# REVIEW OF THE GENUS *POLYMORPHUS* LUHE, 1911 (ACANTHOCEPHALA:POLYMORPHIDAE), WITH THE SYNONYMIZATION OF *HEXAGLANDULA* PETROCHENKO, 1950, AND *SUBCORYNOSOMA* HOKLOVA, 1967, AND A KEY TO THE SPECIES

# OMAR M. AMIN

Department of Biological Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Parkside, Kenosha, Wisconsin 53141, USA

مراجعـــة جنس بوليمورفاس لوهي ١٩١١ ( شوكيات الرأس : بوليمورفيدي ) ووضع الجنسين هيكساجلانديولا بتروشينكو ١٩٥٠ وسابكورينوسوما هوكلوفا ١٩٦٧ كمترادفين ومفتاح للأنواع

# عمر أمين

لقد تم في هذا البحث تقييم وضع ومفهوم ومكونات جنس **بوليمورفاس** ( = **بروفيليكوليس** ماير ١٩٣١ ، **فالسيفيكوليس** وبستر ١٩٤٨ ، **بارافيليكوليس** بتروشينكو ١٩٥٠ ، **سابفيليكوليس** هوكلوفا ١٩٦٧ ) بدقة . كذلك تم تقديم وصف جديد لهذا الجنس على اعتبار الجنسين هيكساجلانديولا بتروشينكو ١٩٥٠ وسابكورينوسوما هوكلوفا ١٩٦٧ مترادفين في مرتبة أدنى .

ومن بين ما قدم من تغييرات تصنيفية إعادة بوليمورفاس بريفيس (أريثمورينكاس بريفيس) إلى جنس بوليمورفاس ، واعتبار بوليمورفاس ماجانس وبوليمورفاس مينيوتاس مترادفين ، وتأكيد وضع النوع بوليمورفاس بيوبا ، والتشكك في وضع النوع بوليمورفاس نووكاس فان كليف ١٩١٥ كما قدمته هوكلوفا . وقد تم الاعتراف بتحت جنسين فقط هما بوليمورفاس لوهي ١٩١١ ويضم ٣٦ نوعاً ، وبروفيليكوليس ماير ١٩٣١ ويضم ١٠ أنواع ، كما تم تقديم مفتاح للتعرف على هذه الأنواع .

Key Words: Acanthocephala, Polymorphus, Review, Profilicollis, Falsifilicollis, Parafilicollis, Subfilicollis, Subcorynosoma, Hexaglandula, Key to species.

## **ABSTRACT**

The position, concept and composition of the genus *Polymorphus* (= *Profilicollis* Meyer, 1931; *Falsifilicollis* Webster, 1948; *Parafilicollis* Petrochenko, 1950; *Subfilicollis* Hoklova, 1967) are critically evaluated and a new diagnosis of the genus is proposed based on the designation of *Hexaglandula* Petrochenko, 1950 and *Subcorynosoma* Hoklova, 1967 as junior synonyms. Other taxonomic changes proposed include the reassignment of *Polymorphus brevis* (= *Arhythmorhynchus brevis*) back to *Polymorphus*, the synonymization of *Polymorphus magnus* with *Polymorphus minutus*, the recognition of *Polymorphus pupa* and of the questionable status of *Polymorphus trochus* Van Cleave, 1945 sensu Hoklova, 1966. Hoklova's subgenera are reviewed and rejected. Only two subgenera are recognized, *Polymorphus* Luhe, 1911 with 36 species and *Profilicollis* Meyer, 1931 with 10 species. A key to species of the genus is provided.

## **INTRODUCTION**

The discovery of *Polymorphus spindlatus* by Amin and Heckmann (1991) brought into focus the present confused taxonomic state of the genus *Polymorphus* Luhe, 1911. The concept of the genus changed considerably since Luhe first erected it for *Polymorphus minutus* (Goeze, 1782) Luhe, 1911. Some diagnoses are barely recognizable as of *Polymorphus*. A number of species of *Polymorphus* were assigned to *Profilicollis* Meyer, 1931; *Falsifilicollis* Webster, 1948; *Parafilicollis* Petrochenko, 1956; *Subfilicollis* Hoklova, 1967; *Arhythmorhynchus* Luhe 1911; *Filicollis* Luhe, 1911 and *Plagiorhynchus* Luhe, 1911 (= *Prosthorhynchus* Kostylev, 1915) that were placed in various families and subfamilies. One or more of these genera/subgenera were later either synonymized with, or reduced to, subgenera of *Polymorphus*. The erection of *Hexaglandula* by Petrochenko (1950) for forms with 6 cement glands caused more confusion

and was not consistent with other decidedly *Polymorphus* species which also have 6 cement glands, e.g., *Polymorphus cetaceum* (Johnston and Best, 1942) Schmidt and Dailey, 1971, and *Polymorphus arctocephali* Smales, 1986. The relationship between *Polymorphus* and related genera, particularly *Arhythmorhynchus*, need clearer definition. The relationship between *Polymorphus* and *Profilicollis* also needs to be more clearly elucidated since certain species of *Polymorphus* have the type of egg characteristic of *Profilicollis*. The different subgeneric assignments to *Polymorphus*, see for example Amin (1985) and Hoklova (1986), are assessed and certain synonymies and new assignments are made.

It is hoped that this study will provide the necessary definition to clarify the taxonomic status of this complex genus. The work is presented in a historic context in order to relate the evaluation of the concept of *Polymorphus* to its present status and composition as conceived by various authors.

# THE POSITION, CONCEPT, AND COMPOSITION OF POLYMORPHUS

The characteristic generic of features of Polymorphus, erected for only one species, P. minutus (Goeze, 1782), by Luhe (1911) included small body size, body wall nuclei, grid-like lacunar system, trunk spines anterior to constriction, radially symmetrical proboscis hooks which decreases in size anteriorly and posteriorly, double-walled proboscis receptacle with brain near its posterior end, long neck, moderately long lemnisci, testes behind one another, tubular cement glands, genital opening terminal without spines, and eggs with polar prolongation of middle membrane. Except for features like the long neck or the position of the testes and possibly the distribution of the body wall nuclei, the above diagnosis remains largely valid today. As the number of species included in the genus increased, the generic concept continued to expand to accommodate them. Many of the diagnostic features added by various authors were too restrictive to be of generic value as the interspecific variability within Polymorphus continued to increase with the discovery of more species. These restrictive diagnostic features are noted in the following few paragraphs.

Southwell and MacFie (1925), who discussed only *P. minutus*, diagnosed the body as thickened anteriorly and the proboscis as subcylindrical. Travassos (1926) recognized *Polymorphus* under subfamily Centrorhynchinae (Van Cleave, 1916) of family Echinorhynchidae Cobbold, 1879 but Thapar (1927) included it in his new family Acanthogyridae and order Acanthogyridae. The inconsistencies in Travassos's (1926) system, based on the use of insufficiently constant characters, were not satisfactorily eliminated in Thapar's (1927) scheme which recognized separate families as composites.

