

Research Article

Open Access

Mohammed M.M. Jaradat*, Zead Mustafa, Sami Ullah Khan, Muhammad Arshad, and Jamshaid Ahmad

Some fixed point results on G -metric and G_b -metric spaces

<https://doi.org/10.1515/dema-2017-0018>

Received April 16, 2017; accepted June 20, 2017

Abstract: The purpose of this paper is to prove some fixed point results using JS - G -contraction on G -metric spaces, also to prove some fixed point results on G_b -complete metric space for a new contraction. Our results extend and improve some results in the literature. Moreover, some examples are presented to illustrate the validity of our results.

Keywords: fixed point, G -metric space, G_b -metric space, JS - G -contraction

MSC: Primary 47H10; Secondary 54H25.

1 Introduction

Mustafa and Sims [1] introduced the notion of G -metric spaces as a generalization of classical metric spaces and obtained some fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying different generalized contractive conditions. Thereafter, the concept of G -metric space has been studied and used to obtain various fixed point theorems by several mathematicians (see ([2–24])).

Definition 1.1. [1] Let X be a non empty and $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying the following properties

- (G1) $G(a, b, c) = 0$ if $a = b = c$,
- (G2) $0 < G(a, a, b)$ for all $a, b \in X$ with $a \neq b$,
- (G3) $G(a, a, b) \leq G(a, b, c)$ for all $a, b, c \in X$ with $b \neq c$,
- (G4) $G(a, b, c) = G(a, c, b) = G(b, c, a) = \dots$ (symmetry in all three variables),
- (G5) $G(a, b, c) \leq G(a, w, w) + G(w, b, c)$ for all $a, b, c, w \in X$ (rectangle inequality).

Then the function G is called a generalized metric, or, a G -metric on X and the pair (X, G) is called a G -metric space. Throughout this paper we mean by \mathbb{N} the set of all Natural Numbers.

***Corresponding Author: Mohammed M.M. Jaradat:** Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Physics, Qatar University, Doha-Qatar, E-mail: mmjst4@qu.edu.qa

Zead Mustafa: Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Physics, Qatar University, Doha-Qatar, E-mail: zead@qu.edu.qa and Department of Mathematics, The Hashemite University, Zarqa- Jordan, E-mail: zmagablh@hu.edu.jo

Sami Ullah Khan: Department of Mathematics, International Islamic University, H-10, Islamabad - 44000, Pakistan and Department of Mathematics, Gomal University D. I. Khan, KPK, Pakistan, E-mail: gomal85@gmail.com

Muhammad Arshad: Department of Mathematics, International Islamic University, H-10, Islamabad - 44000, Pakistan, E-mail: marshad_zia@yahoo.com

Jamshaid Ahmad: Department of Mathematics, University of Jeddah, P.O.Box 80327, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia, E-mail: jamshaid_jasim@yahoo.com

Definition 1.2. [1] Let (X, G) be a G -metric space, and let (a_n) be a sequence of points of X . Then we say that (a_n) is G -convergent to $a \in X$ if $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} G(a, a_n, a_m) = 0$, that is, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(a, a_n, a_m) < \epsilon$ for all, $n, m \geq N$. We call a the limit of the sequence and write $a_n \rightarrow x$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a$.

Proposition 1.3. [1] Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) (a_n) is G -convergent to a .
- (2) $G(a_n, a_n, a) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.
- (3) $G(a_n, a, a) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.
- (4) $G(a_n, a_m, a) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow +\infty$.

Definition 1.4. [1] Let (X, G) be a G -metric space. A sequence (a_n) is called a G -Cauchy sequence if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G(a_n, a_m, a_l) < \epsilon$ for all $n, m, l \geq N$, that is $G(a_n, a_m, a_l) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m, l \rightarrow +\infty$.

Definition 1.5. [1] A G -metric space (X, G) is called G -complete if every G -Cauchy sequence is G -convergent in (X, G) .

Corollary 1.6. [1] Let (X, d) be a metric space, then (X, d) is complete metric space iff (X, G_m) is complete G -metric space where

$$G_m(a, b, c) = \max\{d(a, b), d(b, c), d(a, c)\}$$

Corollary 1.7. [1] A G -metric space (X, G) is continuous on its three variables.

Very recently, Jleli and Samet [25] introduced a new type of contraction which involves the following set of all functions $\psi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (1, \infty)$ satisfying the conditions:

- (ψ_1) ψ is nondecreasing;
- (ψ_2) for each sequence $\{t_n\} \subseteq (0, \infty)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t_n) = 1$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$;
- (ψ_3) there exist $r \in (0, 1)$ and $L \in (0, \infty]$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\psi(t)-1}{t^r} = L$.

To be consistent with Jleli and Samet [25], we denote by F the set of all functions $\psi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (1, \infty)$ satisfying the conditions $(\psi_1 - \psi_3)$.

Also, they established the following result as a generalization of Banach Contraction Principle.

Theorem 1.8. [25, Corollary 2.1] Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose that there exist $\psi \in \Psi$ and $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$x, y \in X, d(fx, fy) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \psi(d(fx, fy)) \leq [\psi(d(x, y))]^k.$$

Then f has a unique fixed point.

In 2015, Hussain et al. [26] customized the above family of functions and proved a fixed point theorem as a generalization of [25]. They customized the family of functions $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ to be as follows:

- (ψ_1) ψ is nondecreasing and $\psi(t) = 1$ if and only if $t = 0$;
- (ψ_2) for each sequence $\{t_n\} \subseteq (0, \infty)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t_n) = 1$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$;
- (ψ_3) there exist $r \in (0, 1)$ and $L \in (0, \infty]$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\psi(t)-1}{t^r} = L$;
- (ψ_4) $\psi(u+v) \leq \psi(u)\psi(v)$ for all $u, v > 0$.

To be consistent with Hussain et al. [26], we denote by Ψ the set of all functions $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ satisfying the conditions $(\psi_1 - \psi_4)$. For more details in this direction, we refer the reader to [27–30].

In this paper, we introduce a new contraction called JS - G -contraction and we prove some fixed point results of such contraction in the setting of G -metric spaces, also we prove some fixed point results on G_b -complete metric space for a new contraction.

2 Fixed Point Results on G - Metric Space

We start this section by introducing the following definition.

Definition 2.1. Let (X, G) be a G -metric space, and let $g : X \rightarrow X$ be a self mapping. Then g is said to be a JS - G -contraction whenever there exist a function $\psi \in \Psi$ and positive real numbers r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 with $0 \leq r_1 + 3r_2 + r_3 + 2r_4 < 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(G(ga, gb, gc)) &\leq [\psi(G(a, b, c))]^{r_1} [\psi(G(a, ga, gc))]^{r_2} [\psi(G(b, gb, gc))]^{r_3} \\ &\quad \times [\psi(G(a, gb, gb) + G(b, ga, ga))]^{r_4}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$.

Theorem 2.2. Let (X, G) be a complete G -metric space and $g : X \rightarrow X$ be a JS - G -contraction. Then g has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Let $a_0 \in X$ be arbitrary. For $a_0 \in X$, we define the sequence $\{a_n\}$ by $a_n = g^n a_0 = ga_{n-1}$. If there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_{n_0} = a_{n_0+1}$, then a_{n_0} is a fixed point of g , and we have nothing to prove. Thus, we suppose that $a_n \neq a_{n+1}$, i.e., $G(ga_{n-1}, ga_n, ga_n) > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, we will prove that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) = 0$.

Since g is a JS - G -contraction, by using condition (2.1), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &< \psi(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})) = \psi(G(ga_{n-1}, ga_n, ga_n)) \\ &\leq [\psi(G(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n))]^{r_1} [\psi(G(a_{n-1}, ga_{n-1}, ga_n))]^{r_2} [\psi(G(a_n, ga_n, ga_n))]^{r_3} \\ &\quad \times [\psi(G(a_{n-1}, ga_n, ga_n) + G(a_n, ga_{n-1}, ga_{n-1}))]^{r_4} \\ &= [\psi(G(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n))]^{r_1} [\psi(G(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_{n+1}))]^{r_2} [\psi(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}))]^{r_3} [\psi(G(a_{n-1}, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}))]^{r_4}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (G5) and (ψ_4) , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(G(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_{n+1})) &\leq \psi(G(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n) + G(a_n, a_n, a_{n+1})) \\ &\leq \psi(G(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n) + 2G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})) \\ &\leq \psi(G(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n))\psi(2G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})) \\ &= \psi(G(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n))\psi(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) + G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})) \\ &\leq \psi(G(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n))[\psi(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}))]^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(G(a_{n-1}, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})) &\leq \psi(G(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n) + G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})) \\ &\leq \psi(G(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n))\psi(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &< \psi(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})) \\ &\leq [\psi(G(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n))]^{r_1} [\psi(G(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n))]^{r_2} [\psi(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}))]^{2r_2} \\ &\quad \times [\psi(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}))]^{r_3} [\psi(G(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n))]^{r_4} [\psi(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}))]^{r_4}. \end{aligned}$$

