} \frac{\mathbf{u}(T)}{T-t} dT + \int_{C} \mathbf{k}(t, T)\mathbf{u}(T)dT = \mathbf{f}(t)$$
(2)

where a (t), b(t), k(t, T) and f(t) assume values in R, and each of them satisfies a Holder condition.

In the case a(t) = 1, b(t) = 0 and k(t, T) = 0, condition (2) takes the form:

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{t}) \tag{2'}$$

while in the case a(t) = 0, b(t) = 1 and k(t, T) = 0,

condition (2) takes the form

$$\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{C} \frac{u(T)}{T-t} dT = f(t), \text{ which implies that } u(t) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{C} \frac{f(T)}{T-t} dT$$
(2")

The problem of finding the regular solution of (1) in G prescribed by (2') or (2''), on C, is the usual Dirichlet problem for a vector function. The more general problem (1) - (2), mentioned earlier also called Dirichlet problem when (2) is solvable with respect to u.

The operator form of condition (2) is (3) au + bSu + Ku = fwhere S is a singular operator satisfying the conditions: 1)S² = I, 2) $\forall v \in \mathbb{R}$ the operator Sv - vS is regular [1] Lemma: If S is the singular operator mentioned above, then $Vv, w \in \mathbb{R}$, we have (i) Svw = vSw + Nw(ii) SvSw = vw + Qwwhere N and Q are regular operators. Proof: (i) Since Svw = Svw + vSw - vSw= vSw + (Sv - vS)wthen from 2), it follows Svw = vSw + Nw.(ii) Since SvSw = SvSw + vw - vw, then it follows from 1) $SvSw = vw + SvSw - S^2vw$ = vw + S(vS - Sv)w Since S in singular and vS - Sv is regular, then S(vS - Sv) is regular and thus we have SvSw = vw + Qw.Theorem: Problem (1)-(2) can be reduced to the regular equation $q\omega + L\omega = h$ which is completely defined in the space R^2 . Proof: Every regular solution of (1) takes the form (4) $u = \phi(z) + \overline{\phi(z)}$ where $\Phi(z)$ is an arbitrary vector function in G and $\overline{\Phi(z)}$ is its involution. Thus, $\mathbf{u}|_{\mathbf{C}} = \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{t}) + \overline{\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbf{t})}$ (5) Substituting from (5) in (3) we find $a(\mathbf{\Phi} + \overline{\mathbf{\Phi}}) + bS(\mathbf{\Phi} + \overline{\mathbf{\Phi}}) + K(\mathbf{\Phi} + \overline{\mathbf{\Phi}}) = f$ (6) The unique integral representation on I.N. Vekua, [2], for the function $\Phi(z)$ takes the form $\Psi(z) = \int \frac{\overline{T} T \delta(T)}{T - z} dT + ik_1$ (7)

M. I. MOHAMED

where $\delta(T) = \overline{\delta(T)}$ and satisfies Holder condition, $k_1 = \overline{k}_1$ (constant). From (7), we obtain [2]

$$\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{z}} \quad (\mathbf{t}) = \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{i} \, \overline{\mathbf{t}} \, \mathbf{t} \, \boldsymbol{\delta} \, (\mathbf{t}) \, + \, \int_{\mathbf{C}} \, \frac{\overline{\mathbf{T}} \, \mathbf{T} \, \boldsymbol{\delta} \, (\mathbf{T})}{\mathbf{T} - \mathbf{t}} \, d\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{i} \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{l}} \tag{8}$$

hence

$$\overline{\underline{x}}(\overline{t}) = -\pi i t^{2} \overline{t} \delta(t) + \int_{C} \frac{T^{2} \overline{T} \delta(T)}{\overline{T} - \overline{t}} d\overline{T} - i k_{1}$$
(9)

Since $\frac{d\overline{T}}{\overline{T} - \overline{t}} = \frac{dT}{T - t} + \underline{d}\ln\left(\frac{\overline{T} - \overline{t}}{T - t}\right)$, [2], equation (9) takes the form