In Meyer's (1931) system, Polymorphus was correctly placed in his family Polymorphidae and order (Class) Palaeacanthocephala, and included 14 species (Meyer, 1932) all of which are presently recognized members of the genus except P. magnus which is here synonymized with P. minutus (following section). In his brief diagnosis, Meyer (1932) restrictively referred to short compact bodies cylindrical or weakly ovoid proboscides, trunk spines anterior to mid constriction, tubular cement glands, string-like lemnisci, and numerous small nuclei in the body wall. Meyer (1931) also established a new genus, Profilicollis, for two long-necked species Polymorphus botulus and Polymorphus arcticus (= Filicollis botulus Van Cleave, 1916 and Filicollis arcticus Van Cleave, 1920) with eggs with concentric membranes, but kept Filicollis Lühe, 1911 for Filicollis anatis (Schrank, 1788) and Filicollis sphaerocephalus (Bremser in Rudolphi, 1819). Profilicollis raised considerable controversy. Witenberg (1932) and later Van Cleave (1937, 1939, 1947) synonymized it with Polymorphus and Van Cleave (1937) reassigned F. botulus and F. arcticus as well as F. sphaerocephalus and Filicollis altmani Perry, 1942 to Polymorphus (see Van Cleave, 1947).

Webster (1948) recognized the diversity within *Polymorphus* and erected a new subgenus, *Falsifilicollis* for forms with greatly inflated and spheroidal proboscis and slender elongate neck previously included in *Filicollis*. These included *Polymorphus sphaerocephalus*, *Polymorphus altmani*, *Polymorphus kenti* Van Cleave, 1947 and *Polymorphus texensis* Webster, 1948. As Schmidt and Kuntz (1967) correctly pointed out, *Profilicollis* Meyer, 1931 has priority for the concept of *Falsifilicollis* 

Webster, 1948.

Petrochenko (1956) erected Filicollidae for Filicollis Lühe, 1911 including only F. anatis (Schrank, 1788) Lühe, 1911, and Parafilicollis Petrochenko 1956 including P. major, P. altmani, P. kenti and P. sphaerocephalus. His three major diagnostic features of Parafilicollis were the long neck, spheroidal proboscis and eggs without polar prolongation of middle membrane. Additional features included unpronounced sexual dimorphism, anterior trunk spines not well defined in young females, and 4-6 tubular cement glands. Petrochenko (1956) placed Parafilicollis in Gigantorhynchidae Southwell and MacFie, 1925 based primarily on the egg shape. Schmidt and Kuntz (1967) declared Parafilicollis as without status because Meyer (1931) erected Profilicollis for the same concept. The shape of eggs was clearly shown to be of no diagnostic value at the generic level in Polymorphidae by Van Cleave (1939), Schmidt and Kuntz (1966, 1967) and Amin (this paper).

Golvan (1960-61) accepted Profilicollis (= Falsifilicollis Webster, 1948) as a subgenus diagnosed with spheroidal proboscis and long slender neck, and included the same four species listed by Webster (1948), but without indicating a subgenus for the other species of the genus. Yamaguti (1963) synonymized Profilicollis with Polymorphus, and Parafilicollis with Webster's, 1948 Falsifilicollis which he amended and elevated to the generic status. Yamaguti's (1963) diagnosis of Falsifilicollis was essentially the same as that of Petrochenko's (1956) of Parafilicollis except for his reference to proboscis armature (16-30 longitudinal rows of 7-12 hooks each), 4-8 tubular cement glands, and tandem testes. Yamaguti (1963) included Falsifilicollis and Filicollis in Filicollidae Petrochenko, 1956 which he correctly transferred to Echinorhynchidea Southwell and MacFie, 1925. Clearly Falsifilicollis and Parafilicollis occupy the same concept for which Meyer (1931) originally erected Profilicollis. These three taxa are also clearly synonymous with Polymorphus since the subgenus Profilicollis was erected by Golvan (1961) for Webster's (1948) original subgenus Falsifilicollis. It is thus logical to assign subgeneric status to Polymorphus Webster, 1948 and to Profilicollis (Meyer, 1931) Golvan, 1961 as Schmidt and Kuntz (1967) correctly proposed.

While the above subgeneric controversies were going on, the diagnosis of the genus Polymorphus was undergoing changes of its own. Even though with the erection of Hexaglandula Petrochenko, 1950, which is here synonymized with Polymorphus (see status of Hexaglandula following), Petrochenko (1958) removed part of the inconsistencies from his generic diagnosis of Polymorphus, the latter still contained considerable restrictive constraints. These did not cover the present membership of Polymorphus or even the 23 species he assigned to that genus. His diagnostic/characters restrictively included spindle-shaped body; considerable part of massive anterior region covered with spines which extend further from midbody on one side than the other; separated by constriction; cylindrical or ovate proboscis, proboscis hooks nearly equal in size; posterior hooks smaller and rootless; three cement glands in Polymorphus contortus; eggs spindle shaped and middle membrane with corrugated protrusions at poles (Petrochenko, 1958).

Golvan (1960-61) accepted Hexaglandula and its four species listed by Petrochenko (1958), erected Profilicollis with four species (P. botulus, P. arcticus, P. altmani, P. texensis) and recognized 26 species in Polymorphus. These included P. kenti

and *P. major*, which, however, perfectly fit Golvan's (1960-1961) own concept of *Profilicollis*; *Polymorphus* remained without an assigned subgenus. His diagnosis of *Polymorphus* was rather similar to that by Lühe (1911) but included the following restrictive features: proboscis short cylindroid or ovoid; basal proboscis hooks with rudimentary roots; neck short; brain at middle of proboscis receptacle; lemnisci digitiform, longer than proboscis receptacle; testes always contiguous; three tubular cement glands; eggs with polar prolongation of middle membrane.

Yamaguti's (1963) diagnosis of *Polymorphus* was essentially a hybrid between Lühe's (1911) and Golvan's (1960-61) and considerably more conservative than Petrochenko's (1958). However, it still contained restrictive features of little utility even with his deletion of the concept of *Profilicollis* from the generic concept of *Polymorphus* by the amendation and elevation of Webster's (1948) subgenus *Falsifilicollis* to the generic level which he included in Filicollidae. Such features included: body plump; anterior trunk shallowly constricted; proboscis cylindrical or somewhat ovoid; posterior proboscis hooks with reduced roots; neck distinct; three tubular cement glands; eggs with prominent polar prolongation of middle shell (Yamaguti, 1963).