So, by reordering the product terms of the above inequality, then using the induction, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 < \psi(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})) &\leq [\psi(G(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n))]^{\frac{r_1+r_2+r_4}{1-2r_2-r_3-r_4}} \\
 &\vdots \\
 &\leq [\psi(G(a_0, a_1, a_1))]^{\left(\frac{r_1+r_2+r_4}{1-2r_2-r_3-r_4}\right)^n}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.2}$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and noting that $\frac{r_1+r_2+r_4}{1-2r_2-r_3-r_4} < 1$, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})) = 1,
 \tag{2.3}$$

which implies by (ψ_2) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) = 0.
 \tag{2.4}$$

From the condition (ψ_3) , there exist $0 < r < 1$ and $L \in (0, \infty]$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(G(a_{n+1}, a_n, a_n)) - 1}{[G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})]^r} = L.$$

Suppose that $L < \infty$. In this case, let $B_1 = \frac{L}{2} > 0$. From the definition of the limit, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \frac{\psi(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})) - 1}{[G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})]^r} - L \right| \leq B_1,$$

for all $n > n_0$. This implies that

$$\frac{\psi(G(a_{n+1}, a_n, a_n)) - 1}{[G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})]^r} \geq L - B_1 = \frac{L}{2} = B_1,$$

for all $n > n_0$. Then

$$n(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}))^r \leq A_1 n[\psi(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})) - 1],$$

where $A_1 = \frac{1}{B_1}$.

Now for $L = \infty$, let $B_2 > 0$ be an arbitrary number. From the definition of the limit there exist $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{\psi(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})) - 1}{[G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})]^r} \geq B_2,$$

for all $n \geq n_1$. Then

$$n(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}))^r \leq A_2 n[\psi(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})) - 1],$$

where $A_2 = \frac{1}{B_2}$. Thus, in both cases, there exist $A = \max\{A_1, A_2\} > 0$ and $n_* = \max\{n_0, n_1\} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$n(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}))^r \leq A n[\psi(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})) - 1] \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_*.$$

Now, using (2.2) we get

$$n(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}))^r \leq A n \left[[\psi(G(a_0, a_1, a_1))]^{\alpha^n} - 1 \right],$$

where, $\alpha = \frac{r_1+r_2+r_4}{1-2r_2-r_3-r_4}$. But,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \left[[\psi(G(a_0, a_1, a_1))]^{\alpha^n} - 1 \right] &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{[\psi(G(a_0, a_1, a_1))]^{\alpha^n} - 1}{1/n} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha^n \ln(\alpha) \ln(\psi(G(a_0, a_1, a_1))) [\psi(G(a_0, a_1, a_1))]^{\alpha^n}}{-1/n^2} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-n^2 \alpha^n \ln(\alpha) \ln(\psi(G(a_0, a_1, a_1))) [\psi(G(a_0, a_1, a_1))]^{\alpha^n}}{-n^2 \ln(\alpha) \ln(\psi(G(a_0, a_1, a_1))) [\psi(G(a_0, a_1, a_1))]^{\alpha^n}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-n^2}{\alpha_1^n} \times \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ln(\alpha) \ln(\psi(G(a_0, a_1, a_1))) [\psi(G(a_0, a_1, a_1))]^{\alpha^n} \\ &= 0 \times \ln(\alpha) \ln(\psi(G(a_0, a_1, a_1))) \\ &= 0 \quad (\text{where } \alpha_1 = 1/\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}))^r = 0$, thus there exists $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{n^{1/r}},$$

for all $n > n_2$. Now, for $m > n > n_2$, we have

$$G(a_n, a_m, a_m) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} G(a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1}) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \frac{1}{i^{1/r}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{1/r}}.$$

Since $0 < r < 1$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{1/r}}$ is convergent and hence $G(a_n, a_m, a_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, we proved that $\{a_n\}$ is a G -Cauchy sequence. Completeness of (X, G) ensures that there exists $a^* \in X$ such that $a_n \rightarrow a^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we shall show that a^* is a fixed point of g . Using (G5) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} G(a^*, a^*, ga^*) &\leq G(a^*, a^*, a_{n+1}) + G(a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}, ga^*) \\ &= G(a^*, a^*, a_{n+1}) + G(ga_n, ga_n, ga^*) \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$G(a_n, a_{n+1}, ga^*) \leq \left(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a^*) \right) + \left(G(a^*, a^*, ga^*) \right). \tag{2.6}$$

Hence, by the properties of ψ we get that

$$\psi(G(a^*, a^*, ga^*)) \leq \psi(G(a^*, a^*, a_{n+1}))\psi(G(ga_n, ga_n, ga^*)) \tag{2.7}$$

$$\psi(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, ga^*)) \leq \psi(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a^*))\psi(G(a^*, a^*, ga^*)). \tag{2.8}$$

Thus,

$$\left[\psi(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, ga^*)) \right]^{r_2+r_3} \leq \left[\psi(G(a_n, a_{n+1}, a^*)) \right]^{r_2+r_3} \left[\psi(G(a^*, a^*, ga^*)) \right]^{r_2+r_3}. \tag{2.9}$$

However, by using (2.1), (ψ_4) and (2.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi\left(G\left(a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}, ga^*\right)\right) &= \psi\left(G\left(ga_n, ga_n, ga^*\right)\right) \\
 &\leq \left[\psi\left(G\left(a_n, a_n, a^*\right)\right)\right]^{r_1} \left[\psi\left(G\left(a_n, a_{n+1}, ga^*\right)\right)\right]^{r_2} \\
 &\quad \times \left[\psi\left(G\left(a_n, a_{n+1}, ga^*\right)\right)\right]^{r_3} \\
 &\quad \times \left[\psi\left(G\left(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}\right) + G\left(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}\right)\right)\right]^{r_4} \\
 &= \left[\psi\left(G\left(a_n, a_n, a^*\right)\right)\right]^{r_1} \left[\psi\left(G\left(a_n, a_{n+1}, ga^*\right)\right)\right]^{r_2+r_3} \\
 &\quad \times \left[\psi\left(G\left(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}\right)\right)\right]^{2r_4} \\
 &\leq \left[\psi\left(G\left(a_n, a_n, a^*\right)\right)\right]^{r_1} \left[\psi\left(G\left(a_n, a_{n+1}, a^*\right)\right)\right]^{r_2+r_3} \\
 &\quad \left[\psi\left(G\left(a^*, a^*, ga^*\right)\right)\right]^{r_2+r_3} \left[\psi\left(G\left(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}\right)\right)\right]^{2r_4}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.10}$$

Now, substituting (2.10) in (2.7) we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi\left(G\left(a^*, a^*, ga^*\right)\right) &\leq \psi\left(G\left(a^*, a^*, a_{n+1}\right)\right) \left[\psi\left(G\left(a_n, a_n, a^*\right)\right)\right]^{r_1} \left[\psi\left(G\left(a_n, a_{n+1}, a^*\right)\right)\right]^{r_2+r_3} \\
 &\quad \left[\psi\left(G\left(a^*, a^*, ga^*\right)\right)\right]^{r_2+r_3} \left[\psi\left(G\left(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}\right)\right)\right]^{2r_4}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.11}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 \leq \left[\psi\left(G\left(a^*, a^*, ga^*\right)\right)\right]^{1-r_2-r_3} &\leq \psi\left(G\left(a^*, a^*, a_{n+1}\right)\right) \left[\psi\left(G\left(a_n, a_n, a^*\right)\right)\right]^{r_1} \\
 &\quad \left[\psi\left(G\left(a_n, a_{n+1}, a^*\right)\right)\right]^{r_2+r_3} \left[\psi\left(G\left(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}\right)\right)\right]^{2r_4}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.12}$$

By taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (2.4), (ψ_2) , Proposition 1.3 and the convergence of a_n to a^* in the above equation we get that

$$\psi\left(G\left(a^*, a^*, ga^*\right)\right) = 1
 \tag{2.13}$$

which implies by (ψ_1) that $G(a^*, a^*, ga^*) = 0$ and so $ga^* = a^*$. Thus, a^* is a fixed point of g .