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{\varrho}(t)} = \operatorname{rut}' t \, \boldsymbol{\delta}(t) + \int_{C} \frac{T' \, \overline{T} \, \boldsymbol{\delta}(T)}{T - t} \, dT + \int_{C} h_{l}(t, T) \, (T) dT - ik_{l} \tag{10}$$

where the regular kernel $h_1(t, T)$ is given by:

$$h_1(t,T) = T' \overline{T} \frac{d}{dT} \ln (\frac{\overline{T} \cdot \overline{t}}{T \cdot t})$$

Equations (8) and (10), can be written respectively in the form

$$\boldsymbol{\mathscr{I}}(t) = \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{t}^{*} \boldsymbol{t} \, \boldsymbol{\delta}(t) + \frac{\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{t}^{*} \boldsymbol{t}}{\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{i}} \int_{C} \frac{\boldsymbol{\delta}(T)}{T \cdot t} \, dT + \int_{C} \frac{\boldsymbol{T}^{*} T \cdot \boldsymbol{t}^{*} \boldsymbol{t}}{T \cdot t} \, \boldsymbol{\delta}(T) dT + i k_{1}$$
(11)

$$\vec{\boldsymbol{s}} \quad \vec{(t)} = \operatorname{ruit}^{*} \vec{t} \quad \vec{\delta}(t) + \frac{\operatorname{ruit}^{*} \vec{t}}{\operatorname{ru}^{*}} \quad \int_{C}^{*} \frac{\delta(T)}{T \cdot t} \, dT + \int_{C}^{*} \frac{T' \overline{T} \cdot t' \overline{t}}{T \cdot t} \, \delta(T) dT + \int_{C}^{*} h_{l}(t,T) \, \delta(T) dT - ik_{l}$$
(12)

Substituting from (11) and (12) in (5) we have

$$\mathbf{u}|_{\mathbf{C}} = \boldsymbol{\alpha}(t)\,\boldsymbol{\delta}(t) + \frac{\boldsymbol{\beta}(t)}{\mathbf{n}\mathbf{i}} \int_{\mathbf{C}} \boldsymbol{\delta}(T) \frac{\boldsymbol{\delta}(T)}{\mathbf{T}\cdot\mathbf{t}} \, d\mathbf{T} + \int_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{M}(t,T)\,\boldsymbol{\delta}(T)d\mathbf{T}$$
(13)

where $\alpha(t) = \pi i (\tilde{t}t - t\tilde{t}),$ $\beta(t) = \pi i (\tilde{t}t + t\tilde{t}),$

and

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{T}) = \frac{\mathbf{\overline{T}'}\mathbf{T}\cdot\mathbf{\overline{t'}}\mathbf{t}}{\mathbf{T}\cdot\mathbf{t}} + \frac{\mathbf{T'}\mathbf{\overline{T}}\cdot\mathbf{t'}\mathbf{\overline{t}}}{\mathbf{T}\cdot\mathbf{t}} + \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{l}}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{T}). \tag{14}$$

On Dirichlet Problem with Singular Integral Boundary Condition

The operator form of (13) is given by $^{U}C = \propto \delta + \beta S \delta + M \delta$ (15) Consequently from (15) and (16), we obtain: $a(\propto \delta + \beta S \delta + M \delta) + bS(\propto \delta + \beta S \delta + M \delta) + K(\propto \delta + \beta S \delta + M \delta) = f$ (16) $a \propto \delta + a\beta S\delta + bS \propto \delta + bS\beta S\delta + T_0\delta = f$ (17)where T_O is a regular operator $T_0\delta = K(\propto \delta + \beta S \delta + M \delta) + aM \delta + bSM \delta$ using the lemma, the following equation is obtained from (17) $a \propto \delta + a\beta S\delta + b \propto S\delta + b\beta\delta + bP_1\delta + bP_1\delta + bQ_1\delta + T_0\delta = f$ (18)where P_1 and Q1 are regular and completely defined by the following: $S \propto \delta = \propto S \delta + P_1 \delta$. $S\beta S\delta = \beta\delta + O_1\delta$ (19)Let. $m = a \propto + b\beta$, $n = a \beta + b \infty$, and the regular operator Y $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{b}\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{b}\mathbf{Q}_1 + \mathbf{T}_0$ (20)we obtain from (18) $m\delta + nS\delta + Y\delta = f$ (21)and therefore, problem (1)-(2) can be reduced to the singular operator equation (21) which is reshaped in a regular form as follows [3] :