Like Yamaguti (1963), Hoklova accepted Hexaglandula, and recognized Profilicollis (=Yamaguti's elevated Falsifilicollis), as an independent genus with seven species, in Filicollidae (see Hoklova, 1974). She did not, however, include P. arcticus (Van Cleave, 1920) Van Cleave, 1937 in her concept of Profilicollis but placed it in Polymorphus (Polymorphus) (see Hoklova, 1971, 1986). Her most complete diagnosis of Polymorphus was more encompassing of the wide diversity within the genus but still suffered from some of the restrictive characterizations stated by earlier observers. These included: body spindle-shaped less often pear-shaped; anterior trunk spines arranged in a chessboard-like pattern; hypodermic nuclei small and numerous; proboscis rounded, oval or cylindrical; roots of posterior hooks reduced; brain in the middle of the double walled proboscis receptacle; testes tandem; four tubular cement glands; eggs spindle-shaped with polar prolongation of middle membrane (Hoklova, 1986).

Hoklova also established two subgenera in Polymorphus, Subfilicollis Hoklova, 1967 with three species [Polymorphus pupa (Linstow, 1905) Kostylev, 1922; Polymorphus phippsi Kostylev, 1922; Polymorphus gavii Hoklova, 1965] and Subcorynosoma Hoklova, 1967 with three species [Polymorphus corynoides Skrjabin, 1913; Polymorphus strumosoides Lundstrom, 1942; P. swartzi Schmidt, 1965], and retained 21 other species in her "standard" subgenus Polymorphus (see Hoklova, 1971a). Her subgeneric concepts were stated to be based on "morphological and ecological peculiarities" (Hoklova, 1986), but no ecological information was included in her subgeneric diagnoses. Species in her subgenus Polymorphus presumably have oval or ovoid proboscis; well defined trunk constriction; identical extension of trunk spines over dorsal and ventral sides; and eggs with oval polar prolongation of middle membrane. There are exceptions to each of those characteristics in many of the species included in that subgenus as follows: the proboscis of Polymorphus cincli Belopolskaya, 1959, Polymorphus striatus (Goeze, 1782) Lühe, 1911, Polymorphus biziurae Johnston and Edmonds, 1948 and Polymorphus mathevossianae Petrochenko, 1949 is cylindrical. The proboscis of Polymorphus crassus Van

Cleave, 1924 is unusually enlarged anteriorly and that of Polymorphus trochus Van Cleave, 1945 is pyriform in females (see status of P. trochus following). The trunk of Polymorphus marchii (Porta, 1901) Meyer, 1932, P. cincli, P. striatus and P. contortus (Bremser in Westrumb, 1821) Travassos, 1926 has no constriction. Anterior trunk spines are not equally extended on the dorsal and ventral sides of Polymorphus acutis Van Cleave and Starrett, 1940 and Polymorphus marilis Van Cleave, 1939. The eggs of P. biziurae Johnston and Edmonds, 1948 have three concentric membranes without any prolongation of the middle one. For further discussion on the significance of egg structure in polymorphid classification see Van Cleave (1939), Schmidt and Kuntz (1966, 1967) and Amin (this paper; above). Species in Hoklova's (1986) subgenus Subfilicollis presumably have rounded, almost spherical proboscides; trunk spines small and in narrow strips of identical width both at the ventral and dorsal sides; long cylindrical necks and weakly defined polar prolongation of middle membrane of eggs. The considerable overlap in all these characteristics with those listed for Hoklova's subgenus Polymorphus makes it impossible to make unquestionable species assignments. For example, the proboscis of Polymorphus meyeri Lundstrom, 1942 is also ovoid, the neck of P. acutis and Polymorphus actuganensis Petrochenko, 1949 is also long and cylindrical and the extent of definition of the polar prolongation of the middle membrane of eggs varies considerably within the genus and with the extent of egg development. Hoklova's subgenus Subfilicollis has been correctly considered synonymous to Polymorphus by various authors, including Amin (1982, 1985). In the diagnosis of her subgenus Subcorynosoma, Hoklova (1986) used some of the same characteristics that were rendered inoperative in her two other subgenera (above). Other characters were not used dichotomously, e.g., body form and neck length and shape (above), and are thus useless for comparison. Members of Subcorynosoma were diagnosed as having a narrow cylindrical proboscis, trunk spines large and extending significantly farther ventrally than dorsally, and middle membrane of eggs forming a long stretched polar prolongation. While this subgenus appears to be better defined and descriptive of its three designated member species, it still suffered from the same pitfalls noted in Hoklova's (1986) two other subgenera. For example, the proboscis of P. corynoides is ovoid-elongate and that of P. swartzi is enlarged proximally and trunk spines of P. corynoides do not appear to extend farther ventrally than dorsally. Other species of Polymorphus also have long and cylindrical proboscides, e.g., P. biziurae, P. cincli and P. mathevossianae and trunk spines longer than 30 or 36  $\mu$ m (the maximum length in P. strumosoides and P. swartzi) are also known in other species, e.g., Polymorphus cucullatus Van Cleave and Starrett, 1940. Hoklova's (1986) use of "differences" in egg structure is most inadequate for distinguishing her subgenera (see above). The above discussion makes it clear that none of the diagnostic characteristics used by Hoklova (1971a, 1986) is sufficiently adequate or consistent to support her subgeneric diagnoses and assignments. Accordingly, Subcorynosoma Hoklova, 1967 is declared a junior synonym of Polymorphus Luhe, 1911.

Diagnosis of Polymorphus

Synonymies:

Profilicollis Meyer, 1931 Fasifilicollis Webster, 1948 Hexaglandula Petrochenko, 1950 Parafilicollis Petrochenko, 1956 Subfilicollis Hoklova, 1967 Subcorynosoma Hoklova, 1967

The following diagnosis is based on the acceptance of *Profilicollis* Meyer, 1931; *Falsifilicollis* Webster, 1948; *Parafilicollis* Petrochenko, 1956 and *Subfilicollis* Hoklova, 1967 as junior synonyms of *Polymorphus* Luhe, 1911 (see Amin, 1982, 1985) and the additional synonymization of *Hexaglandula* Petrochenko, 1950 and *Subcorynosoma* Hoklova, 1967 with it (Amin, this paper).