Finally to show the uniqueness, assume that there exist $a' \neq a^*$ such that $a' = ga'$. By (G_2) ,

$$G\left(a', a', a^*\right) = G\left(ga', ga', ga^*\right) > 0.$$

Thus, by (2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi\left(G\left(a', a', a^*\right)\right) &= \psi\left(G\left(ga', ga', ga^*\right)\right) \leq \left[\psi\left(G\left(a', a', a^*\right)\right)\right]^{r_1} \left[\psi\left(G\left(a', ga', ga^*\right)\right)\right]^{r_2} \\
 &\quad \times \left[\psi\left(G\left(a', ga', ga^*\right)\right)\right]^{r_3} \left[\psi\left(G\left(a', ga', ga'\right) + G\left(a', ga', ga'\right)\right)\right]^{r_4}, \\
 &= \left[\psi\left(G\left(a', a', a^*\right)\right)\right]^{r_1} \left[\psi\left(G\left(a', a', a^*\right)\right)\right]^{r_2} \left[\psi\left(G\left(a', a', a^*\right)\right)\right]^{r_3} \\
 &\quad \times \left[\psi\left(G\left(a', a', a'\right) + G\left(a', a', a'\right)\right)\right]^{r_4}, \\
 &= \left[\psi\left(G\left(a', a', a^*\right)\right)\right]^{r_1+r_2+r_3},
 \end{aligned}$$

which leads to a contradiction because $r_1 + r_2 + r_3 < 1$. Therefore, g has a unique fixed point. □

The following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.2 by taking $\psi(t) = e^{\sqrt{t}}$ in (2.1).

Corollary 2.3. Let (X, G) be a complete G -metric space and $g : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose that there exist positive real numbers r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 with $0 \leq r_1 + 3r_2 + r_3 + 2r_4 < 1$ such that

$$\sqrt{G(ga, gb, gc)} \leq r_1 \sqrt{G(a, b, c)} + r_2 \sqrt{G(a, ga, gc)} + r_3 \sqrt{G(b, gb, gc)} + r_4 \sqrt{G(a, gb, gb) + G(b, ga, ga)} \quad (2.14)$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$. Then g has a unique fixed point.

Remark 2.4. Note that condition (2.14) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} G(ga, gb, gc) \leq & r_1^2 G(a, b, c) + r_2^2 G(a, ga, gc) + r_3^2 G(b, gb, gc) \\ & + r_4^2 [G(a, gb, gb) + G(b, ga, ga)] \\ & + 2r_1 r_2 \sqrt{G(a, b, c) G(a, ga, gc)} + 2r_1 r_3 \sqrt{G(a, b, c) G(b, gb, gc)} \\ & + 2r_1 r_4 \sqrt{G(a, b, c) [G(a, gb, gb) + G(b, ga, ga)]} \\ & + 2r_2 r_3 \sqrt{G(a, ga, gc) G(b, gb, gc)} \\ & + 2r_2 r_4 \sqrt{G(a, ga, gc) [G(a, gb, gb) + G(b, ga, ga)]} \\ & + 2r_3 r_4 \sqrt{G(b, gb, gc) [G(a, gb, gb) + G(b, ga, ga)]}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, in view of Remark 2.4 and by taking $r_2 = r_3 = r_4 = 0$ in Corollary 2.3, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5. Let (X, G) be a complete G -metric space and $g : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose that there exist positive real numbers $0 \leq r_1 < 1$, such that

$$G(ga, gb, gc) \leq r_1^2 G(a, b, c) \quad (2.15)$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$. Then g has a unique fixed point.

Finally, by taking $\psi(t) = e^{\sqrt[n]{t}}$ in (2.1), we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.6. Let (X, G) be a complete G -metric space and $g : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose that there exist positive real numbers r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 with $0 \leq r_1 + 3r_2 + r_3 + 2r_4 < 1$, such that

$$\sqrt[n]{G(ga, gb, gc)} \leq r_1 \sqrt[n]{G(a, b, c)} + r_2 \sqrt[n]{G(a, ga, gc)} + r_3 \sqrt[n]{G(b, gb, gc)} + r_4 \sqrt[n]{G(a, gb, gb) + G(b, ga, ga)}$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$. Then g has a unique fixed point.

Remark 2.7. By specifying $r_i = 0$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ in Remark 2.4 and Corollary 2.6 we can get several results.

Example 2.8. Let $X = [0, \infty)$ and the G -metric $G_m(a, b, c) = \max\{|a - b|, |b - c|, |a - c|\}$. Define $g : X \rightarrow X$ by $g(x) = \frac{x}{8}$ and $\psi(t) = e^{\sqrt{t}}$. Then clearly all conditions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied with $r_i = \frac{1}{8}$; $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and $x = 0$ is a unique fixed point of g .

3 Fixed Point Results on G_b -Metric Spaces

In this section, using the concepts of G_b -metric space which was introduced by Aghajani et al. [31] we establish some new fixed point results in this setting.

Definition 3.1. [31] Let X be a nonempty set and $s \geq 1$ be a given real number. Suppose that $G_b : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying the following properties

(G_b1) $G_b(u, v, w) = 0$ if $u = v = w$,
 (G_b2) $0 < G_b(u, u, v)$ for all $u, v \in X$ with $u \neq v$,
 (G_b3) $G_b(u, u, v) \leq G_b(u, v, w)$ for all $u, v, w \in X$ with $v \neq w$,
 (G_b4) $G_b(u, v, w) = G_b(p\{u, v, w\})$, where p is a permutation of u, v, w (symmetry),
 (G_b5) $G_b(u, v, w) \leq s(G_b(u, c, c) + G_b(c, v, w))$ for all $u, v, w, c \in X$ (rectangle inequality).
 Then the function G_b is called a generalized b -metric, or a G_b -metric on X , and the pair (X, G) is called a G_b -metric space.

It is clear that the class of G_b -metric spaces is effectively larger than that of G -metric spaces given in [1]. Indeed, each G -metric space is a G_b -metric space with $s = 1$.

Definition 3.2. [31] A G_b -metric space is said to be symmetric if $G_b(u, v, v) = G_b(v, u, u)$ for all $u, v \in X$.

Proposition 3.3. [31] Let X be a G_b -metric space. Then for each $u, v, w, c \in X$ it follows that:

- (1) If $G_b(u, v, w) = 0$ then $u = v = w$,
- (2) $G_b(u, v, w) \leq s(G_b(u, u, v) + G_b(u, u, w))$,
- (3) $G_b(u, v, v) \leq 2sG_b(v, u, u)$,
- (4) $G_b(u, v, w) \leq s(G_b(u, c, w) + G_b(c, v, w))$.

Definition 3.4. [31] Let (X, G_b) be a G_b -metric space, and (a_n) be a sequence in X . Then we say that (a_n) is G_b -convergent to $a \in X$ if $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} G_b(a, a_n, a_m) = 0$, that is, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G_b(a, a_n, a_m) < \epsilon$, for all, $n, m \geq N$. We call x the limit of the sequence and write $a_n \rightarrow a$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = a$.

Proposition 3.5. [31] Let (X, G_b) be a G_b -metric space. The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) (a_n) is G_b -convergent to a .
- (2) $G_b(a_n, a_n, a) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.
- (3) $G_b(a_n, a, a) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.
- (4) $G_b(a_n, a_m, a) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow +\infty$.

Definition 3.6. [31] Let X be a G_b -metric space. A sequence (a_n) is called a G_b -Cauchy sequence if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G_b(a_n, a_m, a_l) < \epsilon$ for all $n, m, l \geq N$, that is $G_b(a_n, a_m, a_l) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m, l \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proposition 3.7. [31] Let (X, G_b) be a G_b -metric space. The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) (a_n) is G_b -Cauchy sequence.
- (2) $G_b(a_n, a_m, a_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow +\infty$.

Definition 3.8. [31] A G_b -metric space X is called G_b -complete if every G_b -Cauchy sequence is G_b -convergent in X .

Lemma 3.9. Let X be a G_b -metric space with $s \geq 1$. If a sequence $(a_n) \subseteq X$ is G_b -convergent, then it has a unique limit point.

Very recently, Ahmad et al. [27] studied JS-contraction and considered a new set of real functions, say Ω . They replaced condition (ψ_3) by another condition called (Θ_3) .

Applying this condition we can have a new range of functions. Thus, consistent with Ahmad et al. [27] we denote by Ω the set of all functions $\theta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (Θ_1) : θ is nondecreasing and $\theta(t) = 1$ if and only if $t = 0$;
- (Θ_2) : for each sequence $\{t_n\} \subseteq (0, \infty)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(t_n) = 1$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0$;
- (Θ_3) : θ is continuous.

Example 3.10. [27] Let $\theta_1(t) = e^{\sqrt{t}}$, $\theta_2(t) = e^{\sqrt{te^t}}$, $\theta_3(t) = e^t$, $\theta_4(t) = \cosh t$ and $\theta_5(t) = 1 + \ln(1 + t)$ for all $t > 0$. Then $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4, \theta_5 \in \Omega$.