From (21)

 $Sm\delta + SnS\delta + SY\delta = Sf$ (22) since $S^2 = I$, we obtain from (22)

$$SmSS\delta + SnS\delta + SYSS\delta = Sf$$
 (23)

let $\delta = \Psi_1$ and $S\delta = \Psi_2$, consequently from (21) and (23) the following system of equations is produced

$$m \varphi_1 + n \varphi_2 + Y \varphi_1 = f$$

$$SmS \varphi_2 + SnS \varphi_1 + SYS \varphi_2 = Sf$$
(24)

Using the lemma, the above system takes the form

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{n}\,\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1} + \mathbf{n}\,\boldsymbol{\psi}_{2} + \mathbf{Y}\,\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1} = \mathbf{f} \\ \mathbf{n}\,\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1} + \mathbf{m}\,\boldsymbol{\psi}_{2} + \mathbf{N}_{1}\,\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1} + \mathbf{N}_{2}\,\boldsymbol{\psi}_{2} + \mathbf{SYS}\,\boldsymbol{\psi}_{2} = \mathbf{Sf} \end{array} \right)$$

$$(25)$$

M. I. MOHAMED

where N_1 and N_2 are regular operators, which are completely defined by the following

$$SnS \boldsymbol{\varphi}_1 = n \boldsymbol{\varphi}_1 + N_1 \boldsymbol{\varphi}_1,$$

$$SmS \boldsymbol{\varphi}_2 = m \boldsymbol{\varphi}_2 + N_2 \boldsymbol{\varphi}_2$$
(26)

Define,

$$\mathbf{q} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{m} & \mathbf{n} \\ \mathbf{n} & \mathbf{m} \end{pmatrix}$$
(27)

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} Y & O \\ N_1 & N_2 + SYS \end{pmatrix}$$
(28)

The system (25), takes the form

$$\mathbf{q}\,\boldsymbol{\omega} + \mathbf{L}\,\boldsymbol{\omega} = \mathbf{h} \tag{31}$$

.....

which is regular and completely defined in the space R², the proof is complete.

If q possess a bounded inverse q^{-1} equation (31) has $\omega + L_0 \omega = h_0$ (32) which is a regular equation with regular operator $L_0 = q^{-1}L$ in the space \mathbb{R}^2 and therefore, if **6** is the solution of equation (21), then $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = (\delta, S\delta)$ is the soluton of equation (32) and conversely if $\omega = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ is the solution (32) then, $\delta = \frac{\varphi_1 + S\varphi_2}{2}$ is the solution (21) which allows us to construct the solution of the problem (1) - (2).

REFERENCES

- [1.] Khalilov, Z.I. 1949. "Linear equations in linear normed rings" BAKU. (In Russian).
- [2.] Muskhelishvily N.I. 1968. "Singular integral equation" Mauka, Moscow. (In Russian).
- [3.] Habib-Zada, A.Sh. 1968. "On the regulator, for some classes of singular operators" 0th. Zap. AGO No. 5.

عن مسألة درشلت ذات الشرط الحدي التكاملي الشاذ

محمد إبراهيم محمد

في هذا البحث درست مسألة درشلت ذات شرط حدي تكاملي شاذ والتي امكن تحويلها الى معادلة ذات مؤثر منتظم مما أدى الى تركيب الحل المطلوب .