Generic diagnosis - Polymorphidae, Polymorphinae: Body usually small, plump, and anteriorly expanded, with pronounced, shallow or no constriction, or cylindroid or pyriform; anterior part of trunk with spines which may occasionally extend ventrally farther than dorsally. Main Lacunar canals lateral with reticular anastomoses. Proboscis cylindrical, ovoid, spindle-or pear-shaped with a short or long neck, or spheroid with very long neck. Proboscis hooks gradually decrease in size toward anterior and posterior ends; roots of anterior hooks simple and well developed, those of posterior hooks reduced, rudimentary or absent. Proboscis receptacle double-walled and inserted at base of proboscis; brain near base or middle of receptacle. Lemnisci digitiform or clavate. Testes usually spheroid, contiguous, and in anterior half of trunk; tandem; oblique or opposite. Cement glands four or six, usually tubular, occasionally clavate. Genital orifice terminal in both sexes, not spined. Eggs fusiform with variably pronounced polar prolongation of middle membrane or ovoid with all membranes concentric and lacking any prolongation. Parasites of aquatic and shore birds or occasionally of aquatic mammals and fish.

Type Species: Polymorphus minutus (Goeze, 1782) Luhe, 1911 Genus Hexaglandula Petrochenko, 1950

The subgenus Hexaglandula was erected by Petrochenko (1950) for 2 species of Polymorphus with 6 cement glands each, Polymorphus mutabilis (Rudolphi, 1819) Travassos, 1926 and Polymorphus corynosoma Travassos, 1915, then elevated to the generic status by Petrochenko (1958). In all other respects the generic diagnosis (Petrochenko, 1958) was not unlike that of Polymorphus. Golvan (1960-61) and Yamaguti (1963) accepted Hexaglandula with an additional 2 redesignated Polymorphus species included in Petrochenko (1958), Polymorphus inermis Travassos, 1923 and Polymorphus paucihamatus Heinzer, 1936. Amin (1982, 1985) also accepted Hexaglandula in the absence of critical taxonomic studies of the genus. I now propose the designation of the genus Hexaglandula as a junior synonym of the genus Polymorphus for the following reasons. The number and/or shape of cement glands do not appear to be valid taxonomic traits in many acanthocephalan genera and/or families. Variability in these structures has not been seriously considered by Petrochenko (1950, 1956, 1958). Two decidedly Polymorphus species: P. arctocephali and P. cetaceum have 6 claviform elongate cement glands each; the other Polymorphus species have 4 tubular glands each. Petrochenko (1956, 1958) and Golvan (1960-61) have overemphasized the importance of cement glands in acanthocephalan taxonomy (Amin 1985). Petrochenko's (1956) splitting of other genera, e.g., Echinorhynchus Muller, 1776, based on cement gland pattern was shown to be in error (Amin and Redlin, 1980). Southwell and MacFie (1925) objected to the use of cement glands' shape and number in taxonomic considerations, and Thapar (1927) indicated that they are too variable and only express an "artificial basis" for classification. Van Cleave's (1949) use of cement glands to characterize Eoacanthocephala, Archiacanthocephala and Palaeacanthocephala was primarily based on their anatomical structure and not their number or shape. The Palaeacanthocephalan family Rhadinorhynchidae has the same number of cement glands as Archiacanthocephala (8) but the gland's cortical granular zone bears many nuclear fragments like those in other Palaeacanthocephalan families (Van Cleave, 1949). Schmidt (1965) indicated that *Hexaglandula* is "insufficiently differentiated from *Polymorphus* ... to warrant splitting ... species from *Polymorphus*" even though he later included it in his key to the genera of Polymorphidae (Schmidt, 1973).

Accepting the above arguments, the 6 species previously included in *Hexaglandula* are now reassigned to *Polymorphus* as follows:

Polymorphus mutabilis (Rudolphi, 1819) Travassos, 1926 [= Hexaglandula mutabilis (Rudolphi, 1819) Petrochenko, 1950]

Polymorphus corynosoma Travassos, 1915 [= Hexaglandula corynosoma (Travassos, 1915) Petrochenko, 1958]

Polymorphus inermis Travassos, 1923 [=Hexaglandula inermis (Travassos, 1923) Petrochenko, 1958]

Polymorphus paucihamatus Heinze, 1936 [=Hexaglandula paucihamatus (Heinze, 1936) Petrochenko, 1958]

Polymorphus ariusis (Bilquees, 1971) comb. n. (=Hexaglandula ariusis Bilquees, 1971)

Polymorphus karachiensis (Bilquees, 1971) comb. n. (=Hexaglandula karachiensis Bilquees, 1971)

Subgenus Subcorynosoma Hoklova, 1967

The subgenus Subcorynosoma Hoklova, 1961 (established for P. corynoides Skrjabin, 1913; P. strumosoides Lundstrom, 1942 and P. swartzi Schmidt, 1965) is designated as a junior synonym of Polymorphus Lühe, 1911. Hoklova's subgenera were not dichotomously distinguished (Hoklova, 1986). Species in Subcorynosoma were diagnosed as having a narrow cylindrical proboscis and large trunk spines extending farther ventrally than dorsally. However, the proboscides of P. corynosoma and P. swartzi and trunk spines of P. corynoides do not fit the subgeneric diagnosis. This diagnosis is actually more descriptive, in part, of other species of Polymorphus: (see the above section on "the position, concept and composition of Polymorphus").

Polymorphus brevis (Van Cleave, 1916) Travassos, 1926

This species was originally described as Arhythmorhynchus brevis, based on already prepared museum specimens, by Van Cleave (1916). Travassos (1926) assigned the species to genus Polymorphus and Meyer (1932) accepted this generic assignment. Van Cleave (1945) re-examined the status of the species from additional collections and re-assigned the species back to Arhythmorhynchus based on his "belief that ... the species is in agreement with the accepted concepts of the genus Arhythmorhynchus" and that Meyer's (1932) relegation, "apparently" based on his interpretation of the proboscis as "either weakly ovoidal or possibly approaching cylindrical form", was in error. He did not refer to the reasons for the re-assignment by Travassos (1926). In the diagnosis of the genus Arhythmorhynchus, Meyer (1932), among others, indicated that the proboscis