Remark 3.11. [27] Note that the conditions (ψ_3) and (Θ_3) are independent of each other. Indeed, for $p \geq 1$, $\theta(t) = e^{tp}$ satisfies the conditions (ψ_1) and (ψ_2) but it does not satisfy (ψ_3) , while it satisfies the condition (Θ_3) . Therefore $\Omega \subseteq \Psi$. Again, for $a > 1$, $m \in (0, \frac{1}{a})$, $\theta(t) = 1 + t^m(1 + [t])$, where $[t]$ denotes the integral part of t , satisfies the conditions (ψ_1) and (ψ_2) but it does not satisfy (Θ_3) , while it satisfies the condition (ψ_3) for any $r \in (\frac{1}{a}, 1)$. Therefore $\Psi \not\subseteq \Omega$. Also, if we take $\theta(t) = e^{\sqrt{t}}$, then $\theta \in \Psi$ and $\theta \in \Omega$. Therefore $\Psi \cap \Omega = \emptyset$.

Definition 3.12. [4] Let $g : X \rightarrow X$ and $\alpha : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Then g is called α -admissible if for all $u, v, w \in X$ with $\alpha(u, v, w) \geq 1$ implies $\alpha(gu, gv, gw) \geq 1$.

Definition 3.13. Let $g : X \rightarrow X$ and $\alpha : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Then g is called rectangular- α -admissible if

1. g is α -admissible,
2. $\alpha(u, c, c) \geq 1$ and $\alpha(c, v, w) \geq 1$ implies that $\alpha(u, v, w) \geq 1$

where $u, v, w, c \in X$.

Lemma 3.14. Let g be a rectangular α -admissible mapping. Suppose that there exist $a_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(a_0, ga_0, ga_0) \geq 1$. Define the sequence $a_n = g^n a_0$. Then

$$\alpha(a_m, a_n, a_n) \geq 1, \text{ for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } m < n$$

Proof. Let $a_n = g^n a_0$ and assume that $n = m + k$ for some integer $k \geq 1$. Since $\alpha(a_0, ga_0, ga_0) \geq 1$ and g is α -admissible, then

$$\alpha(a_1, a_2, a_2) = \alpha(a_1, ga_1, ga_1) = \alpha(ga_0, g^2 a_0, g^2 a_0) \geq 1.$$

Continuing this process we get that $\alpha(a_m, a_{m+1}, a_{m+1}) \geq 1$. Similarly we have

$$\alpha(a_{m+1}, a_{m+2}, a_{m+2}) \geq 1.$$

Hence, by rectangular α -admissible we have $\alpha(a_m, a_{m+2}, a_{m+2}) \geq 1$, now repeating the same process we get that $\alpha(a_m, a_n, a_n) = \alpha(a_m, a_{m+k}, a_{m+k}) \geq 1$. □

Now, we are ready to state our main theorem in this section.

Theorem 3.15. Let (X, G_b) be a G_b -complete metric space with $s > 1$. Let $\alpha : X \times X \times X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and g be a rectangular α -admissible mapping. Suppose that there exist $\theta \in \Omega$ and $r \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{s^2} G_b(u, gu, gu) \leq G_b(u, v, w) \Rightarrow \alpha(u, v, w) \theta \left(s^2 G_b(gu, gv, gw) \right) \leq [\theta(M(u, v, w))]^r \tag{3.1}$$

for all $u, v, w \in X$ with at least two of gu, gv and gw being not equal, where

$$M(u, v, w) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G_b(u, v, w), \frac{G_b(u, gu, gu)G_b(u, gv, gw) + G_b(v, gv, gw)G_b(v, gu, gu)}{1 + s[G_b(u, gu, gw) + G_b(v, gv, gw)]}, \\ \frac{G_b(u, gu, gu)G_b(u, gv, gw) + G_b(v, gv, gw)G_b(v, gu, gu)}{1 + G_b(u, gv, gw) + G_b(v, gu, gw)} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Also, suppose that the following assertions hold:

- (i) There exists $a_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(a_0, ga_0, ga_0) \geq 1$.
- (ii) For any convergence sequence $\{a_n\}$ to a with $\alpha(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we have $\alpha(a_n, a, a) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Then g has a fixed point.

- (iii) Moreover, if for all $u, v \in \text{Fix}(g)$ implies $\alpha(u, v, v) \geq 1$, then the fixed point is unique where $\text{Fix}(g) = \{u : gu = u\}$.

Proof. Let $a_0 \in X$ be such that $\alpha(a_0, ga_0, ga_0) \geq 1$. Define a sequence $\{a_n\}$ by $a_n = g^n a_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since g is an α -admissible mapping and $\alpha(a_0, a_1, a_1) = \alpha(a_0, ga_0, ga_0) \geq 1$, we deduce that $\alpha(a_1, a_2, a_2) = \alpha(ga_0, ga_1, ga_1) \geq 1$. Continuing this process, we get that $\alpha(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Without

loss of generality, we assume that $a_n \neq a_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. We shall proceed in proving the theorem using the following two steps.

Step 1: We shall show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_b(a_{n+1}, a_n, a_n) = 0$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 M(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n) &= \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n), \\ &\frac{G_b(a_{n-1}, ga_{n-1}, ga_{n-1})G_b(a_{n-1}, ga_n, ga_n) + G_b(a_n, ga_n, ga_n)G_b(a_n, ga_{n-1}, ga_{n-1})}{1 + s[G_b(a_{n-1}, ga_{n-1}, ga_{n-1}) + G_b(a_n, ga_n, ga_n)]}, \\ &\frac{G_b(a_{n-1}, ga_{n-1}, ga_{n-1})G_b(a_{n-1}, ga_n, ga_n) + G_b(a_n, ga_n, ga_n)G_b(a_n, ga_{n-1}, ga_{n-1})}{1 + G_b(a_{n-1}, ga_n, ga_n) + G_b(a_n, ga_{n-1}, ga_n)} \end{aligned} \right\} \\
 &= \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n), \\ &\frac{G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n)G_b(a_{n-1}, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) + G_b(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})G_b(a_n, a_n, a_n)}{1 + s[G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_{n+1}) + G_b(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})]}, \\ &\frac{G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n)G_b(a_{n-1}, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) + G_b(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})G_b(a_n, a_n, a_n)}{1 + G_b(a_{n-1}, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) + G_b(a_n, a_n, a_{n+1})} \end{aligned} \right\} \\
 &= \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n), \\ &G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n) \frac{G_b(a_{n-1}, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})}{1 + s[G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_{n+1}) + G_b(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})]}, \\ &G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n) \frac{G_b(a_{n-1}, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})}{1 + G_b(a_{n-1}, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) + G_b(a_n, a_n, a_{n+1})} \end{aligned} \right\}
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

But, from (G_b3) , we have $G_b(a_{n-1}, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) \leq G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_{n+1})$, and so

$$\frac{G_b(a_{n-1}, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})}{1 + s[G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_{n+1}) + G_b(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})]} \leq 1$$

also

$$\frac{G_b(a_{n-1}, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})}{1 + G_b(a_{n-1}, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) + G_b(a_n, a_n, a_{n+1})} \leq 1.$$

Therefore, $M(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n) = G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n)$.

Since $\alpha(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\frac{1}{3s^2} G_b(a_{n-1}, ga_{n-1}, ga_{n-1}) \leq G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n)$, as a result by (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta(G_b(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})) &= \theta(G_b(ga_{n-1}, ga_n, ga_n)), \\
 &\leq \alpha(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n) \theta(s^2 G_b(ga_{n-1}, ga_n, ga_n)), \\
 &\leq [\theta(M(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n))]^r, \\
 &= [\theta(G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n))]^r \\
 &< \theta(G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n)).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Therefore, we have

$$1 < \theta(G_b(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})) \leq [\theta(G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n))]^r \leq \dots \leq [\theta(G_b(a_0, a_1, a_1))]^{r^n}.$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(G_b(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})) = 1.$$

This gives us, by (θ_2) ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_b(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

But $G_b(a_{n+1}, a_n, a_n) \leq 2sG_b(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})$, therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_b(a_{n+1}, a_n, a_n) = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Step 2: We shall prove that the sequence $\{a_n\}$ is a G_b -Cauchy sequence. Suppose on the contrary that $\{a_n\}$ is not a G_b -Cauchy sequence. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ for which we can find two subsequences $\{a_{m_i}\}$ and $\{a_{n_i}\}$ of $\{a_n\}$ such that n_i is the smallest index for which

$$n_i > m_i > i \text{ and } G_b(a_{m_i}, a_{n_i}, a_{n_i}) \geq \varepsilon. \tag{3.6}$$

This means that

$$G_b(a_{m_i}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1}) < \varepsilon. \tag{3.7}$$

By using (3.6) and (G_b5) , we get

$$\varepsilon \leq G_b(a_{m_i}, a_{n_i}, a_{n_i}) \leq sG_b(a_{m_i}, a_{m_i+1}, a_{m_i+1}) + sG_b(a_{m_i+1}, a_{n_i}, a_{n_i}).$$

Taking the upper limit as $i \rightarrow \infty$ and using (3.5) we get

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{s} \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} G_b(a_{m_i+1}, a_{n_i}, a_{n_i}). \tag{3.8}$$

Notice that from (3.3) and (θ_1) , we get

$$G_b(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) \leq G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{3.9}$$

Suppose that there exists $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{3s^2} G_b(a_{m_{i_0}}, ga_{m_{i_0}}, ga_{m_{i_0}}) > G_b(a_{m_{i_0}}, a_{n_{i_0}-1}, a_{n_{i_0}-1})$$

and

$$\frac{1}{3s^2} G_b(a_{m_{i_0}+1}, ga_{m_{i_0}+1}, ga_{m_{i_0}+1}) > G_b(a_{m_{i_0}+1}, a_{n_{i_0}-1}, a_{n_{i_0}-1}).$$

Then from (G_b5) , (3.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_b(a_{m_{i_0}}, a_{m_{i_0}+1}, a_{m_{i_0}+1}) &\leq s \left[G_b(a_{m_{i_0}}, a_{n_{i_0}-1}, a_{n_{i_0}-1}) + G_b(a_{n_{i_0}-1}, a_{m_{i_0}+1}, a_{m_{i_0}+1}) \right] \\ &\leq s \left[G_b(a_{m_{i_0}}, a_{n_{i_0}-1}, a_{n_{i_0}-1}) + 2sG_b(a_{m_{i_0}+1}, a_{n_{i_0}-1}, a_{n_{i_0}-1}) \right] \\ &\leq s \left[\frac{1}{3s^2} G_b(a_{m_{i_0}}, ga_{m_{i_0}}, ga_{m_{i_0}}) + \frac{2s}{3s^2} G_b(a_{m_{i_0}+1}, ga_{m_{i_0}+1}, ga_{m_{i_0}+1}) \right] \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{3s} G_b(a_{m_{i_0}}, a_{m_{i_0}+1}, a_{m_{i_0}+1}) + \frac{2}{3} G_b(a_{m_{i_0}+1}, a_{m_{i_0}+2}, a_{m_{i_0}+2}) \right] \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{3s} + \frac{2}{3} \right) G_b(a_{m_{i_0}}, a_{m_{i_0}+1}, a_{m_{i_0}+1}) \\ &< G_b(a_{m_{i_0}}, a_{m_{i_0}+1}, a_{m_{i_0}+1}), \text{ (since } s > 1), \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, either

$$\frac{1}{3s^2} G_b(a_{m_i}, ga_{m_i}, ga_{m_i}) \leq G_b(a_{m_i}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1})$$

or

$$\frac{1}{3s^2} G_b(a_{m_i+1}, ga_{m_i+1}, ga_{m_i+1}) \leq G_b(a_{m_i+1}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1}),$$

holds for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. First suppose that

$$\frac{1}{3s^2} G_b(a_{m_i}, ga_{m_i}, ga_{m_i}) \leq G_b(a_{m_i}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1}). \tag{3.11}$$

From the definition of $M(u, v, w)$ and using (3.5) and (3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} M(a_{m_i}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1}) \\ &= \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &G_b(a_{m_i}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1}), \\ &\frac{G_b(a_{m_i}, ga_{m_i}, ga_{m_i})G_b(a_{m_i}, ga_{n_i-1}, ga_{n_i-1}) + G_b(a_{n_i-1}, ga_{n_i-1}, ga_{n_i-1})G_b(a_{n_i-1}, ga_{m_i}, ga_{m_i})}{1 + s[G_b(a_{m_i}, ga_{m_i}, ga_{n_i-1}) + G_b(a_{n_i-1}, ga_{n_i-1}, ga_{n_i-1})]}, \\ &\frac{G_b(a_{m_i}, ga_{m_i}, ga_{m_i})G_b(a_{m_i}, ga_{n_i-1}, ga_{n_i-1}) + G_b(a_{n_i-1}, ga_{n_i-1}, ga_{n_i-1})G_b(a_{n_i-1}, ga_{m_i}, ga_{m_i})}{1 + [G_b(a_{m_i}, ga_{n_i-1}, ga_{n_i-1}) + G_b(a_{n_i-1}, ga_{m_i}, ga_{n_i-1})]} \end{aligned} \right\}, \\ &= \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &G_b(a_{m_i}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1}), \\ &\frac{G_b(a_{m_i}, a_{m_i+1}, a_{m_i+1})G_b(a_{m_i}, a_{n_i}, a_{n_i}) + G_b(a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i}, a_{n_i})G_b(a_{n_i-1}, a_{m_i+1}, a_{m_i+1})}{1 + s[G_b(a_{m_i}, a_{m_i+1}, a_{n_i}) + G_b(a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i}, a_{n_i})]}, \\ &\frac{G_b(a_{m_i}, a_{m_i+1}, a_{m_i+1})G_b(a_{m_i}, a_{n_i}, a_{n_i}) + G_b(a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i}, a_{n_i})G_b(a_{n_i-1}, a_{m_i+1}, a_{m_i+1})}{1 + [G_b(a_{m_i}, a_{n_i}, a_{n_i}) + G_b(a_{n_i-1}, a_{m_i+1}, a_{n_i})]} \end{aligned} \right\} \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, $m_i \neq n_i - 1$, as otherwise $G_b(a_{m_i}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1}) = 0$ and so, by (3.11)

$$G_b(a_{m_i}, a_{m_i+1}, a_{m_i+1}) = G_b(a_{m_i}, ga_{m_i}, ga_{m_i}) = 0$$

which contradicts our assumption that $a_n \neq a_{n+1}$ for all $n \in N$. Hence, $\alpha(a_{m_i}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1}) \geq 1$. Based on the assumption (3.11), (θ_1) , $\alpha(a_{m_i}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1}) \geq 1$, (3.8), (3.1) and the above inequality, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta\left(s^2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{s}\right) &\leq \alpha(a_{m_i}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1}) \theta\left(s^2 \cdot \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} G_b(a_{m_i+1}, a_{n_i}, a_{n_i})\right) \\ &= \alpha(a_{m_i}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1}) \theta\left(s^2 \cdot \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} G_b(ga_{m_i}, ga_{n_i-1}, ga_{n_i-1})\right) \\ &\leq \left[\theta\left(\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} M(a_{m_i}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1})\right)\right]^r \leq [\theta(\varepsilon)]^r, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\theta(s\varepsilon) \leq [\theta(\varepsilon)]^r$, a contradiction. Now suppose that

$$\frac{1}{3s^2} G_b(a_{m_i+1}, ga_{m_i+1}, ga_{m_i+1}) \leq G_b(a_{m_i+1}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1}) \tag{3.12}$$

holds for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, from (3.6) and using (G_b5) , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \leq G_b(a_{m_i}, a_{n_i}, a_{n_i}) &\leq sG_b(a_{m_i}, a_{m_i+2}, a_{m_i+2}) + sG_b(a_{m_i+2}, a_{n_i}, a_{n_i}) \\ &\leq s^2G_b(a_{m_i}, a_{m_i+1}, a_{m_i+1}) + s^2G_b(a_{m_i+1}, a_{m_i+2}, a_{m_i+2}) \\ &\quad + sG_b(a_{m_i+2}, a_{n_i}, a_{n_i}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the upper limit as $i \rightarrow \infty$, and using (3.5) we get

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{s} \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} G_b(a_{m_i+2}, a_{n_i}, a_{n_i}). \tag{3.13}$$

Also, from (G_b5) , we get

$$G_b(a_{m_i+1}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1}) \leq sG_b(a_{m_i+1}, a_{n_i}, a_{n_i}) + sG_b(a_{n_i}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1}).$$

Taking the upper limit as $i \rightarrow \infty$, and using (3.5) and (3.7) we get

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} G_b(a_{m_i+1}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1}) \leq s\varepsilon. \tag{3.14}$$

From the definition of $M(u, v, w)$ and using (3.5) and (3.14), we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sup M(a_{m_i+1}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1}) =$$

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{G_b(a_{m_i+1}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1}), G_b(a_{m_i+1}, a_{m_i+2}, a_{m_i+2})G_b(a_{m_i+1}, a_{n_i}, a_{n_i}) + G_b(a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i}, a_{n_i})G_b(a_{n_i-1}, a_{m_i+2}, a_{m_i+2})}{1 + s[G_b(a_{m_i+1}, a_{m_i+2}, a_{m_i+2}) + G_b(a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i}, a_{n_i})]}, \frac{G_b(a_{m_i+1}, a_{m_i+2}, a_{m_i+2})G_b(a_{m_i+1}, a_{n_i}, a_{n_i}) + G_b(a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i}, a_{n_i})G_b(a_{n_i-1}, a_{m_i+2}, a_{m_i+2})}{1 + [G_b(a_{m_i+1}, a_{n_i}, a_{n_i}) + G_b(a_{n_i-1}, a_{m_i+2}, a_{n_i})]} \right\} \leq s\varepsilon.$$

Note that, $m_i + 1 \neq n_i - 1$, as otherwise

$$G_b(a_{m_i+1}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1}) = 0$$

and so, by (3.12) $G_b(a_{m_i+1}, a_{m_i+2}, a_{m_i+2}) = G_b(a_{m_i+1}, ga_{m_i+1}, ga_{m_i+1}) = 0$, which contradicts our assumption that $a_n \neq a_{n+1}$ for all $n \in N$. Hence, $\alpha(a_{m_i+1}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1}) \geq 1$.