is enlarged in the middle and with few greatly enlarged ventral hooks; "A. brevis" has no such enlarged hooks. Petrochenko (1958), Golvan (1960-61), and Yamaguti (1963) subsequently recognized the species under Arhythmorhynchus, but Petrochenko indicated that this assignment is "doubtful." In their generic diagnosis of Arhythmorhynchus, these three authors described the trunk as filiform with a short anterior enlargement, and the proboscis as being wider at the middle where ventral hooks are greatly enlarged. Subsequently, forms without enlarged anterior trunk, or proboscis, or greatly enlarged ventral proboscis hooks, but with cylindrical filiform bodies were included in Anhythmorhynchus by Schmidt (1973). This naturally erodes some of the classical criteria which were traditionally used to distinguish Arhythmorhynchus from closely related genera particularly Polymorphus. Arhythmorhynchus clearly needs to be revised. The presence of large subcuticular nulcei only in the anterior expanded part of Arhythmorhnychus frassoni (Molin, 1858) Luhe, 1911, the type and only species on which Lühe (1911) based his diagnosis of the genus Arhythmorhynchus, has not been recognized by Petrochenko (1958) as a generic trait and has not been described in a number of species of Arhythmorhynchus. The posterior trunk of P. brevis also lacks subcuticular nuclei (Van Cleave, 1916). In Polymorphus, the posterior unexpanded portion of the trunk is supposed to contain hyperdermal nuclei; see Schmidt's (1973) key. In the first diagnosis of Polymorphus based on only one species, P. minutus, Lühe (1911), and later Meyer (1932), refer to numerous small nuclei in the body wall. This trait was not recognized by Southwell and MacFie (1925), Petrochenko (1958), Golvan (1960-61) or Yamaguti (1963), was not reported in most species of Polymorphus, and thus not routinely used for species assignment. Three well recognized species of Polymorphus, P. contortus (Bremser in Westrumb, 1821) Travassos, 1926, P. marilis Van Cleave, 1939, and Polymorphus paradoxus Connell and Corner, 1957, do not appear to have hypodermal nuclei in the posterior trunk wall; (see Denny 1969, Figs. 9a, b, c). Describing Arhythmorhynchus petrochenkoi under Polymorphus by Schmidt (1969) soley for having hypodermal nuclei with posterior trunk distribution, and later on assigning it to Arhythmorhynchus by Atrashkevic (1979), indicates that the character of hypodermal nuclei alone is not sufficiently adequate to differentiate the two genera. Based on the above, "A. brevis" is again relegated to the genus and subgenus Polymorphus in agreement with the earlier re-assignment by Travassos (1926) and Meyer (1932).

Polymorphus chasmagnathi

(Holcman-Spector, Mané-Carzon and Dei-Cas, 1977) Amin, 1985

This species was originally described as Falsifilicollis chasmagnathi from an experimental infection of guinea pigs with immatures by Holcman-Spector et al. (1977). Amin (1985) placed it in the genus Polymorphus (=Falsifilicollis Webster, 1948) under the subgenus Polymorphus Lühe, 1911. Considering the very long neck and spheroid proboscis of this species, it's re-assignment to the subgenus Profilicollis Meyer, 1931 is recognized in agreement with Vizcaino (1989) who described adults for the first time from natural definitive hosts in Argentina.

Polymorphus magnus Skrjabin, 1913

Since the original description of this species from Netta rufina in Kazakhastan by Skrjabin's (1913), it has been accepted by

many parasitologists. The very close similarities between it and P. minutus have also been recognized by various observers and some have suggested that the two species are practically inseparable, e.g. Bykovskaya (1948), Bezubik (1957) and McDonald (1988). Bykovskaya (1948) examined collections from which Skrjabin obtained his material and which varied from his description, that brought the two species closer together. She indicated that "P. magnus" differs from P. minutus only by larger dimensions. Petrochenko (1958) contended that Bykovskaya (1948) compared Skrjabin's (1913) description of P. magnus with Lühe's (1911) "incorrect" description of P. minutus which included 10mm long females; a length also cited by Meyer (1932). The "wide range of variability" exhibited by P. minutus was also observed by Van Cleave and Starrett (1940) and Schmidt (1965), among others. The differences between P. minutus Sensu Goeze (1782), Bykovskaya (1948) and Bezubik (1956), and P. magnus sensu Skrjabin (1913) and Petrochenko (1950), were reduced to differences in body length and in the fact that 3.0-3.5mm long female P. minutus have eggs while 6.0-7.0 mm long female "P. magnus" do not (Bezubik, 1957). The curve of male and female body length of Bezubik's (1957) had intermediate forms (3.94-9.5 mm) only one peak, making it impossible to differentiate the two species. Egg production by females of different sizes was attributed to the physiological state of worms (Bezubik, 1957). Petrochenko's (1950) "P. minutus" was apparently P. contortus and the variable egg measurements reported by various authors were apparently an expression of the widely fluctuating egg size "most frequently in the same individuals, both in the short ... and ... the long specimens' (Bezubik, 1957). Ecological parameters such as host species and season are known to affect acanthocephalan size, reproductive activity, and egg production: see for example Amin (1987a) and Amin and Redlin (1980). The above arguments are sufficiently convincing to propose the designation of Polymorphus magnus Skrjabin, 1913 as a junior synonym of Polymorphus minutus (Goeze, 1782) Luhe, 1911.

Polymorphus pupa (Linstow, 1905) Kostylev, 1922

Since the inadequate description of this species as *Echinorhynchus pupa* by Linstow (1905), it has been assigned to *Polymorphus* by Kostylev (1922), to *Filicollis* by Travassos (1926) and to *Plagiorhynchus* (*Prosthorhynchus*) by Meyer (1931), regarded as unrecognizable by Van Cleave and Rausch (1951), and as *incerta sedis* by Yamaguti (1963) and Schmidt (1965). Other authors e.g., Petrochenko (1958), Hoklova (1986), McDonald (1988) and Amin (this paper) regard the species as a recognizable member of the genus *Polymorphus*. The material reported by Hoklova (1971b, 1986), and others quoted therein, restores provisional confidence in the identity of that species pending the examination of type and other material. The species is included in the following key even though it is not formally resurrected at this time.

Polymorphus trochus Van Cleave, 1945

Since its original description in 1945, *P. trochus* was most commonly found in the American coot, *Fulica americana*, but rarely in North American ducks (Priebe, 1952, Podesta and Holmes, 1970, and McDonald, 1988). The species is peculiar in that its proboscis shows distinct sexual dimorphism; pyriform with the terminal portion narrowing into a bluntly pointed tip in females but essentially cylindroid in males. Hoklova (1966a, b, 1986) described a polymorphid acanthocephalan from a number

of bird species, mostly ducks, Anas, that she named P. trochus Van Cleave, 1945 which is, however, clearly distinct from that species. McDonald (1988) also noted the difference between these two forms. In Hoklova's species, the basically stout cylindroid proboscis does not significantly differ between sexes. Proboscis armature, body size and shape, position of testes and egg size, among other characteristics, also vary from Van Cleave's (1945) description. Based on the above anatomic and host differences, it is proposed that Polymorphus trochus sensu Hoklova is a different species from Polymorphus trochus Van Cleave, 1945. It may well be a new species. The correct status of this species can only be ascertained upon the independent examination of representative specimens and the designation of type material of this acanthocephalan if it is new.

## Subgeneric diagnosis

Considering the above treatment, only two subgenera of the genus *Polymorphus: Polymorphus* Lühe, 1911 and *Profilicollis* Meyer, 1931, are recognized. These are diagnosed below.