Based on the assumption (3.12), (θ_1) , $\alpha(a_{m_i+1}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1}) \geq 1$, (3.13), (3.1) and the above inequality we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta\left(s^2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{s}\right) &\leq \alpha(a_{m_i+1}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1}) \theta\left(s^2 \cdot \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} G_b(a_{m_i+2}, a_{n_i}, a_{n_i})\right) \\ &= \alpha(a_{m_i+1}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1}) \theta\left(s^2 \cdot \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} G_b(ga_{m_i+1}, ga_{n_i-1}, ga_{n_i-1})\right) \\ &\leq \left[\theta\left(\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} M(a_{m_i+1}, a_{n_i-1}, a_{n_i-1})\right)\right]^r \leq [\theta(s\varepsilon)]^r, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Therefore, in all cases $\{a_n\}$ is a G_b -Cauchy sequence, thus by G_b -completeness of X yields that $\{a_n\}$ is G_b -convergent to a point $a^* \in X$. By an argument similar to that in (3.10), we get either

$$\frac{1}{3s^2} G_b(a_n, ga_n, ga_n) \leq G_b(a_n, a^*, a^*)$$

or

$$\frac{1}{3s^2} G_b(a_{n+1}, ga_{n+1}, ga_{n+1}) \leq G_b(a_{n+1}, a^*, a^*)$$

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. First, suppose that

$$\frac{1}{3s^2} G_b(a_n, ga_n, ga_n) \leq G_b(a_n, a^*, a^*).$$

Now,

$$M(a_n, a^*, a^*) = \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} &G_b(a_n, a^*, a^*), \frac{G_b(a_n, ga_n, ga_n)G_b(a_n, ga^*, ga^*) + G_b(a^*, ga^*, ga^*)G_b(a^*, ga_n, ga_n)}{1 + [G_b(a_n, ga_n, ga_n) + G_b(a^*, ga^*, ga^*)]} \\ &\frac{G_b(a_n, ga_n, ga_n)G_b(a_n, ga^*, ga^*) + G_b(a^*, ga^*, ga^*)G_b(a^*, ga_n, ga_n)}{1 + [G_b(a_n, ga^*, ga^*) + G_b(a^*, ga_n, ga^*)]} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

So, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(a_n, a^*, a^*) = 0$. Hence from (3.1) and assertion (ii) of the theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \leq \theta(G_b(ga_n, ga^*, ga^*)) &\leq \theta(s^2 G_b(ga_n, ga^*, ga^*)) \\ &\leq \alpha(a_n, a^*, a^*) \theta(s^2 G_b(ga_n, ga^*, ga^*)) \\ &\leq [\theta(M(a_n, a^*, a^*))]^r \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, in the above inequality we get that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(G_b(ga_n, ga^*, ga^*)) = 1.$$

This implies by (Θ_1) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_b(ga_n, ga^*, ga^*) = 0.$$

Hence, $ga^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ga_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n+1} = a^*$. Thus, we deduce that $ga^* = a^*$.

Now if

$$\frac{1}{3s^2} G_b(a_{n+1}, ga_{n+1}, ga_{n+1}) \leq G_b(a_{n+1}, a^*, a^*),$$

holds, then by repeating the same process as above we can get $ga^* = a^*$. Therefore, we proved that a^* is a fixed point of g .

Now to prove uniqueness, suppose there exist $u, v \in \text{Fix}(g)$ with $u \neq v$, that is $u = gu$ and $v = gv$. Therefore by (iii), $\alpha(u, v, v) \geq 1$ and so, by (3.1) and (G_{b2}) we have

$$0 = \frac{1}{3s^2} G(u, gu, gu) \leq G(u, v, v)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(G_b(u, v, v)) &\leq \alpha(u, v, v) \theta(s^2 G_b(gu, gv, gv)) \\ &\leq [\theta(M(u, v, v))]^r \\ &= [\theta(G_b(u, v, v))]^r \\ &< \theta(G_b(u, v, v)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the contradiction implies that the fixed point is unique. □

Theorem 3.16. Let (X, G_b) be a G_b -complete metric space with $s > 1$. Let $\alpha : X \times X \times X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and g be a rectangular α -admissible mapping. Suppose that there exist $\theta \in \Omega$ and $r \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{3s^2} G_b(u, gu, gu) \leq G_b(u, v, w) \Rightarrow \alpha(u, v, w) \theta \left(s^2 G_b(gu, gv, gw) \right) \leq [\theta(M(u, v, w))]^r \tag{3.15}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ with at least two of gx, gy and gz being not equal, where

$$M(u, v, w) = \max \left\{ G_b(u, v, w), \frac{G_b(u, gu, gu) G_b(v, gv, gw)}{1 + G_b(u, v, w)}, \frac{G_b(u, gu, gu) G_b(v, gv, gw)}{1 + G_b(gu, gv, gw)} \right\}.$$

Also, suppose that the following assertions hold:

- (i) There exists $a_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(a_0, ga_0, ga_0) \geq 1$.
- (ii) For any convergent sequence $\{a_n\}$ to a with $\alpha(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we have $\alpha(a_n, a, a) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Then g has a fixed point.

- (iii) Moreover, if for all $u, v \in \text{Fix}(g)$ implies $\alpha(u, v, v) \geq 1$, then the fixed point is unique where $\text{Fix}(g) = \{u; gu = u\}$.

Proof. Let $a_0 \in X$ be such that $\alpha(a_0, ga_0, ga_0) \geq 1$. Define a sequence $\{a_n\}$ by $a_n = g^n a_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since g is an α -admissible mapping and $\alpha(a_0, a_1, a_1) = \alpha(a_0, ga_0, ga_0) \geq 1$, we deduce that $\alpha(a_1, a_2, a_2) = \alpha(ga_0, ga_1, ga_1) \geq 1$. Continuing this process, we get that $\alpha(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $a_n \neq a_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. We shall show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_b(a_{n+1}, a_n, a_n) = 0$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} M(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n) &= \max \left\{ G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n), \frac{G_b(a_{n-1}, ga_{n-1}, ga_{n-1}) G_b(a_n, ga_n, ga_n)}{1 + G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n)}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{G_b(a_{n-1}, ga_{n-1}, ga_{n-1}) G_b(a_n, ga_n, ga_n)}{1 + G_b(ga_{n-1}, ga_n, ga_n)} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n), \frac{G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n) G_b(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})}{1 + G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n)}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n) G_b(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})}{1 + G_b(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})} \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Since, $\frac{G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n)}{1 + G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n)} < 1$ and $\frac{G_b(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})}{1 + G_b(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})} < 1$. Therefore,

$$M(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n) = \max\{G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n), G_b(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})\}.$$

If $\max\{G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n), G_b(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})\} = G_b(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})$, then since $\alpha(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n) \geq 1$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\frac{1}{3s^2} G_b(a_{n-1}, ga_{n-1}, ga_{n-1}) \leq G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n)$ and so by (3.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(G_b(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})) &= \theta(G_b(ga_{n-1}, ga_n, ga_n)), \\ &\leq \alpha(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n) \theta \left(s^2 G_b(ga_{n-1}, ga_n, ga_n) \right), \\ &\leq [\theta(M(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n))]^r, \\ &= [\theta(G_b(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}))]^r \\ &< \theta(G_b(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1})) \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

which is a contradiction since $r \in (0, 1)$. Thus, $M(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n) = G_b(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n)$.

The rest of the proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 3.15. □

Analogously, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.17. Let (X, G_b) be a complete G_b -metric space with $s > 1$. Let $\alpha : X \times X \times X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and g be a rectangular α -admissible mapping. Suppose that there exist $\theta \in \Omega$ and $r \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{3s^2} G_b(u, gu, gu) \leq G_b(u, v, w) \Rightarrow \alpha(u, v, w) \theta \left(s^2 G_b(gu, gv, gw) \right) \leq [\theta(M(u, v, w))]^r$$

for all $u, v, w \in X$ with at least two of gu, gv and gw are not equal, where

$$M(u, v, w) = \max \left\{ G_b(u, v, w), \frac{G_b(u, gu, gu)G_b(v, gv, gw)}{1+s[G_b(u, v, w)+G_b(v, gu, gu)+G_b(u, gv, gv)]}, \frac{G_b(u, gv, gv)G_b(u, v, w)}{1+sG_b(u, gu, gu)+s^2[G_b(v, gv, gv)+G_b(v, gu, gu)]} \right\}.$$

Also, suppose that the following assertions hold:

- (i) There exists $a_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(a_0, ga_0, ga_0) \geq 1$;
- (ii) For any convergent sequence $\{a_n\}$ to a with $\alpha(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we have $\alpha(a_n, a, a) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Then g has a fixed point.

- (iii) Moreover, if for all $u, v \in \text{Fix}(g)$ implies $\alpha(u, v, v) \geq 1$, then the fixed point is unique where $\text{Fix}(g) = \{u; gu = u\}$.

Now, we give an example to support Theorem 3.1

Example 3.18. Let $X = [0, \infty)$ and $G_b : X \times X \times X \rightarrow R$ be a G_b -metric space defined by $G_b(u, v, w) = (|u - v| + |v - w| + |u - w|)^2$. Clearly (X, G_b) is a complete G_b -metric space with $s = 2$. Also let $r = \frac{3}{5}$ and define $g : X \rightarrow X, \alpha : X \times X \times X \rightarrow R$ and $\theta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{5}, & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \\ x^2, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha(u, v, w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u, v, w \in [0, 1] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\theta(t) = e^t.$$

Assume that $\frac{1}{12}G_b(u, gu, gu) \leq G_b(u, v, w)$. If one of $u, v, w \notin [0, 1]$, then $\alpha(u, v, w) = 0$ and so, the conclusion of (3.1) is satisfied. If $u, v, w \in [0, 1]$, then $gu, gv, gw \in [0, 1]$ and $\alpha(u, v, w) \geq 1$ with $gu \neq gv \neq gw$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(u, v, w)\theta(4G_b(gu, gv, gw)) &= e^{4(\frac{1}{5}(|u-v|+|v-w|+|u-w|))^2} \\ &= e^{\frac{4}{25}(|u-v|+|v-w|+|u-w|)^2} \\ &\leq e^{(3/5)(|u-v|+|v-w|+|u-w|)^2} \\ &= \left(e^{(|u-v|+|v-w|+|u-w|)^2} \right)^{\frac{3}{5}} \\ &= \left(e^{G_b(u, v, w)} \right)^{\frac{3}{5}} \\ &= \left(\theta(G_b(u, v, w)) \right)^{\frac{3}{5}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus all conditions of Theorem 3.15 are satisfied and $x = 0$ is the unique fixed point of g .

Corollary 3.19. Let (X, G_b) be a complete G_b - metric space with $s > 1$. Let $\alpha : X \times X \times X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and g be a rectangular α -admissible mapping. Suppose that there exist $\theta \in \Omega$ and $r, \delta, \beta, \gamma \in (0, 1)$ with $\delta + \beta + \gamma < 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{3s^2}G_b(u, gu, gu) \leq G_b(u, v, w) \Rightarrow \alpha(u, v, w)\theta\left(s^2G_b(gu, gv, gw)\right) \\ &\leq \left[\theta\left(\delta G_b(u, v, w) + \beta \frac{G_b(u, gu, gu)G_b(v, gv, gw)}{1+G_b(u, v, w)} + \gamma \frac{G_b(u, gu, gu)G_b(v, gv, gw)}{1+G_b(gu, gv, gw)}\right) \right]^r \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v, w \in X$ with at least two of gu, gv and gw being not equal. Also, suppose that the following assertions hold:

- (i) There exists $a_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(a_0, ga_0, ga_0) \geq 1$;
 - (ii) For any convergent sequence $\{a_n\}$ to a with $\alpha(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) \geq 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we have $\alpha(a_n, a, a) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.
- Then g has a fixed point.
- (iii) Moreover, if for all $u, v \in \text{Fix}(g)$ implies $\alpha(u, v, v) \geq 1$, then the fixed point is unique where $\text{Fix}(g) = \{u; gu = u\}$.

Corollary 3.20. *Let (X, G_b) be a complete G_b -metric space with $s > 1$. Let $\alpha : X \times X \times X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and g be a rectangular α -admissible mapping. Suppose that there exist $\theta \in \Omega$ and $r, \delta, \beta, \gamma \in (0, 1)$ with $\delta + \beta + \gamma < 1$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3s^2} G_b(u, gu, gu) &\leq G_b(u, v, w) \Rightarrow \alpha(u, v, w) \theta \left(s^2 G_b(gu, gv, gw) \right) \\ &\leq \left[\theta \left(\begin{aligned} &\delta G_b(u, v, w) + \beta \frac{G_b(u, gu, gu) G_b(u, gv, gw) + G_b(v, gv, gw) G_b(v, gu, gu)}{1 + s[G_b(u, gu, gw) + G_b(v, gv, gw)]} \right. \right. \\ &\left. \left. + \gamma \frac{G_b(u, gu, gu) G_b(u, gv, gw) + G_b(v, gv, gw) G_b(v, gu, gu)}{1 + G_b(u, gv, gw) + G_b(v, gu, gw)} \right) \right]^r \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v, w \in X$ with at least two of gu, gv and gw being not equal. Also, suppose that the following assertions hold:

- (i) There exists $a_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(a_0, ga_0, ga_0) \geq 1$;
 - (ii) for any convergent sequence $\{a_n\}$ to a with $\alpha(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) \geq 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we have $\alpha(a_n, a, a) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.
- Then g has a fixed point.
- (iii) Moreover, if for all $u, v \in \text{Fix}(g)$ implies $\alpha(u, v, v) \geq 1$, then the fixed point is unique where $\text{Fix}(g) = \{u; gu = u\}$.

Corollary 3.21. *Let (X, G_b) be a complete G_b - metric space with $s > 1$. Let $\alpha : X \times X \times X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and g be a rectangular α -admissible mapping. Suppose that there exist $\theta \in \Omega$ and $r, \delta, \beta, \gamma \in (0, 1)$ with $\delta + \beta + \gamma < 1$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3s^2} G_b(u, gu, gu) &\leq G_b(u, v, w) \Rightarrow \alpha(u, v, w) \theta \left(s^2 G_b(gu, gv, gw) \right) \\ &\leq \left[\theta \left(\begin{aligned} &\delta G_b(u, v, w) + \beta \frac{G_b(u, gu, gu) G_b(v, gv, gw)}{1 + s[G_b(u, v, w) + G_b(u, gv, gv) + G_b(v, gu, gu)]} \right. \right. \\ &\left. \left. + \gamma \frac{G_b(u, gv, gv) G_b(u, v, w)}{1 + sG_b(u, gu, gu) + s^2[G_b(v, gu, gu) + G_b(v, gv, gv)]} \right) \right]^r \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v, w \in X$ with at least two of gu, gv and gw being not equal. Also, suppose that the following assertions hold:

- (i) There exists $a_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(a_0, ga_0, ga_0) \geq 1$;
 - (ii) For any convergent sequence $\{a_n\}$ to a with $\alpha(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we have $\alpha(a_n, a, a) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.
- Then g has a fixed point.
- (iii) Moreover, if for all $u, v \in \text{Fix}(g)$ implies $\alpha(u, v, v) \geq 1$, then the fixed point is unique where $\text{Fix}(g) = \{u; gu = u\}$.

Taking $\theta(t) = e^t$ for all $t > 0$, in the above corollaries we get the following new results.

Corollary 3.22. *Let (X, G_b) be a complete G_b - metric space with $s > 1$. Let $\alpha : X \times X \times X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and g be a rectangular α -admissible mapping. Suppose that there exist $\theta \in \Omega$ and $r, \delta, \beta, \gamma \in (0, 1)$ with $\delta + \beta + \gamma < 1$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3s^2} G_b(u, gu, gu) &\leq G_b(u, v, w) \Rightarrow \ln \alpha(u, v, w) + s^2 G_b(gu, gv, gw) \\ &\leq r \left[\delta G_b(u, v, w) + \beta \frac{G_b(u, gu, gu) G_b(v, gv, gw)}{1 + G_b(u, v, w)} + \gamma \frac{G_b(u, gu, gu) G_b(v, gv, gw)}{1 + G_b(gu, gv, gw)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v, w \in X$ with at least two of gu, gv and gw being not equal. Also, suppose that the following assertions hold:

- (i) There exists $a_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(a_0, ga_0, ga_0) \geq 1$;
 - (ii) For any Convergent sequence $\{a_n\}$ to a with $\alpha(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we have $\alpha(a_n, a, a) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.
- Then g has a fixed point.
- (iii) Moreover, if for all $u, v \in \text{Fix}(g)$ implies $\alpha(u, v, v) \geq 1$, then the fixed point is unique where $\text{Fix}(g) = \{u; gu = u\}$.