The subgenus *Polymorphus*- Polymorphidae, Polymorphinae, *Polymorphus*: trunk usually anteriorly expanded, sometimes elongate, with one, or occasionally two or no constrictions. Proboscis cylindrical, ovoid to ovoid-elongate, pyriform or spindle-shaped. Neck long or short. Mature eggs usually with polar prolongation of middle membrane.

Type species: Polymorphus (Polymorphus) minutus (Goeze, 1782) Lühe, 1911.

The subgenus *Profilicollis* - Polymorphidae, Polymorphinae, *Polymorphus*: trunk usually cylindroid without an anterior expansion, may occasionally be constricted. Proboscis ovoid to spheroid. Neck very long. Mature eggs usually with no prolongation of middle membrane.

Type species: *Polymorphus (Profilicollis) botulus* (Van Cleave, 1916) Witenberg, 1932.

# Key to species of Polymorphus

The subgenus *Polymorphus* Lühe, 1911, includes 36 species, six of which were formerly included in *Hexaglandula*. The key does not include *P. trochus* of Hoklova (1966) (see status of *P. trochus*, above) or *P. magnus* (=*P. minutus*, this paper) but includes *P. brevis* and *P. pupa*. The second subgenus, *Profilicollis* Meyer, 1931, has 10 species that include *P. chasmagnathi*. In the key, the exclusive use in individual couplets of single traits which might show considerable variability with geographical, host or developmental factors is avoided; for example, see Van Cleave (1916, 1939, 1945), Bezubik (1957), Schmidt (1965), Schmidt and Kuntz (1966, 1967), Amin (1986, 1987b), Amin and Redlin (1980) and Ching (1989).

	In birds or fish; trunk not so expanded; proboscis variable
4.	Proboscis more than 1.0 mm long; largest proboscis hooks 80 \(mm\); largest trunk spines 90 \(mm\); in seals; Australia  P. \(arctocephali\) Smales, 1986
	Proboscis less than 1.0 mm long; largest proboscis hook 75 $\mu$ m; largest trunk spines 50 $\mu$ m; in dolphins; Australia P. cetaceum (Johnston and Best, 1942)
	Schmidt and Dailey, 1971
5.	In birds; trunk may be expanded anteriorly, or medially, or with constriction
6.	Proboscis with 6 hooks per row
7.	Trunk 8.0-8.5 x 1.8-2.25 mm; proboscis ovoid-elongate with 12 longitudinal rows of hooks; in gulls; Europe
8.	Trunk elongate with faint anterior constriction, 6.0-10.0 x 1.0-1.4 mm; proboscis elongate cylindrical with 16-18 hooks per row; eggs with polar prolongation of middle membrane; in tern, cormorant, kingfisher, egret, roseate, spoonbill, limpkin; South America
9.	Trunk elongated cylindrical; proboscis long-cylindrical, $1.8 \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$ with $18 \text{ rows}$ of hooks; testes $300\text{-}500 \ \mu\text{m}$ wide; in catfish; Karachi coast
10.	In aquatic rodents and waterfowl; Canada; trunk with two constrictions; proboscis ovoid-elongate
11.	Proboscis protruding from an orifice in flattened anterior extremity, large: $1.7 \times 0.76$ mm at apex, abruptly truncated anteriorly, largest hooks reaching 190 $\mu$ m; in wood ibis; United States
12.	Proboscis sexually dimorphic, pyriform and more strongly swollen at base in females; in coot, mallard duck; North America
13.	Proboscis cylindrical, may be expanded distally proximally or at middle

	Proboscis ovoid or ovoid elongate		Sweden
14.	Proboscis expanded at middle		Proboscis larger, 470-700 $\mu$ m long, with 14-18 (usually 15-16) hook rows, largest hooks 68-71 $\mu$ m long; in eider, ducks, grebes; Russia
15.	Proboscis spindle-shaped with 18-20 longitudinal rows of 11-13 hooks each, largest hooks 54-70 $\mu$ m; trunk ovoid anteriorly; eggs 93-120 x 26-35 $\mu$ m; in black crowned night	25.	Trunk less than 3 mm long
	heron; Peru P. spindlatus Amin and Heckmann, 1991 Proboscis and trunk markedly more slender; proboscis with 18 longitudinal rows of 13-16 (usually 15) hooks each,	26.	Proboscis 100-200 $\mu$ m long, with 8 rows of hooks; in water rail; Italy
	largest hooks 41-47 $\mu$ m; eggs 76-100 x 24-30 $\mu$ m; in osprey, bittern, great blue heron, black crowned night heron; North America		Proboscis longer than 200 $\mu$ m, with 10 or more rows of hooks
16		27.	Proboscis 200-400 µm long with 14-18 (usually 16) rows of 6-8 (usually 8) hooks each; testes oblique; in ducks, bittern, shrike; Europea, USA
10.	herons, bittern, eagle, swan, duck, egret, merganser; Europe		P. contortus (Bremser, 1821) Travassos, 1926 Proboscis 400-700 μm long with 10 rows of 10-12 hooks each; testes tandem; in mallard duck; Russia
17.	Proboscis with proximal swelling, hooks in 18 rows; eggs $78-86 \times 15-16 \mu m$ ; in Barrow's golden eye; Alaska	28.	Proboscis 950 $\mu$ m long, with 22 rows of hooks; in hooded merganser; North America
18.	Large, males 6-9 mm, females 11-18 mm long; 21-22 proboscis hook rows; eggs small 58-65 x 29-34 $\mu$ m without polar prolongation of middle membrane; in musk ducks; Australia <i>P. biziurae</i> Johnston and Edmonds, 1947 Small, less than 5.5 mm long; proboscis hook rows 20 or	29.	Trunk uniformlly cylindrical with spiny area extending past constriction; proboscis 400 $\mu$ m long or less, with 16-18 rows of 6-8 hooks each
	less; eggs longer than 100 $\mu$ m with polar prolongation of middle membrane	30.	Trunk 10.3 mm long; proboscis 370 x 250 $\mu$ m, with 6-8 hooks per row; in ducks; South America
19.	Sexes of equal size, 2.6-4.5 mm long; proboscis with 20 hooks rows, largest hooks 31-49 $\mu$ m long; in ducks; Russia		
20.	than 20 hook rows, largest hooks longer than 49 $\mu$ m 20 Males, 2.8-5 mm long, shorter than females, 5-5.5 mm;	31.	Proboscis 450 x 150 $\mu$ m, with 11-12 hooks per row; largest hooks 40-45 $\mu$ m long; in ducks, grebe, gull, coot, godwit;
	16-18 roboscis hook rows with 11-14 hooks each, largest hooks 46-52 μm long; in common golden eye duck; Sweden		North America, Siberia
	Males, 2.8 mm long, longer than females, 1-1.2 mm; 12-14 proboscis hook rows with 9-10 hooks each, largest hooks	32.	hooks per row; largest hooks longer than $50 \mu\text{m}$
	reaching 64 $\mu$ m; in dipper; Russia	3 <b>2.</b>	x 258 µm; in puddle ducks; Kazakhstan, Sakhalin
21.	Proboscis ovoid		Proboscis usually with 14 or 16 rows of hooks; proboscis receptacle shorter than 1300 $\mu$ m
22.	Large, males 13-20 mm and females 20-25 mm long; proboscis with 18-20 hook rows; in eider ducks; arctic Asia	. 33.	Trunk widest posterior to constriction where testes are located; testes tandem; ovoid-elongate, large 770-1500 x 560-770 $\mu$ m; in water turkey, heron, ducks, merganser; North America
23.	long; in loons; Russia	34.	x 300-680 $\mu$ m
24.			puddle ducks, sea ducks, velvet scoter duck; Kazakhstan
	largest hooks 45-66 µm long; in mallards, tufted ducks;		Females larger than males; largest proboscis hooks longer,