Corollary 3.23. Let (X, G_b) be a complete G_b - metric space (with parameter $s > 1$). Let $\alpha : X \times X \times X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and g be a rectangular α -admissible mapping. Suppose that there exist $\theta \in \Omega$, and $r, \delta, \beta, \gamma \in (0, 1)$ with $\delta + \beta + \gamma < 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3s^2} G_b(u, gu, gu) &\leq G_b(u, v, w) \Rightarrow \ln \alpha(u, v, w) + s^2 G_b(gu, gv, gw) \\ &\leq r \left[\begin{aligned} &\delta G_b(u, v, w) + \beta \frac{G_b(u, gu, gu)G_b(u, gv, gw) + G_b(v, gv, gw)G_b(v, gu, gu)}{1 + s[G_b(u, gu, gw) + G_b(v, gv, gw)]} \\ &+ \gamma \frac{G_b(u, gu, gu)G_b(u, gv, gw) + G_b(v, gv, gw)G_b(v, gu, gu)}{1 + G_b(u, gv, gw) + G_b(v, gu, gw)} \end{aligned} \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v, w \in X$ with at least two of gu, gv and gw being not equal. Also, suppose that the following assertions hold:

- (i) There exists $a_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(a_0, ga_0, ga_0) \geq 1$;
 - (ii) For any Convergent sequence $\{a_n\}$ to a with $\alpha(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we have $\alpha(a_n, a, a) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.
- Then g has a fixed point.
- (iii) Moreover, if for all $u, v \in \text{Fix}(g)$ implies $\alpha(u, v, v) \geq 1$, then the fixed point is unique where $\text{Fix}(g) = \{u; gu = u\}$.

Corollary 3.24. Let (X, G_b) be a complete G_b -complete metric space with $s > 1$. Let $\alpha : X \times X \times X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and g be a rectangular α -admissible mapping. Suppose that there exist $\theta \in \Omega$, and $r, \delta, \beta, \gamma \in (0, 1)$ with $\delta + \beta + \gamma < 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3s^2} G_b(u, gu, gu) &\leq G_b(u, v, w) \Rightarrow \ln \alpha(u, v, w) + s^2 G_b(gu, gv, gw) \\ &\leq r \left[\begin{aligned} &\delta G_b(u, v, w) + \beta \frac{G_b(u, gu, gu)G_b(v, gv, gw)}{1 + s[G_b(u, v, w) + G_b(u, gv, gv) + G_b(v, gu, gu)]} \\ &+ \gamma \frac{G_b(u, gv, gv)G_b(u, v, w)}{1 + sG_b(u, gu, gu) + s^2[G_b(v, gu, gu) + G_b(v, gv, gv)]} \end{aligned} \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v, w \in X$ with at least two of gu, gv and gw being not equal. Also, suppose that the following assertions hold:

- (i) There exists $a_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(a_0, ga_0, ga_0) \geq 1$;
 - (ii) For any convergent sequence $\{a_n\}$ to a with $\alpha(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, such that $a_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\alpha(a_n, a, a) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.
- Then g has a fixed point.
- (iii) Moreover, if for all $u, v \in \text{Fix}(g)$ implies $\alpha(u, v, v) \geq 1$, then the fixed point is unique where $\text{Fix}(g) = \{u; gu = u\}$.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions: All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgement: The authors sincerely thank the referees for valuable suggestions which improved the presentation of the paper.

References

- [1] Mustafa Z., Sims B., A new approach to generalized metric space, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, 2006, 7, 289-297
- [2] Abbas M., Nazir T., Shatanawi W., Mustafa Z., Fixed and related fixed point theorems for three maps in G -metric spaces, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.*, 2012, 41, 291-306
- [3] Aydi H., Postolache M., Shatanawi W., Coupled fixed point results for (ψ, ϕ) -weakly contractive mappings in ordered G -metric spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 2012, 63, 298-309
- [4] Algamdi M. A., Karapinar E., G - β - ψ -contractive type mappings in G -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.*, 2013, 2013:123
- [5] Chandok S., Mustafa Z., Postolache M., Coupled common fixed point results for mixed g -monotone maps in partially ordered G -metric spaces, *Politehn. Univ. Bucharest Sci. Bull. Ser. A Appl. Math. Phys.*, 2013, 75, 13-26
- [6] Mustafa Z., Common fixed points of weakly compatible mappings in G -metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Sci.*, 2012, 6, 4589-4600
- [7] Mustafa Z., Aydi H., Karapinar E., On common fixed points in G -metric spaces using (E.A) property, *Computer and Mathematics with Application*, 2012, 64, 1944-1956
- [8] Mustafa Z., Khandagiy M., Shatanawi W., Fixed point results on complete G -metric spaces, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 2011, 48, 304-319
- [9] Mustafa Z., Parvaneh V., Abbas M., Roshan J., Some coincidence point results for generalized-weakly contractive mappings in ordered G -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2013, 2013:326
- [10] Mustafa Z., Van T., Dung N., Two fixed point theorems for maps on incomplete G -metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Sci.*, 2013, 7, 2271-2281
- [11] Mustafa Z., Jaradat M., Jaradat H. M., A remarks on the paper "Some fixed point theorems for generalized contractive mappings in complete metric spaces", *Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, 2017, 8, 2, 17-22
- [12] Mustafa Z., Jaradat M., Karapinar E., A new fixed point result via property P with an application, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 2017, 10, 2066-2078
- [13] Mustafa Z., Arshad M., Khan S., Ahmad J., Jaradat M., Common fixed points for multivalued mappings in G -metric spaces with applications, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 2017, 10, 2550-2564
- [14] Mustafa Z., Roshan J., Parvaneh V., Coupled coincidence point results for (ψ, ϕ) -weakly contractive mappings in partially ordered G_b -metric spaces, *Fixed point Theory Appl.*, 2013, 2013:206, DOI: 10.1186/1687-1812-2013-206
- [15] Mustafa Z., Roshan J., Parvaneh V., Existence of tripled coincidence point in ordered G_b -metric spaces and applications to system of integral equations, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2013, 2013:453
- [16] Pourhadi E., A criterion for the completeness of G -metric spaces, *J. Adv. Math. Stud.*, 2016, 9, 401-404
- [17] Rao K., Lakshmi K., Mustafa Z., Raju V., Fixed and related fixed point theorems for three maps in G -metric spaces, *J. Adv. Stud. Topol.*, 2012, 3, 12-20
- [18] Rao K., Lakshmi K., Mustafa Z., A unique common fixed point theorem for six maps in G -metric spaces, *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.*, 2012, 3, 17-23
- [19] Shatanawi W., Bataihah A., Pitea A., Fixed and common fixed point results for cyclic mappings of Ω -distance, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 2016, 9, 727-735
- [20] Shatanawi W., Noorani MD., Alsamir H., Bataihah A., Fixed and common fixed point theorems in partially ordered quasi-metric spaces, *J. Math. Computer Sci.*, 2016, 16, 516-528
- [21] Shatanawi W., Mustafa Z., Tahat N., Some coincidence point theorems for nonlinear contraction in ordered metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2011, 2011:68
- [22] Shatanawi W., Pitea A., Ω -distance and coupled fixed point in G -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2013, 2013:208
- [23] Shatanawi W., Postolache M., Some fixed point results for a G -weak contraction in G -metric spaces, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, 2012, Art. ID 815870
- [24] Tahat N., Aydi H., Karapinar E., Shatanawi W., Common fixed points for single-valued and multi-valued maps satisfying a generalized contraction in G -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2012, 2012:48
- [25] Jleli M., Samet B., A new generalization of the Banach contraction principle, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2014, 2014:38
- [26] Hussain N., Parvaneh V., Samet B., Vetro C., Some fixed point theorems for generalized contractive mappings in complete metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2015, 2015:185
- [27] Onsod W., Saleewong T., Ahmad J., Al-Mazrooei A., Poom Kumam, Fixed points of a θ -contraction on metric spaces with a graph, *Commun. Nonlinear Anal.*, 2016, 2, 139-149
- [28] Ahmad J., Al-Rawashdeh A., Azam A., New Fixed Point Theorems for Generalized Contractions in Complete Metric Spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2015, 2015:80
- [29] Ahmad A., Al-Rawashdeh A., Azam A., Fixed point results for $\{\alpha, \xi\}$ -expansive locally contractive mappings, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2014, 2014:364
- [30] Al-Rawashdeh A., Ahmad J., Common Fixed Point Theorems for JS-Contractions, *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2016, 8, 12-22
- [31] Aghajani A., Abbas M., Roshan J., Common fixed points of generalized weak contractive mappings in partially ordered G_b -metric spaces, *Filomat*, 2014, 28, 1087-1101