	68-79 μm; testes oblique
35.	Males 4.6-5.4, females 5.7-6.0 mm long; proboscis with 13-16 (usually 14) rows of 6-9 (usually 7-8) hooks each; testes 500-640 x 200-300 μm; in ducks; Sweden
	μm long; proboscis receptacle 6-8 mm long; males with 6 cement glands; in kelp gull, silver gull, other gulls, yellow-crowned night heron, wood rails, scarlet ibis, oystercatcher; Brazil, South Australia, Montevideo  P. sphaerocephalus (Bremser in Rudolphi, 1819) Van Cleave, 1947 Proboscis less than 2.0 mm in diameter, largest hooks less than 120 μm long; proboscis receptacle less than 6 mm long; males with 4 cement glands
37.	Proboscis spheroid, with 25-30 rows of 9-12 hooks each 38 Proboscis spheroid or ovoid, with less than 25 rows of 7-10 hooks each
38.	Proboscis 1.5 mm in diamter, with 27 rows of 10-11 hooks each, largest hooks 53-58 $\mu$ m long; proboscis receptacle 5.0 mm long; in herring gull; Canada
39.	Trunk cylindroid; proboscis spheroid, 1-2 mm in diameter
40.	Largest proboscis hooks 71-92 $\mu$ m long; proboscis receptacle 4.5-5.4 mm long
41.	Males 10-16, females 11 mm long; proboscis slightly wider than long; posterior testis large, 1.05-1.93 x 0.79-1.47 mm; in sheathbills; South Shetlands
42.	Proboscis with 22 rows of hooks; eggs 126-155 x 30-41 $\mu$ m, may show polar prolongation of middle membrane; in eider ducks; Canada, Russia

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The late Gerald D. Schmidt, University of Northern Colorado, kindly reviewed this manuscript.

## **REFERENCES**

- Amin, O.M. 1982. Acanthocephala. *In* Synopsis and classification of living organisms, S.P. Parker (d.). McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, pp. 933-941.
- Amin, O.M. 1985. Classification. In Biology of the Acanthocephala, D.W.T. Crompton and B.B. Nickol (eds.). Cambridge University Press, London, pp. 27-71.
- Amin, O.M. 1986. Acanthocephala from lake fishes in Wisconsin: Morphometric growth of *Neoechinorhynchus cylindratus* (Neoechinorhynchidae) and taxonomic implications. Trans. Am. Micr. Soc. 105: 375-380.
- Amin, O.M. 1987a. Acanthocephala from lake fishes in Wisconsin: Ecology and host relationships of *Pomphorhynchus bulbocolli* (Pomphorhynchidae). Jour. Parasitol. 73: 278-289.
- Amin, O.M. 1987b. Acanthocephala from lake fishes in Wisconsin: morphometric growth of *Pomphorhynchus bulbocolli* (Pomphorhynchidae). Jour. Parasitol. 73: 806-810.
- Amin, O.M. and R.A. Heckmann. 1991. Description and host relationships of *Polymorphus spindlatus* sp. n. (Acanthocephala: Polymorphidae) from the heron *Nycticorax nycticorax* in Peru. Jour. Parasitol. 77: 201-205.
- Amin, O.M. and M.J. Redlin. 1980. The effect of host species on growth and variability of *Echinorhynchus salmonis* Muller, 1784 (Acanthocephala: Echinorhynchidae), with a special reference to the status of the genus. Syst. Parasitol. 2: 9-20.
- **Bezubik, B. 1957.** Studies on *Polymorphus minutus* (Goeze, 1782) syn. *Polymorphus magnus* Skrjabin, 1913. Acta Parasitol. Polonica 5: 1-8.
- Bykovskaya, I.E. 1948. Acanthocephala of birds of the USSR. I. Acanthocephala of birds of the Baraba Islands. Parazitol. Sbor. AN SSSR, Zool. Institut, No. 10: 245-257.
- Ching, H.L. 1989. Profilicollis botulus (Van Cleave, 1916) from diving ducks and shore crabs of British Columbia. Jour. Parasitol. 75: 33-37.
- Atrashkevich, G.I. 1979. New data on acanthocephalans from the genus *Arhythmorhynchus* from subarctic birds. Trudi Gelmint. Lab. Acad. Nauk SSSR 29: 11-14 (in Russian).
- **Denny, M. 1969.** Life cycles of helminth parasites using *Gammarus lacustris* as an intermediate host in a Canadian lake. Parasitolog, 59: 795-827.
- Golvan, Y.J. 1960-61. Le phylum des Acanthocephala. Troisieme note. La classe des Palaeacanthocephala (Meyer,

- 1931). Anna. Parasitol. Hum. Comp. 35: 138-165, 350-386, 713-723.
- Hoklova, I.G. 1966a. Acanthocephala of birds of Chukotka. Trudi Gelmintol. Lab. Akad. Nauk SSSR 17: 245-259. (In Russian).
- Hoklova, I.G. 1966b. On the fauna and morphology of Acanthocephala of birds of the lower Yenisei and Norilsk lakes. Trudi Gelmintol. Lab. Akad. Nauk SSSR 17: 260-276. (In Russian).
- Hoklova, I.G. 1971a. Revision of the genus *Polymorphus* Luhe,1911 (Acanthocephala: Polymorphidae). Trudi Gelmintol.Lab. Akad. Nauk SSSR 21: 130-126. (In Russian).
- Hoklova, I.G. 1971b. Acanthocephala of birds of Yakutsk USSR. Trudi Gelmintol. Lab. Akad. Nauk SSSR 22: 215-223. (In Russian).
- Hoklova, I.G. 1974. The revision of the genus *Profilicollis* Meyer, 1931=syn. *Falsifilicollis* Webster, 1948=syn. *Parafilicollis* Petrochenko, 1956 (Acanthocephala: Filicollidae) Helminthologia 15: 803-811. (In Russian).
- **Hoklova, I.G. 1986.** The acanthocephalan fauna of terrestrial vertebrates of SSSR. Nauka, Moskva, 276 pp.
- Holcman-Spector, B., F. Mane-Garzon and E. Dei-Cas. 1977. Ciclo evolutivo y descripcion de *Falsifilicollis chasmagnathi* n. sp. (Acanthocephala). Rev. Biol. Uruguay 5: 77-91.
- Kostylev, N. 1922. Sur les acanthocephales de l'eider (Somateria mollissima L.). Parasitology 14: 372-377.
- Linstow, D.V. 1905. Helminthen der Russischen Polar expedition (1900-1903). Mem. Acad. Imp. Sci. St Petersbourg, Ser 8, Class. Phys. Math. 18: 1-17.
- Lühe, M. 1911. Acanthocephalen. Register der Acanthocephalen und parasitischen plattwurmer, geordnet nach ihren wirten. Die Susswasserfauna Deutschlands eine Exkursions fauna (Brauer), Gustav Fischer, Jena 16: 1-116.
- McDonald, M.E. 1988. Key to Acanthocephala reported in water fowl. Resource Publication, US Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. 173: 1-45.
- Meyer, A. 1931. Die Acanthocephalen des arktischen Gebietes. Fauna Arctica (Roemer u. Schaudinn), Gustav Fischer, Jena 6: 9-20.
- Meyer, A. 1932. Acanthocephala. *In Dr. H.G. Bronns Klassen* und Ordnungen des Tier-Reichs, vol. 4, pp. 1-332. Leipzig; Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft M.B.H.
- **Petrochenko, V.I. 1950.** Some biological features of Acanthocephala of the genus *Polymorphus* and their systematic value. Trudi Vses. Inst. Gelmintol. 4: 98-108. (In Russian).
- Petrochenko, V.I. 1956. Acanthocephala of domestic and wild animals, vol. 1. Izdat. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow. (English translation by Israel Program for Scientific Translations Ltd., 1971, 465p.)
- **Petrochenko, V.I. 1958.** Acanthocephala of domestic and wild animals, vol. 2. Izdat. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow. (English translation by Israel Program for Scientific Translations Ltd., 1971, 478p.)
- Podesta, R.B. and J.C. Holmes. 1970. The life cycle of three polymorphids (Acanthocephala) occuring as juveniles in *Hyalella azteca* (Amphipoda) at Cooking Lake, Alberta. Jour. Parasitol. 56: 1118-1123.
- **Priebe, M.D. 1952.** Acanthocephalan parasites of water birds in eastern Washington. Trans. Am. Micr. Soc. 71: 347-349.
- Schmidt, G.D. 1965. Polymorphus swartzi sp. n., and other Acanthocephala of Alaskan ducks. Jour. Parasitol. 51: 809-813.

- Schmidt, G.D. 1973. Resurrection of Southwellina Witenberg, 1932, with a description of Southwellina dimorpha sp. n., and a key to genera in Polymorphidae (Acanthocephala). Jour. Parasitol. 59: 299-305.
- Schmidt, G.D. and R.E. Kuntz. 1966. New and little known plagiorhynchid Acanthocephala from Taiwan and the Pescadores Islands. Jour. Parasitol. 52: 520-527.
- Schmidt, G.D. 1967. Notes on the life cycle of *Polymorphus* (*Profilicollis*) formosus sp. n., and records of *Arhythmorhynchus hispidus* Van Cleave, 1925 (Acanthocephala) from Taiwan. Jour. Parasitol. 53: 805-809.
- Skrjabin, I. 1913. Zur acanthocephalen-fauna Russisch-Turkestans. Acanthocephalen der Sumpf-und Wasservogel. Zool. Jahrbuch. Ab. Syst. Oekol. Geogr. Tiere, Jena 35: 403-414.
- Southwell, T. and J.W.S. MacFie. 1925. On a collection of Acanthocephala in the Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine. Ann. Trop. Med. Parasitol. 19: 141-184.
- **Thapar, G.S. 1927.** On *Acanthogyrus* n.g. from the intestine of the Indian fish *Labeo rohita*, with a note on the classification of the Acanthocephala. Jour. Helminthol. 5: 109-120.
- Travassos, L. 1926. Contribuicoes para o conhecimento da fauna helmintologica brasileira. XX. Revisaô dos acantocefalos brasileiros. Parte II. Familia Echinorhynchide Hamann, 1893. Sub-fam. Centrorhynchinae Travassos, 1919. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz 19: 31-125.
- Van Cleave, H.J. 1916. A revision of the genus Arhythmorhynchus with descriptions of two new species from North American birds. Jour. Parasitol. 2: 167-174.
- Van Cleave, 1937. Status of the generic name Profilicollis of A. Meyer. Jour. Parasitol. 23: 563.
- Van Cleave, 1939. A new species of the acanthocephalan genus *Polymorphus* and notes on the status of the name *Profilicollis*. Jour. Parasitol. 25: 129-131.
- Van Cleave, 1945. The status of the acanthocephalan genus *Arhythmorhynchus*, with particular reference to the validity of *A. brevis*. Trans. Am. Micr. Soc. 64: 133-137.
- Van Cleave, 1947. Analysis of distinctions between the acanthocephalan genera *Filicollis* and *Polymorphus*, with description of a new species of *Polymorphus*. Trans. Am. Micr. Soc. 66: 302-313.
- Van Cleave, 1949. Morphological and phylogenetic interpretations of the cement glands in the Acanthocephala. Jour. Morphol. 84: 427-457.
- Van Cleave, and R.L. Rausch. 1951. The acanthocephalan parasites of eider ducks. Proc. Helminthol. Soc. Washington 18: 81-84.
- Van Cleave, and W.C. Starrett. 1940. The Acanthocephala of wild ducks in central Illinois, with descriptions of two new species. Trans. Am. Micr. Soc. 59: 384-353.
- Vizcaino, S.I. 1989. Acanthocephalan parasites of Argentine Birds I. Morphological complements to the knowledge of *Polymorphus (Profilicollis) chasmagnathi* comb. nov. (Polymorphidae). Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment 24: 189-192 (Published in 1990).
- Webster, J.D. 1948. A new acanthocephalan from the sanderling. Trans. Am. Micr. Soc. 67: 66-69.
- Witenberg, G. 1932. Akanthocephalen-studien. II. Ueber das System der Akanthocephalen. Boll. Zool. Pub. Unio. Zool. Ital. (Naples) 3: 253-266.
- Yamaguti, S. 1963. Acanthocephala. *In* Systema Helminthum. Wiley Interscience, New York 5: 1-423.